



US010154055B2

Exhibit 4

(12) **United States Patent**  
**Oliphant et al.**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 10,154,055 B2**

(45) **Date of Patent:** **\*Dec. 11, 2018**

(54) **REAL-TIME VULNERABILITY MONITORING**

(71) Applicant: **SecurityProfiling, LLC**, Garland, TX (US)

(72) Inventors: **Brett M. Oliphant**, Plano, TX (US);  
**John P. Blignaut**, West Lafayette, IN (US)

(73) Assignee: **SecurityProfiling, LLC**, Garland, TX (US)

(\*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **14/834,102**

(22) Filed: **Aug. 24, 2015**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2016/0088010 A1 Mar. 24, 2016

**Related U.S. Application Data**

(63) Continuation of application No. 14/138,014, filed on Dec. 21, 2013, now Pat. No. 9,117,069, which is a (Continued)

(51) **Int. Cl.**  
**G06F 11/00** (2006.01)  
**G06F 12/14** (2006.01)  
(Continued)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**  
CPC ..... **H04L 63/1433** (2013.01); **G06F 21/50** (2013.01); **H04L 63/1416** (2013.01); **H04L 63/20** (2013.01); **H04L 63/0263** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

CPC ..... H04L 63/1433

(Continued)

(56) **References Cited**

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

5,008,814 A 4/1991 Mathur  
5,210,854 A 5/1993 Beaverton et al.

(Continued)

**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

EP 1294128 A1 3/2003  
FR 2819322 A1 7/2002

(Continued)

**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

US 8,528,042, 09/2013, Sapp et al. (withdrawn)

(Continued)


*Primary Examiner* — Dant Shaifer Harriman

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Patrick E. Caldwell, Esq.; The Caldwell Firm, LLC

(57) **ABSTRACT**

An apparatus is provided including at least one platform; an intrusion prevention system configured to communicative couple with the at least one platform; a firewall configured to communicative couple with the at least one platform; at least one first data storage configured to communicative couple with the at least one platform; and at least one second data storage configured to communicative couple with the at least one platform. The at least one platform is configured to perform a plurality of operations that collective protect one or more networked devices.

**20 Claims, 12 Drawing Sheets**



## US 10,154,055 B2

Page 2

## Related U.S. Application Data

- continuation of application No. 10/882,852, filed on Jul. 1, 2004, now abandoned.
- (60) Provisional application No. 60/484,085, filed on Jul. 1, 2003.
- (51) **Int. Cl.**  
**G06F 12/16** (2006.01)  
**G08B 23/00** (2006.01)  
**H04L 29/06** (2006.01)  
**G06F 21/50** (2013.01)
- (58) **Field of Classification Search**  
USPC ..... 726/23  
See application file for complete search history.

## (56) References Cited

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,249,705 A	10/1993	Gates	6,088,804 A	7/2000	Hill et al.
5,253,782 A	10/1993	Gates et al.	6,119,165 A	9/2000	Li et al.
5,253,932 A	10/1993	Nesovic	6,119,236 A	9/2000	Shipley
5,272,754 A	12/1993	Boerbert	6,122,657 A	9/2000	Hoffman, Jr. et al.
5,276,735 A	1/1994	Boebert et al.	6,131,163 A	10/2000	Wiegel
5,335,346 A	8/1994	Fabbio	6,134,601 A	10/2000	Spilo et al.
5,361,359 A	11/1994	Tajalli et al.	6,144,934 A	11/2000	Stockwell et al.
5,499,297 A	3/1996	Boebert	6,151,643 A	11/2000	Cheng et al.
5,502,766 A	3/1996	Boebert et al.	6,173,180 B1	1/2001	Hussain et al.
5,557,346 A	9/1996	Lipner et al.	6,182,226 B1	1/2001	Reid et al.
5,557,765 A	9/1996	Lipner et al.	6,185,689 B1	2/2001	Todd et al.
5,596,718 A	1/1997	Boebert et al.	6,195,352 B1	2/2001	Cushman et al.
5,612,503 A	3/1997	Sepp	6,205,552 B1	3/2001	Fudge
5,640,454 A	6/1997	Lipner et al.	6,208,999 B1	3/2001	Spilo et al.
5,662,291 A	9/1997	Sepp et al.	6,209,101 B1	3/2001	Mitchem et al.
5,683,638 A	11/1997	Crigler et al.	6,219,707 B1	4/2001	Gooderum et al.
5,696,907 A	12/1997	Tom	6,219,786 B1	4/2001	Cunningham et al.
5,745,573 A	4/1998	Lipner et al.	6,226,372 B1	5/2001	Beebe et al.
5,765,153 A	6/1998	Benantar et al.	6,230,199 B1	5/2001	Revashetti et al.
5,796,836 A	8/1998	Markham	6,230,288 B1	5/2001	Kuo et al.
5,796,942 A	8/1998	Esbensen	6,240,531 B1	5/2001	Spilo et al.
5,819,255 A	10/1998	Celis et al.	6,249,585 B1	6/2001	McGrew et al.
5,819,275 A	10/1998	Badger et al.	6,266,774 B1	7/2001	Sampath et al.
5,822,435 A	10/1998	Boebert et al.	6,266,811 B1	7/2001	Nabahi
5,822,750 A	10/1998	Jou et al.	6,267,039 B1	7/2001	Czarnecki
5,831,987 A	11/1998	Spilo	6,269,456 B1	7/2001	Hodges et al.
5,864,683 A	1/1999	Boebert et al.	6,272,632 B1	8/2001	Carman et al.
5,867,647 A	2/1999	Haigh et al.	6,275,942 B1	8/2001	Bernhard et al.
5,872,931 A	2/1999	Chivaluri	6,279,113 B1	8/2001	Vaidya
5,892,903 A	4/1999	Klaus	6,282,546 B1	8/2001	Gleichauf et al.
5,913,024 A	6/1999	Green et al.	6,298,422 B1	10/2001	Spilo et al.
5,915,087 A	6/1999	Hammond et al.	6,298,445 B1 *	10/2001	Shostack ..... G06F 21/577 726/25
5,918,018 A	6/1999	Gooderum et al.	6,301,658 B1	10/2001	Koehler
5,950,195 A	9/1999	Stockwell et al.	6,301,668 B1	10/2001	Gleichauf et al.
5,951,698 A	9/1999	Chen et al.	6,301,699 B1	10/2001	Hollander et al.
5,956,403 A	9/1999	Lipner et al.	6,314,190 B1	11/2001	Zimmermann
5,960,170 A	9/1999	Chen et al.	6,321,293 B1	11/2001	Fabrizio et al.
5,968,133 A	10/1999	Latham et al.	6,321,334 B1	11/2001	Jerger et al.
5,983,350 A	11/1999	Miner et al.	6,321,336 B1	11/2001	Applegate et al.
5,987,611 A	11/1999	Freund	6,324,656 B1	11/2001	Gleichauf et al.
5,991,406 A	11/1999	Lipner et al.	6,332,195 B1	12/2001	Green et al.
5,991,856 A	11/1999	Spilo et al.	6,336,186 B1	1/2002	Dyksterhouse et al.
5,991,881 A	11/1999	Conklin et al.	6,343,362 B1	1/2002	Ptacek et al.
5,999,179 A	12/1999	Kekic et al.	6,345,361 B1	2/2002	Jerger et al.
6,003,084 A	12/1999	Green et al.	6,351,794 B1	2/2002	Spilo et al.
6,005,942 A	12/1999	Chan et al.	6,353,446 B1	3/2002	Vaughn et al.
6,006,035 A	12/1999	Nabahi	6,357,010 B1	3/2002	Viets et al.
6,028,514 A	2/2000	Lemelson et al.	6,370,578 B2	4/2002	Revashetti et al.
6,029,256 A	2/2000	Kouznetsov	6,385,458 B1	5/2002	Papadimitriou et al.
6,035,423 A	3/2000	Hodges et al.	6,393,424 B1	5/2002	Hallman et al.
6,044,466 A	3/2000	Anand et al.	6,402,689 B1	6/2002	Scarantino et al.
6,055,527 A	4/2000	Badger et al.	6,405,318 B1	6/2002	Rowland
6,064,811 A	5/2000	Spilo et al.	6,408,391 B1	6/2002	Huff et al.
6,072,942 A	6/2000	Stockwell et al.	6,415,321 B1	7/2002	Gleichauf et al.
6,074,727 A	6/2000	Miller et al.	6,418,554 B1	7/2002	Delo et al.
6,084,510 A	7/2000	Lemelson et al.	6,442,686 B1	8/2002	McArdle et al.
			6,453,347 B1	9/2002	Revashetti et al.
			6,453,419 B1	9/2002	Flint et al.
			6,457,076 B1	9/2002	Cheng et al.
			6,460,126 B1	10/2002	Spilo et al.
			6,470,384 B1	10/2002	●Brien et al.
			6,473,800 B1	10/2002	Jerger et al.
			6,477,550 B1	11/2002	Balasubramaniam et al.
			6,477,651 B1	11/2002	Teal
			6,493,756 B1	12/2002	●Brien et al.
			6,496,875 B2	12/2002	Cheng et al.
			6,499,107 B1	12/2002	Gleichauf et al.
			6,499,109 B1	12/2002	Balasubramaniam et al.
			6,510,448 B1	1/2003	Churchyard
			6,513,122 B1	1/2003	Magdych et al.
			6,523,023 B1	2/2003	Sonnenberg
			6,526,513 B1	2/2003	Shrader et al.
			6,530,024 B1	3/2003	Proctor
			6,535,227 B1	3/2003	Fox et al.
			6,542,943 B2	4/2003	Cheng et al.
			6,546,493 B1	4/2003	Magdych et al.
			6,550,012 B1	4/2003	Villa et al.
			6,553,377 B1	4/2003	Eschelbeck et al.

## US 10,154,055 B2

Page 3

(56)

## References Cited

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,553,378	B1	4/2003	Eschelbeck	6,775,780	B1	8/2004	Muttik
6,567,808	B1	5/2003	Eschelbeck et al.	6,779,033	B1	8/2004	Watson et al.
6,574,639	B2	6/2003	Carey et al.	6,782,421	B1	8/2004	Soles et al.
6,584,504	B1	6/2003	Choe	6,782,527	B1	8/2004	Kouznetsov et al.
6,584,508	B1	6/2003	Epstein et al.	6,785,237	B1	8/2004	Sufleta
6,587,888	B1	7/2003	Chieu et al.	6,785,820	B1	8/2004	Muttik et al.
6,601,091	B1	7/2003	Spilo	6,785,821	B1	8/2004	Teal
6,604,139	B1	8/2003	Sajina et al.	6,789,082	B2	9/2004	Sternin et al.
6,608,817	B1	8/2003	Ivory	6,789,117	B1	9/2004	Joiner et al.
6,611,869	B1	8/2003	Eschelbeck et al.	6,789,201	B2	9/2004	Barton et al.
6,611,925	B1	8/2003	Spear	6,789,202	B1	9/2004	Ko et al.
6,615,266	B1	9/2003	Hoffman, Jr. et al.	6,789,215	B1	9/2004	Rupp et al.
6,622,150	B1	9/2003	Kouznetsov et al.	6,792,543	B2	9/2004	Pak et al.
6,633,835	B1	10/2003	Moran et al.	6,799,197	B1	9/2004	Shetty et al.
6,636,845	B2	10/2003	Chau et al.	6,801,756	B1	10/2004	Agrawal et al.
6,636,972	B1	10/2003	Ptacek et al.	6,801,940	B1	10/2004	Moran et al.
6,640,307	B2	10/2003	Viets et al.	6,802,012	B1	10/2004	Smithson et al.
6,654,751	B1	11/2003	Schmugar et al.	6,810,017	B1	10/2004	Leong et al.
6,654,782	B1	11/2003	●'Brien et al.	6,814,842	B1	11/2004	Yago et al.
6,658,571	B1	12/2003	●'Brien et al.	6,816,896	B2	11/2004	Fortin et al.
6,663,000	B1	12/2003	Muttik et al.	6,816,973	B1	11/2004	Glechauf et al.
6,665,269	B1	12/2003	Schmitz	6,823,460	B1	11/2004	Hollander et al.
6,668,054	B1	12/2003	Contino et al.	6,826,608	B1	11/2004	Sheth et al.
6,668,289	B2	12/2003	Cheng et al.	6,826,698	B1	11/2004	Minkin et al.
6,671,368	B1	12/2003	Contino et al.	6,834,301	B1	12/2004	Hanchett
6,671,812	B1	12/2003	Balasubramaniam et al.	6,836,860	B2	12/2004	Muttik et al.
6,674,738	B1	1/2004	Yildiz et al.	6,839,852	B1	1/2005	Pantuso et al.
6,675,042	B2	1/2004	Swerdlow et al.	6,842,860	B1	1/2005	Branstad et al.
6,678,887	B1	1/2004	Hallman et al.	6,842,861	B1	1/2005	Cox et al.
6,684,329	B1	1/2004	Epstein et al.	6,843,190	B1	1/2005	LaPierre-McAfee
6,687,833	B1	2/2004	●sborne et al.	6,845,449	B1	1/2005	Carman et al.
6,693,888	B2	2/2004	Cafarelli et al.	6,851,058	B1	2/2005	Gartside
6,697,337	B1	2/2004	Cafarelli et al.	6,851,061	B1	2/2005	Holland, III et al.
6,697,870	B1	2/2004	Cafarelli, III et al.	6,859,793	B1	2/2005	Lambiase
6,697,950	B1	2/2004	Ko	6,862,581	B1	3/2005	Lambiase
6,701,440	B1	3/2004	Kim et al.	6,868,069	B2	3/2005	Knobbe et al.
6,701,441	B1	3/2004	Balasubramaniam et al.	6,868,413	B1	3/2005	Grindrod et al.
6,704,874	B1	3/2004	Porras et al.	6,871,279	B2	3/2005	Sames et al.
6,707,794	B1	3/2004	Leong et al.	6,874,018	B2	3/2005	Wu
6,708,292	B1	3/2004	Mangasarian	6,879,812	B2	4/2005	Agrawal et al.
6,711,127	B1	3/2004	Gorman et al.	6,886,099	B1	4/2005	Smithson et al.
6,714,513	B1	3/2004	Joiner et al.	6,892,227	B1	5/2005	Elwell et al.
6,718,469	B2	4/2004	Pak et al.	6,892,241	B2	5/2005	Kouznetsov et al.
6,721,847	B2	4/2004	Hursey	6,895,387	B1	5/2005	Roberts et al.
6,725,377	B1	4/2004	Kouznetsov	6,895,513	B1	5/2005	Balasubramaniam et al.
6,728,219	B1	4/2004	Leong et al.	6,898,632	B2	5/2005	Gordy et al.
6,728,885	B1	4/2004	Taylor et al.	6,898,712	B2	5/2005	Vignoles et al.
6,732,157	B1	5/2004	Gordon et al.	6,898,715	B1	5/2005	Smithson et al.
6,735,629	B1	5/2004	Cafarelli, III et al.	6,904,521	B1	6/2005	Jivsov
6,735,703	B1	5/2004	Kilpatrick et al.	6,907,396	B1	6/2005	Muttik et al.
6,742,124	B1	5/2004	Kilpatrick et al.	6,907,531	B1 *	6/2005	Dodd ..... H04L 63/1433 709/224
6,742,128	B1	5/2004	Joiner	6,915,426	B1	7/2005	Cannan et al.
6,745,192	B1	6/2004	Libenzi	6,920,493	B1	7/2005	Schwab
6,745,311	B2	6/2004	Fabrizio et al.	6,920,558	B2	7/2005	Sames et al.
6,748,534	B1	6/2004	Gryaznov et al.	6,922,776	B2	7/2005	Cook et al.
6,751,627	B2	6/2004	Sternin	6,925,443	B1 *	8/2005	Baggett, Jr. .... G06F 21/577 705/1.1
6,751,718	B1	6/2004	Manin	6,925,572	B1	8/2005	Amit et al.
6,754,488	B1	6/2004	Won et al.	6,928,555	B1	8/2005	Drew
6,754,705	B2	6/2004	Joiner et al.	6,931,540	B1	8/2005	Edwards et al.
6,754,896	B2	6/2004	Mishra et al.	6,931,546	B1	8/2005	Kouznetsov et al.
6,757,727	B1	6/2004	Ivory	6,934,857	B1	8/2005	Bartleson et al.
6,757,822	B1	6/2004	Feiertag et al.	6,938,161	B2	8/2005	Vignoles et al.
6,757,830	B1	6/2004	Tarbotton et al.	6,941,358	B1	9/2005	Joiner et al.
6,760,845	B1	7/2004	Cafarelli et al.	6,944,775	B2	9/2005	Barton et al.
6,763,403	B2	7/2004	Cheng et al.	6,947,986	B1	9/2005	Huang et al.
6,763,466	B1	7/2004	Glover	6,950,933	B1	9/2005	Cook et al.
6,766,458	B1	7/2004	Harris et al.	6,950,947	B1	9/2005	Purtell et al.
6,768,721	B1	7/2004	Schmitz et al.	6,952,779	B1	10/2005	Cohen et al.
6,768,991	B2	7/2004	Hearnden	6,957,348	B1 *	10/2005	Flowers ..... G06F 21/552 370/229
6,769,016	B2	7/2004	Rothwell et al.	6,959,418	B1	10/2005	Churchyard
6,772,332	B1	8/2004	Boebert et al.	6,961,783	B1	11/2005	Cook et al.
6,772,334	B1	8/2004	Glawitsch	6,963,978	B1	11/2005	Muttik et al.
6,772,345	B1	8/2004	Shetty	6,964,014	B1	11/2005	Parish
6,775,675	B1	8/2004	Nwabueze et al.	6,965,928	B1	11/2005	Cox et al.
6,775,704	B1	8/2004	Watson et al.	6,966,059	B1	11/2005	Shetty et al.
				6,968,461	B1	11/2005	Lucas et al.

## US 10,154,055 B2

Page 4

(56)

## References Cited

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,970,697 B2	11/2005	Kouznetsov et al.	7,114,183 B1	9/2006	Joiner
6,970,823 B1	11/2005	Yago et al.	7,114,185 B2	9/2006	Moore et al.
6,971,023 B1	11/2005	Makinson et al.	7,117,533 B1	10/2006	Libenzi
6,973,577 B1	12/2005	Kouznetsov	7,120,252 B1	10/2006	Jones et al.
6,973,578 B1	12/2005	McChione	7,124,181 B1	10/2006	Magdych et al.
6,976,068 B2	12/2005	Kadam et al.	7,124,438 B2	10/2006	Judge et al.
6,976,168 B1	12/2005	Branstad et al.	7,134,140 B2	11/2006	Fisher
6,978,454 B2	12/2005	Singleton	7,136,487 B1	11/2006	Schon et al.
6,980,992 B1	12/2005	Hursey et al.	7,140,042 B2	11/2006	Verma
6,981,280 B2	12/2005	Grupe	7,146,155 B2	12/2006	Kouznetsov
6,983,325 B1	1/2006	Watson et al.	7,146,642 B1	12/2006	Magdych et al.
6,983,326 B1	1/2006	Vigue et al.	7,149,189 B2	12/2006	Huntington et al.
6,983,380 B2	1/2006	Ko	7,150,042 B2	12/2006	Wolff et al.
6,983,486 B1	1/2006	Hanchett	7,152,105 B2	12/2006	McClure et al.
6,986,160 B1	1/2006	LeGrow	7,152,241 B2	12/2006	Grupe
6,987,963 B2	1/2006	Kouznetsov et al.	7,154,857 B1	12/2006	Joiner et al.
6,988,209 B1	1/2006	Balasubramaniam et al.	7,159,036 B2	1/2007	Hinchliffe et al.
6,990,591 B1	1/2006	Pearson	7,159,237 B2	1/2007	Schneier et al.
6,993,660 B1	1/2006	Libenzi et al.	7,162,698 B2	1/2007	Huntington et al.
7,000,247 B2	2/2006	Banzhof	7,171,681 B1	1/2007	Duncan et al.
7,000,250 B1	2/2006	Kuo et al.	7,171,690 B2	1/2007	Kouznetsov et al.
7,003,561 B1	2/2006	Magdych et al.	7,178,144 B2	2/2007	Melchione et al.
7,007,299 B2	2/2006	Ioelle et al.	7,178,166 B1 *	2/2007	Taylor ..... G06F 21/577
7,007,302 B1	2/2006	Jagger et al.			709/228
7,010,696 B1	3/2006	Cambridge et al.	7,181,015 B2	2/2007	Matt
7,013,330 B1	3/2006	Tarbotton et al.	7,181,506 B1	2/2007	Vigue et al.
7,016,939 B1	3/2006	Rothwell et al.	7,181,613 B2	2/2007	Boebert et al.
7,016,948 B1	3/2006	Yildiz	7,181,769 B1	2/2007	Keanini et al.
7,023,861 B2	4/2006	Makinson et al.	7,185,232 B1	2/2007	Leavy et al.
7,024,432 B2	4/2006	Muttik et al.	7,185,361 B1	2/2007	Ashoff et al.
7,024,694 B1	4/2006	Ko	7,188,367 B1	3/2007	Edwards et al.
7,035,544 B1	4/2006	Won	7,203,963 B1	4/2007	Wu et al.
7,036,147 B1	4/2006	Hursey	7,209,954 B1	4/2007	Rothwell et al.
7,039,702 B1	5/2006	Churchyard	7,210,041 B1	4/2007	Gryaznov et al.
7,039,721 B1	5/2006	Wu et al.	7,210,168 B2	4/2007	Hursey et al.
7,043,024 B1	5/2006	Dinsmore et al.	7,213,153 B2	5/2007	Hollander et al.
7,043,634 B2	5/2006	Wolff et al.	7,213,260 B2	5/2007	Judge
7,043,756 B2	5/2006	Tsafnat et al.	7,216,343 B2	5/2007	Das et al.
7,043,758 B2	5/2006	Grupe	7,222,366 B2	5/2007	Bruton et al.
7,047,297 B2	5/2006	Huntington et al.	7,225,466 B2	5/2007	Judge
7,051,077 B2	5/2006	Lin	7,228,565 B2	6/2007	Wolff et al.
7,051,097 B1	5/2006	Pecina	7,228,566 B2	6/2007	Caceres et al.
7,051,365 B1	5/2006	Bellovin	7,231,440 B1	6/2007	Kouznetsov et al.
7,055,036 B2	5/2006	Kouznetsov et al.	7,231,637 B1	6/2007	McEwan
7,058,975 B2	6/2006	Edwards et al.	7,231,664 B2	6/2007	Markham et al.
7,062,555 B1	6/2006	Kouznetsov et al.	7,231,665 B1	6/2007	McArdle et al.
7,062,783 B1	6/2006	Joiner	7,234,163 B1	6/2007	Rayes et al.
7,065,790 B1	6/2006	Gryaznov	7,234,165 B1	6/2007	Hursey et al.
7,069,316 B1	6/2006	Gryaznov	7,234,167 B2	6/2007	Teblyashkin et al.
7,069,330 B1	6/2006	McArdle et al.	7,234,168 B2	6/2007	Gupta et al.
7,069,581 B2	6/2006	Fu et al.	7,237,008 B1	6/2007	Tarbotton et al.
7,069,594 B1	6/2006	Bolin	7,237,122 B2	6/2007	Kadam et al.
7,073,198 B1	7/2006	Flowers et al.	7,237,222 B1	6/2007	Nedbal et al.
7,076,650 B1	7/2006	Sonnenberg	7,237,258 B1	6/2007	Pantuso et al.
7,076,801 B2	7/2006	Gong et al.	7,240,102 B1	7/2007	Kouznetsov et al.
7,080,000 B1	7/2006	Cambridge	7,240,193 B2	7/2007	Hatfalvi et al.
7,080,141 B1	7/2006	Baekelmans et al.	7,243,148 B2	7/2007	Keir et al.
7,080,408 B1	7/2006	Pak et al.	7,243,373 B2	7/2007	Muttik et al.
7,085,931 B1	8/2006	Smith	7,249,187 B2	7/2007	Sobel et al.
7,085,934 B1	8/2006	Edwards	7,251,685 B1	7/2007	Yildiz
7,086,050 B2	8/2006	Barton et al.	7,251,830 B1	7/2007	Melchione
7,089,259 B1	8/2006	Kouznetsov et al.	7,254,811 B2	8/2007	Kouznetsov et al.
7,089,302 B1	8/2006	Churchyard et al.	7,257,630 B2	8/2007	Cole et al.
7,093,002 B2	8/2006	Wolff et al.	7,257,773 B1	8/2007	Jagger et al.
7,093,121 B2	8/2006	Barton et al.	7,257,842 B2	8/2007	Barton et al.
7,093,292 B1	8/2006	Pantuso	7,263,561 B1	8/2007	Green et al.
7,093,293 B1	8/2006	Smithson et al.	7,263,718 B2	8/2007	●Brien et al.
7,096,368 B2	8/2006	Kouznetsov et al.	7,266,843 B2	9/2007	Tarbotton et al.
7,096,500 B2	8/2006	Roberts et al.	7,266,844 B2	9/2007	Teblyashkin et al.
7,096,501 B2	8/2006	Kouznetsov et al.	7,269,649 B1	9/2007	Brown et al.
7,096,503 B1	8/2006	Magdych et al.	7,269,851 B2	9/2007	Ackroyd
7,107,366 B2	9/2006	Cheng et al.	7,272,724 B2	9/2007	Tarbotton et al.
7,107,574 B1	9/2006	Nedbal	7,277,957 B2	10/2007	Rowley et al.
7,107,617 B2	9/2006	Hursey et al.	7,278,161 B2	10/2007	Lingafelt et al.
7,107,618 B1	9/2006	Gordon et al.	7,278,163 B2	10/2007	Banzhof et al.
			7,281,267 B2	10/2007	Tarbotton et al.
			7,281,268 B2	10/2007	Hollander et al.
			7,284,267 B1	10/2007	McArdle et al.
			7,296,080 B2	11/2007	Rowley et al.

## US 10,154,055 B2

Page 5

(56)

## References Cited

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

7,299,361 B1	11/2007	Kim et al.	7,516,483 B2	4/2009	Brennan
7,302,584 B2	11/2007	Tarbotton et al.	7,516,490 B2	4/2009	Riordan et al.
7,302,706 B1	11/2007	Hicks et al.	7,519,954 B1	4/2009	Beddoe et al.
7,305,005 B1	12/2007	Wu et al.	7,519,994 B2	4/2009	Judge et al.
7,305,709 B1	12/2007	Lymer et al.	7,522,531 B2	4/2009	Joiner et al.
7,308,493 B2	12/2007	Liang	7,533,272 B1	5/2009	Gordon et al.
7,308,702 B1	12/2007	Thomsen et al.	7,536,456 B2	5/2009	Williams et al.
7,308,706 B2	12/2007	Markham et al.	7,536,715 B2	5/2009	Markham
7,308,712 B2	12/2007	Banzhof	7,540,031 B2	5/2009	Kouznetsov et al.
7,308,715 B2	12/2007	Gupta et al.	7,543,056 B2	6/2009	McClure et al.
7,310,817 B2	12/2007	Hinchliffe et al.	7,543,070 B1	6/2009	Watson et al.
7,310,818 B1	12/2007	Parish et al.	7,543,329 B2	6/2009	Viets et al.
7,315,801 B1	1/2008	Dowd et al.	7,543,334 B2	6/2009	Vignoles et al.
7,315,894 B2	1/2008	Huntington et al.	7,549,168 B1	6/2009	Magdych et al.
7,325,252 B2	1/2008	Bunker, V et al.	7,552,480 B1	6/2009	Voss
7,328,234 B1	2/2008	Nedbal et al.	7,555,776 B1	6/2009	Lymer et al.
7,331,061 B1 *	2/2008	Ramsey ..... H04L 63/0218	7,562,388 B2	7/2009	Hackenberger et al.
		726/11	7,574,740 B1	8/2009	Kennis
7,334,222 B2	2/2008	Keller	7,587,759 B1	9/2009	McArdle et al.
7,340,774 B2	3/2008	Hursey et al.	7,590,247 B1	9/2009	Dinsmore et al.
7,340,775 B1	3/2008	Tarbotton et al.	7,590,725 B1	9/2009	Harrison
7,343,626 B1	3/2008	Gallagher	7,590,844 B1	9/2009	Sherman et al.
7,346,781 B2	3/2008	Cowie et al.	7,590,855 B2	9/2009	Irwin
7,346,929 B1	3/2008	Hammond	7,590,859 B2	9/2009	Brennan
7,350,203 B2	3/2008	Jahn	7,594,262 B2	9/2009	Hanzlik et al.
7,350,234 B2	3/2008	Goseva-Popstojanova et al.	7,594,273 B2	9/2009	Keanini et al.
7,353,539 B2	4/2008	Brawn et al.	7,603,711 B2	10/2009	Scheidell
7,359,626 B2	4/2008	McMurdie et al.	7,610,610 B2 *	10/2009	Haefele ..... H04L 63/0218
7,359,962 B2	4/2008	Willebeek-LeMair et al.			726/1
7,367,054 B2	4/2008	Soppera	7,613,625 B2	11/2009	Heinrich
7,373,659 B1	5/2008	Vignoles et al.	7,620,816 B1	11/2009	Vigue et al.
7,376,842 B1	5/2008	Hursey	7,624,422 B2	11/2009	Williams et al.
7,380,270 B2	5/2008	Tracy et al.	7,624,444 B2	11/2009	Gupta et al.
7,383,556 B1	6/2008	Pantuso et al.	7,624,450 B1	11/2009	Lymer et al.
7,383,581 B1	6/2008	Moore et al.	7,627,891 B2	12/2009	Williams et al.
7,389,539 B1	6/2008	Kouznetsov	7,634,800 B2	12/2009	Ide et al.
7,391,770 B1	6/2008	Taylor et al.	7,640,335 B1	12/2009	Agrawal et al.
7,392,043 B2	6/2008	Kouznetsov et al.	7,640,361 B1	12/2009	Green et al.
7,398,272 B2	7/2008	Hindawi et al.	7,640,586 B1	12/2009	Johnson et al.
7,398,516 B2	7/2008	Berg et al.	7,644,352 B2	1/2010	Grupe
7,398,517 B2	7/2008	Berg et al.	7,647,376 B1	1/2010	Jagger et al.
7,401,359 B2	7/2008	Gartside et al.	7,650,638 B1	1/2010	Njemanze et al.
7,409,593 B2	8/2008	Aaron	7,664,845 B2	2/2010	Kurtz et al.
7,409,714 B2	8/2008	Gupta et al.	7,665,137 B1	2/2010	Barton et al.
7,409,720 B1	8/2008	Cambridge et al.	7,668,947 B2	2/2010	Hutchinson et al.
7,412,721 B2	8/2008	Torii et al.	7,669,237 B2	2/2010	Shahbazi
7,412,722 B1	8/2008	Norris et al.	7,673,043 B2	3/2010	Keir et al.
7,412,723 B2	8/2008	Blake et al.	7,673,146 B2	3/2010	Wiedmann et al.
7,415,726 B2	8/2008	Kelly et al.	7,673,150 B2	3/2010	Cox et al.
7,418,730 B2	8/2008	Chu et al.	7,673,242 B1	3/2010	Huntington et al.
7,418,733 B2	8/2008	Connary et al.	7,673,342 B2	3/2010	Hursey et al.
7,418,734 B2	8/2008	Berg et al.	7,673,343 B1	3/2010	Barton et al.
7,418,737 B2	8/2008	Grupe	7,674,298 B1	3/2010	Cambridge
7,421,266 B1	9/2008	Bruestle et al.	7,681,208 B1	3/2010	Pantuso et al.
7,421,587 B2	9/2008	Cowie et al.	7,693,742 B1	4/2010	Joiner et al.
7,424,706 B2	9/2008	Ivanov et al.	7,693,947 B2	4/2010	Judge et al.
7,424,744 B1	9/2008	Wu et al.	7,694,128 B2	4/2010	Judge et al.
7,424,746 B1	9/2008	Magdych et al.	7,694,339 B2	4/2010	Blake et al.
7,426,551 B1	9/2008	Benzinger et al.	7,698,275 B2	4/2010	●Brien et al.
7,440,403 B2	10/2008	Rosenfeld	7,711,662 B2	5/2010	Buscema
7,440,471 B1	10/2008	Hinchliffe et al.	7,712,138 B2	5/2010	Zobel et al.
7,441,274 B1	10/2008	Drew	7,716,719 B2	5/2010	Kouznetsov et al.
7,451,488 B2	11/2008	Cooper et al.	7,716,742 B1	5/2010	Roesch et al.
7,458,098 B2	11/2008	Judge et al.	7,721,110 B2	5/2010	Kouznetsov et al.
7,461,403 B1	12/2008	Libenzi et al.	7,739,722 B2	6/2010	●kajo et al.
7,464,408 B1	12/2008	Shah et al.	7,757,293 B2	7/2010	Caceres et al.
7,472,419 B1	12/2008	Balasubramaniam et al.	7,757,361 B2	7/2010	Edwards et al.
7,472,421 B2	12/2008	Cummins	7,761,605 B1	7/2010	Rothwell et al.
7,480,941 B1	1/2009	Balasubramaniam et al.	7,761,920 B2	7/2010	Bezilla et al.
7,483,861 B1	1/2009	Joiner et al.	7,770,225 B2	8/2010	Hammond
7,493,659 B1	2/2009	Wu et al.	7,779,466 B2	8/2010	Judge et al.
7,496,043 B1	2/2009	Leong et al.	7,779,468 B1	8/2010	Magdych et al.
7,496,960 B1	2/2009	Chen et al.	7,783,545 B2	8/2010	Sloan et al.
7,509,676 B2	3/2009	Trueba	7,788,705 B2	8/2010	Bruestle et al.
7,509,681 B2	3/2009	Flowers et al.	7,788,718 B1	8/2010	Fei et al.
			7,793,346 B1	9/2010	Daub
			7,793,348 B2	9/2010	Lingafelt et al.
			7,801,980 B1	9/2010	Roesch et al.
			7,810,091 B2	10/2010	Gartside et al.



## US 10,154,055 B2

Page 6

(56)

## References Cited

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

7,818,739 B2	10/2010	Cox et al.	8,225,407 B1	7/2012	Thrower et al.
7,822,666 B1	10/2010	Bursch	8,230,497 B2	7/2012	Norman et al.
7,823,204 B2	10/2010	Gupta et al.	8,230,502 B1	7/2012	Lymer et al.
7,827,607 B2	11/2010	Sobel et al.	8,239,941 B1	8/2012	Lymer et al.
7,827,611 B2	11/2010	Kouznetsov et al.	8,250,654 B1	8/2012	Kennedy et al.
7,836,501 B2	11/2010	Sobel et al.	8,256,002 B2	8/2012	Chandrashekhara et al.
7,840,663 B1	11/2010	Hinchliffe et al.	8,260,906 B1	9/2012	Freedman
7,841,007 B2	11/2010	Currie et al.	8,266,320 B1	9/2012	Bell et al.
7,845,007 B1	11/2010	Kennis	8,266,703 B1	9/2012	Magdych et al.
7,861,285 B2	12/2010	Ashoff et al.	8,291,505 B2	10/2012	Hursey
7,861,303 B2	12/2010	Kouznetsov et al.	8,310,955 B1	11/2012	Wiedmann et al.
7,865,938 B2	1/2011	Shahbazi	8,312,535 B1	11/2012	Gordon et al.
7,865,956 B1	1/2011	Cambridge et al.	8,341,693 B2	12/2012	Shahbazi
7,870,203 B2	1/2011	Judge et al.	8,359,650 B2	1/2013	Lotem et al.
7,882,555 B2	2/2011	Ben-Itzhak	8,364,772 B1	1/2013	Mathur et al.
7,895,641 B2	2/2011	Schneier et al.	8,380,791 B1	2/2013	Gordon et al.
7,900,254 B1	3/2011	Fisher et al.	8,387,146 B2	2/2013	Parish et al.
7,903,549 B2	3/2011	Judge et al.	8,393,001 B1	3/2013	Libenzi et al.
7,904,955 B1	3/2011	Bu et al.	8,402,540 B2	3/2013	Kapoor et al.
7,913,303 B1 *	3/2011	Rouland ..... H04L 63/0227 726/22	8,407,683 B2	3/2013	Cheng et al.
7,917,444 B1	3/2011	Bursch	8,407,798 B1	3/2013	Lotem et al.
7,917,647 B2	3/2011	Cooper et al.	8,468,256 B1	6/2013	McArdle et al.
7,917,951 B1	3/2011	Tarbotton et al.	8,490,197 B2	7/2013	Herz
7,921,459 B2	4/2011	Houston et al.	8,495,740 B1	7/2013	Rouland et al.
7,926,113 B1	4/2011	Gula et al.	8,510,839 B2	8/2013	Tarbotton et al.
7,941,854 B2	5/2011	Baffes et al.	8,527,977 B1	9/2013	Cheng et al.
7,941,855 B2	5/2011	Sung et al.	8,533,703 B2	9/2013	Cheng et al.
7,954,159 B2	5/2011	Hrabik et al.	8,539,063 B1	9/2013	Sharma et al.
7,958,554 B2	6/2011	Chow et al.	8,549,611 B2	10/2013	Judge et al.
7,975,296 B2	7/2011	Apfelbaum et al.	8,549,635 B2	10/2013	Mutik et al.
7,984,511 B2	7/2011	Kocher et al.	8,555,344 B1	10/2013	Wiedmann et al.
7,991,827 B1	8/2011	Whitmore et al.	8,555,374 B2	10/2013	Wu et al.
7,991,917 B1	8/2011	Wu et al.	8,561,167 B2	10/2013	Alperovitch et al.
7,996,201 B2	8/2011	Dowd et al.	8,561,175 B2	10/2013	Williams et al.
7,996,902 B1	8/2011	Gordon et al.	8,578,480 B2	11/2013	Judge et al.
8,010,387 B2	8/2011	Porter et al.	8,615,582 B2	12/2013	McClure et al.
8,015,611 B2	9/2011	Haefele et al.	8,621,060 B2	12/2013	McClure et al.
8,019,082 B1	9/2011	Wiedmann et al.	8,621,073 B2	12/2013	McClure et al.
8,020,211 B2	9/2011	Keanini et al.	8,621,077 B2	12/2013	Hinchliffe et al.
8,024,076 B2	9/2011	Schlueter et al.	8,627,443 B2	1/2014	Jagger et al.
8,042,149 B2	10/2011	Judge	8,627,466 B2	1/2014	Fisher et al.
8,042,178 B1	10/2011	Fisher et al.	8,631,124 B2	1/2014	Whitmore et al.
8,042,181 B2	10/2011	Judge	8,631,495 B2	1/2014	Judge
8,051,479 B1	11/2011	Bu et al.	8,634,309 B2	1/2014	●Brien
8,068,441 B1	11/2011	Wiedmann et al.	8,656,502 B2	2/2014	Gartside et al.
8,069,480 B1	11/2011	Tarbotton et al.	8,661,126 B2	2/2014	Cole et al.
8,069,481 B2	11/2011	Judge	8,700,767 B2	4/2014	Cole et al.
8,074,256 B2	12/2011	Valente et al.	8,706,576 B1	4/2014	Balasubramaniam et al.
8,074,282 B1	12/2011	Lymer et al.	8,732,835 B2	5/2014	Gordon et al.
8,090,816 B1	1/2012	Deshmukh et al.	8,744,938 B1	6/2014	Bursch
8,091,117 B2	1/2012	Williams et al.	8,766,553 B2	7/2014	Lin et al.
8,099,760 B2	1/2012	Cohen et al.	8,776,230 B1	7/2014	Singleton
8,115,769 B1	2/2012	Lymer et al.	8,782,260 B2	7/2014	Taylor et al.
8,117,273 B1	2/2012	Mathur et al.	8,789,193 B2	7/2014	Kelekar
8,121,874 B1	2/2012	Guheen et al.	9,984,644 B2	3/2015	●liphant et al.
8,121,892 B2	2/2012	Baggett, Jr. et al.	9,100,431 B2	8/2015	●liphant et al.
8,122,498 B1	2/2012	Gordon et al.	9,117,069 B2 *	8/2015	●liphant ..... H04L 63/1416
8,122,499 B2	2/2012	Hall	9,118,708 B2 *	8/2015	●liphant ..... G06F 21/57
8,127,359 B2	2/2012	Kelekar	9,225,686 B2	12/2015	●liphant et al.
8,132,250 B2	3/2012	Judge et al.	2001/0014150 A1	8/2001	Beebe et al.
8,135,823 B2	3/2012	Cole et al.	2001/0027388 A1	10/2001	Beverina et al.
8,135,830 B2	3/2012	McClure et al.	2001/0027389 A1	10/2001	Beverina et al.
8,140,642 B1	3/2012	Kadam et al.	2001/0028268 A1	10/2001	Shuler, Jr.
8,141,157 B2	3/2012	Farley et al.	2001/0028269 A1	10/2001	Shuler, Jr.
8,156,483 B2	4/2012	Berg et al.	2001/0034847 A1	10/2001	Stephen
8,171,551 B2	5/2012	Mutik et al.	2001/0042004 A1	11/2001	Taub
8,176,544 B2	5/2012	Keanini et al.	2001/0043778 A1	11/2001	Griffis et al.
8,176,553 B1	5/2012	Magdych et al.	2002/0013811 A1	1/2002	Kim
8,181,173 B2	5/2012	Childress et al.	2002/0026591 A1	2/2002	Hartley et al.
8,181,222 B2	5/2012	Thomsen et al.	2002/0032860 A1	3/2002	Wheeler et al.
8,185,930 B2	5/2012	Alperovitch et al.	2002/0042687 A1	4/2002	Tracy et al.
8,185,943 B1	5/2012	Jagger et al.	2002/0042877 A1	4/2002	Wheeler et al.
8,201,257 B1	6/2012	Andres et al.	2002/0069035 A1	6/2002	Tracy et al.
8,219,620 B2	7/2012	Hart	2002/0078381 A1	6/2002	Farley et al.
			2002/0087882 A1	7/2002	Schneier et al.
			2002/0092924 A1	7/2002	Ingham, Jr. et al.
			2002/0100036 A1	7/2002	Moshir et al.
			2002/0104014 A1	8/2002	Zobel et al.
			2002/0109963 A1	8/2002	Aoki et al.

## US 10,154,055 B2

Page 7

(56)	<b>References Cited</b>		2004/0088564 A1	5/2004	Norman
	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS		2004/0088565 A1	5/2004	Norman et al.
			2004/0088581 A1*	5/2004	Brawn ..... H04L 63/1433 726/25
2002/0112179 A1	8/2002	Riordan et al.	2004/0098623 A1	5/2004	Scheidell
2002/0116631 A1	8/2002	Torii et al.	2004/0102922 A1	5/2004	Tracy et al.
2002/0120558 A1	8/2002	Reid	2004/0102923 A1	5/2004	Tracy et al.
2002/0137991 A1	9/2002	Scarantino et al.	2004/0103310 A1	5/2004	Sobel et al.
2002/0138416 A1	9/2002	Lovejoy et al.	2004/0103431 A1	5/2004	Davenport et al.
2002/0147803 A1*	10/2002	Dodd ..... G06F 21/577 709/223	2004/0106955 A1	6/2004	Swerdlow et al.
2002/0150866 A1	10/2002	Perry et al.	2004/0111637 A1	6/2004	Baffes et al.
2002/0171546 A1	11/2002	Evans et al.	2004/0117624 A1	6/2004	Brandt et al.
2002/0178383 A1	11/2002	Hrabik et al.	2004/0117640 A1	6/2004	Chu et al.
2002/0199122 A1	12/2002	Davis et al.	2004/0128529 A1	7/2004	Blake et al.
2003/0004689 A1	1/2003	Gupta et al.	2004/0128530 A1	7/2004	Isenberg
2003/0005178 A1	1/2003	Hemsath	2004/0128543 A1	7/2004	Blake et al.
2003/0014669 A1	1/2003	Caceres et al.	2004/0148520 A1	7/2004	Talpade et al.
2003/0023873 A1	1/2003	Ben-Itzhak	2004/0153171 A1	8/2004	Brandt et al.
2003/0028803 A1	2/2003	Bunker, V et al.	2004/0158545 A1	8/2004	Turgeon
2003/0033542 A1	2/2003	Goseva-Popstojanova et al.	2004/0167806 A1	8/2004	Eichhorn et al.
2003/0037258 A1	2/2003	Koren	2004/0172550 A1	9/2004	Sai
2003/0037601 A1	2/2003	Mansky et al.	2004/0181787 A1	9/2004	Wickham et al.
2003/0046128 A1	3/2003	Heinrich	2004/0192204 A1	9/2004	Periyalar et al.
2003/0050718 A1	3/2003	Tracy et al.	2004/0193918 A1	9/2004	Green et al.
2003/0051026 A1	3/2003	Carter et al.	2004/0210653 A1	10/2004	Kanoor et al.
2003/0051163 A1	3/2003	Bidaud	2004/0215972 A1	10/2004	Sung et al.
2003/0056116 A1	3/2003	Bunker et al.	2004/0215978 A1	10/2004	Okajo et al.
2003/0061506 A1	3/2003	Cooper et al.	2004/0221176 A1	11/2004	Cole
2003/0065945 A1	4/2003	Lingafelt et al.	2004/0243603 A1	12/2004	Komatsu
2003/0070003 A1	4/2003	Chong et al.	2004/0250107 A1	12/2004	Guo
2003/0083831 A1	5/2003	Agrawal et al.	2004/0250115 A1	12/2004	Gammel et al.
2003/0084320 A1	5/2003	Tarquini et al.	2004/0250128 A1	12/2004	Bush et al.
2003/0091951 A1	5/2003	Guilmette et al.	2004/0259640 A1	12/2004	Gentles et al.
2003/0097228 A1	5/2003	Satya et al.	2004/0268322 A1	12/2004	Chow et al.
2003/0126472 A1*	7/2003	Banzhof ..... G06F 21/577 726/25	2005/0005152 A1	1/2005	Singh et al.
2003/0135749 A1	7/2003	Gales et al.	2005/0005169 A1	1/2005	Kelekar
2003/0140249 A1	7/2003	Taninaka et al.	2005/0007743 A1	1/2005	Eckblad et al.
2003/0140250 A1	7/2003	Taninaka et al.	2005/0010819 A1	1/2005	Williams et al.
2003/0145228 A1	7/2003	Suuronen et al.	2005/0010821 A1	1/2005	Cooper et al.
2003/0154269 A1	8/2003	Nyanchama et al.	2005/0015382 A1	1/2005	Aaron
2003/0159060 A1	8/2003	Gales et al.	2005/0015667 A1	1/2005	Aaron
2003/0159063 A1	8/2003	Apfelbaum et al.	2005/0022021 A1	1/2005	Bardsley et al.
2003/0177389 A1	9/2003	Albert et al.	2005/0022028 A1	1/2005	Hall
2003/0188194 A1*	10/2003	Currie ..... G06Q 30/0601 726/22	2005/0033988 A1	2/2005	Chandrashekhar et al.
2003/0195569 A1	10/2003	Swerdlow et al.	2005/0049962 A1	3/2005	Porter et al.
2003/0195861 A1	10/2003	McClure et al.	2005/0091542 A1	4/2005	Banzhof
2003/0196123 A1	10/2003	Rowland et al.	2005/0114186 A1	5/2005	Heinrich
2003/0202663 A1	10/2003	Hollis et al.	2005/0160286 A1	7/2005	Currie et al.
2003/0204632 A1	10/2003	Willebeek-Lemair et al.	2005/0177752 A1	8/2005	Hollander et al.
2003/0204719 A1	10/2003	Ben-Itzhak	2005/0193430 A1	9/2005	Cohen et al.
2003/0204728 A1	10/2003	Irwin	2005/0195975 A1	9/2005	Kawakita
2003/0212779 A1	11/2003	Boyter et al.	2005/0216957 A1	9/2005	Banzhof et al.
2003/0212909 A1	11/2003	Chandrashekhar et al.	2005/0222969 A1	10/2005	Yip et al.
2003/0217039 A1	11/2003	Kurtz et al.	2005/0235360 A1	10/2005	Pearson
2003/0233438 A1	12/2003	Hutchinson et al.	2005/0240756 A1	10/2005	Mayer
2004/0006704 A1*	1/2004	Dahlstrom ..... G06F 21/577 726/25	2006/0004614 A1	1/2006	Hutchinson et al.
2004/0015338 A1	1/2004	Lawrence et al.	2006/0030972 A1	2/2006	Schlueter et al.
2004/0015728 A1	1/2004	Cole et al.	2006/0031938 A1	2/2006	Choi
2004/0015737 A1	1/2004	Martin et al.	2006/0041936 A1	2/2006	Anderson et al.
2004/0019803 A1	1/2004	Jahn	2006/0069912 A1	3/2006	Zheng et al.
2004/0021889 A1	2/2004	McAfee et al.	2006/0070129 A1	3/2006	Sobel et al.
2004/0022359 A1	2/2004	Acharya et al.	2006/0130139 A1	6/2006	Sobel et al.
2004/0024781 A1	2/2004	Youd	2006/0156407 A1	7/2006	Cummins
2004/0030778 A1	2/2004	Kronenberg et al.	2006/0168660 A1	7/2006	Edwards et al.
2004/0044912 A1	3/2004	Connary et al.	2006/0179325 A1	8/2006	Debiez
2004/0049372 A1	3/2004	Keller	2006/0191012 A1*	8/2006	Banzhof ..... G06F 21/577 726/25
2004/0054764 A1	3/2004	Aderton et al.	2006/0230006 A1	10/2006	Buscema
2004/0064722 A1	4/2004	Neelay et al.	2006/0265708 A1	11/2006	Blanding et al.
2004/0064726 A1*	4/2004	Girouard ..... G06F 21/577 726/25	2006/0265746 A1	11/2006	Farley et al.
2004/0064731 A1	4/2004	Nguyen et al.	2006/0272011 A1	11/2006	Ide et al.
2004/0071081 A1	4/2004	Rosenfled	2006/0293942 A1	12/2006	Chaddha et al.
2004/0073800 A1	4/2004	Shah et al.	2007/0016659 A1	1/2007	Peck et al.
2004/0078384 A1	4/2004	Keir et al.	2008/0005555 A1	1/2008	Lotem et al.
			2008/0047016 A1	2/2008	Spoonamore
			2008/0052054 A1	2/2008	Beverina et al.
			2008/0060073 A1	3/2008	Haefele et al.
			2008/0060075 A1	3/2008	Cox et al.
			2008/0127349 A1	5/2008	rmazabal et al.

## US 10,154,055 B2

Page 8

(56)

## References Cited

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2008/0216173	A1	9/2008	Hammond
2008/0222724	A1	9/2008	Ormazabal et al.
2008/0222731	A1	9/2008	Dowd et al.
2008/0263525	A1	10/2008	Berg et al.
2008/0263668	A1	10/2008	Chu et al.
2008/0271151	A1	10/2008	Blake et al.
2009/0077666	A1	3/2009	Chen et al.
2009/0320138	A1	12/2009	Keanini et al.
2009/0328213	A1	12/2009	Blake et al.
2010/0083382	A1	4/2010	Farley et al.
2010/0100961	A1	4/2010	Scheidell
2010/0218256	A1	8/2010	Thomas et al.
2010/0241478	A1	9/2010	Sahinoglu
2011/0131644	A1	6/2011	Keanini et al.
2011/0138036	A1	6/2011	Tyree
2011/0162049	A1	6/2011	Shahbazi
2011/0173342	A1	7/2011	Cooper et al.
2011/0173677	A1	7/2011	Tarbotton et al.
2011/0214179	A1	9/2011	Chow et al.
2011/0214185	A1	9/2011	Parish et al.
2011/0258315	A1	10/2011	Whitmore et al.
2011/0258694	A1	10/2011	Wu et al.
2012/0017278	A1	1/2012	Fisher et al.
2012/0030766	A1	2/2012	Tarbotton et al.
2012/0084867	A1	4/2012	Baggett, Jr. et al.
2012/0102570	A1	4/2012	Herz
2012/0110671	A1	5/2012	Beresnevichiene et al.
2012/0144476	A1	6/2012	McClure et al.
2012/0144493	A1	6/2012	Cole et al.
2012/0144494	A1	6/2012	Cole et al.
2012/0151594	A1	6/2012	McClure et al.
2012/0151595	A1	6/2012	McClure et al.
2012/0151596	A1	6/2012	McClure et al.
2012/0173931	A1	7/2012	Kube et al.
2012/0185549	A1	7/2012	Hart
2012/0185550	A1	7/2012	Hart
2012/0185551	A1	7/2012	Hart
2012/0192262	A1	7/2012	Jagger et al.
2012/0192279	A1	7/2012	Muttik et al.
2012/0204265	A1	8/2012	Judge
2012/0210434	A1	8/2012	Curtis et al.
2012/0271890	A1	10/2012	Judge et al.
2012/0317627	A1	12/2012	Chandrashekhhar et al.
2013/0031265	A1	1/2013	Freedman
2013/0031635	A1	1/2013	Lotem et al.
2013/0055394	A1	2/2013	Beresnevichiene et al.
2013/0167240	A1	6/2013	Kelekar
2013/0246620	A1	9/2013	Rothwell et al.
2013/0275999	A1	10/2013	Gordon et al.
2013/0312101	A1	11/2013	Lotem Amnon et al.
2014/0331326	A1	5/2014	Thakur
2014/0215605	A1	7/2014	Decime et al.
2015/0271142	A1*	9/2015	●liphant ..... G06F 21/554

726/12

## FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

JP	2002366525	A	12/2002
W●	1999056196	A1	11/1999
W●	W00214987	A2	2/2002
W●	2001091350	A3	1/2003
W●	W003007192	A1	1/2003
W●	W003029941	A2	2/2003
W●	W003029940	A2	4/2003
W●	2003060717	A1	7/2003
W●	W02004086168		10/2004
W●	W02004114663		12/2004

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 14/138,014 dated Feb. 24, 2015.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,226 dated Feb. 20, 2015.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,227 dated Jan. 27, 2015.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,230 dated Jan. 8, 2015.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,234 dated Feb. 10, 2015.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,235 dated Jan. 21, 2015.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,237 dated Jan. 20, 2015.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,241 dated Mar. 4, 2015.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,244 dated Feb. 23, 2015.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,246 dated Jan. 28, 2015.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,250 dated Jan. 16, 2015.

Interview Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 14/138,014 dated Feb. 9, 2015.

Interview Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 14/138,230 dated Feb. 9, 2015.

Interview Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 14/138,246 dated Feb. 3, 2015.

U.S. Appl. No. 60/484,085, filed Jul. 1, 2003.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/882,588, filed Jul. 1, 2004.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 10/882,588 dated May 29, 2009.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 10/882,588 dated Oct. 12, 2010.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 10/882,588 dated Jun. 12, 2012.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 10/882,588 dated Jan. 8, 2010.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 10/882,588 dated Apr. 15, 2011.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 10/882,588 dated Jun. 24, 2010.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 10/882,588 dated Sep. 26, 2007.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 10/882,588 dated Oct. 20, 2011.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/882,788, filed Jul. 1, 2004.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 10/882,788 dated Dec. 22, 2008.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 10/882,788 dated Jul. 10, 2008.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 10/882,788 dated Oct. 4, 2007.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/882,852, filed Jul. 1, 2004.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 10/882,852 dated May 15, 2013.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 10/882,852 dated Jun. 1, 2010.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 10/882,852 dated Aug. 14, 2008.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 10/882,852 dated Jun. 24, 2009.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 10/882,852 dated Nov. 1, 2007.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/882,853, filed Jul. 1, 2004.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 10/882,853 dated Mar. 18, 2009.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 10/882,853 dated Apr. 30, 2008.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 10/882,853 dated Oct. 17, 2008.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 10/882,853 dated Oct. 31, 2007.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/883,376, filed Jul. 1, 2004.

●fice Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 10/883,376 dated Sep. 11, 2008.



## US 10,154,055 B2

Page 9

(56)

## References Cited

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Office Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 10/883,376 dated May 6, 2008.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 10/884,329, filed Jul. 1, 2004.  
 Office Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 10/884,329 dated Nov. 29, 2007.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 14/138,014, filed Dec. 31, 2013.  
 Office Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 14/138,014 dated Aug. 28, 2014.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,226, filed Sep. 28, 2014.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,227, filed Sep. 28, 2014.  
 Office Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,227 dated Dec. 15, 2014.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,230, filed Sep. 28, 2014.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,234, filed Sep. 28, 2014.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,235, filed Sep. 28, 2014.  
 Office Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,235 dated Dec. 15, 2014.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,236, filed Sep. 28, 2014.  
 Office Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,236 dated Dec. 30, 2014.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,237, filed Sep. 28, 2014.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,239, filed Sep. 29, 2014.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,241, filed Sep. 29, 2014.  
 Office Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,241 dated Dec. 15, 2014.  
 U.S. Appl. 14/499,244, filed Sep. 29, 2014.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,246, filed Sep. 29, 2014.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,250, filed Sep. 29, 2014.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 60/448,313, filed Feb. 14, 2003.  
 "Symantec unveils vulnerability assessment tool," May 13, 2003, retrieved from <https://web.archive.org/web/20140818164413/http://www.computerweekly.com/news/2240050753/Symantec-unveils-vulnerability-assessment-tool>.  
 Symantec Corporation, Symantec Introduces New Vulnerability Assessment Solution: Symantec Vulnerability Assessment Provides Vulnerability Identification and Prioritization to Proactively Secure Systems at Risk, News Release, May 12, 2003, retrieved from <http://www.symantec.com/press/2003/n030512a.html>.  
 "Introduction to Symantec Vulnerability Assessment 1.0," Jan. 1, 2003, retrieved from <http://www.symantec.com/business/support/index?page=content&id=TECH111970>.  
 Gula, Ron, "Correlating IDS Alerts with Vulnerability Information," Dec. 2002 (Updated Jan. 2009), pp. 1-10, retrieved from <http://docs.huihoo.com/nessus/va-ids.pdf>.  
 Desai, Neil, "IDS Correlation of VA Data and IDS Alerts," Jun. 29, 2003, retrieved from <http://www.symantec.com/connect/articles/ids-correlation-va-data-and-ids-alerts>.  
 "Qualys Introduces First Network Vulnerability Assessment Platform for Managed Security and Consulting Organizations," Apr. 10, 2001, retrieved from <http://www.qualys.com/company/newsroom/news-releases/usa/2001-04-10-3/>.  
 "Qualys Introduces New Intranet Scanner Appliance," Sep. 30, 2002, retrieved from <http://www.qualys.com/company/newsroom/news-releases/usa/2002-09-30/>.  
 Qualys "Case Study: Bank of the West—Major Financial Institution Protects Information Assets Through Regular Network Vulnerability Audits," Jul. 2005, retrieved from [http://www.qualys.com/docs/bank\\_of\\_west.pdf](http://www.qualys.com/docs/bank_of_west.pdf).  
 Gula, Ron, "Example Lightning Deployment Scenarios," Dec. 2002, 5 pages, retrieved from <https://web.archive.org/web/20030316012821/http://www.tenablesecurity.com/example.pdf>.  
 Gula, Ron, "Offering Managed Security Services with Lightning," Dec. 2002, 6 pages, retrieved from <https://web.archive.org/web/2003031605246/http://www.tenablesecurity.com/manage.pdf>.  
 Tenable Network Security Inc., "Lightning Console," 2002, retrieved from <https://web.archive.org/web/20030416093233/http://www.tenablesecurity.com/console.html>.

Tenable Network Security Inc., "Screen Shots," 2002, retrieved from <https://web.archive.org/web/20030214013753/http://www.tenablesecurity.com/screenshots.html>.  
 SecurityProfiling, "News," Jul. 2001, retrieved from <https://web.archive.org/web/20021209114707/http://securityprofiling.com/news.htm>.  
 Symantec Corporation, "Norton Ghost™ User's Guide," 2002, 221 pages.  
 Symantec Corporation, "Symantec™ Vulnerability Assessment Implementation Guide," Version 1.0, 2003, 103 pages.  
 Huber, Robert, "Strategies for Improving Vulnerability Assessment Effectiveness in Large Organizations," SANS Institute InfoSec Reading Room, Version 1.4b (amended Aug. 29, 2002), GSEC Option 1, Apr. 20, 2003, 18 pages.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 60/441,422, filed Jan. 21, 2003.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 60/388,078, filed Jun. 11, 2002.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 60/345,689, filed Dec. 31, 2001.  
 CERT Incident Note IN-2000-07, "Exploitation of Hidden File Extensions", Jul. 27, 2000, at CERT.org, pp. 1-3.  
 Julia Allen et al., "State of the Practice of Intrusion Detection Technologies," Jan. 2000, Networked Systems Survivability Program, cover p-p. 220 and report documentation page.  
 "Review SPQUERY", in Network Computing, Nov. 2000, [www.networkcomputer.co.uk/back\\_iss/prod\\_update/reviews/spquery4.1.htm](http://www.networkcomputer.co.uk/back_iss/prod_update/reviews/spquery4.1.htm).  
 Business Wire, "Ready for Windows 2000 Service Pack 1? St. Bernard Software's SPQuery 4.0 is Here Just Time," Aug. 2, 2000, pp. 1-2, [www.findarticles.com/p/articles/mi\\_m0EIN/is\\_August\\_2/ai\\_63819686/print](http://www.findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_m0EIN/is_August_2/ai_63819686/print).  
 Mark Joseph Edwards, "Useful SPQuery 3.0 automates finding, installing hotfixes," in InfoWorld, Jun. 21, 1999, [www.infoworld.com/cgi-bin/displayArchive.pl?/99/25/c04-25.46.htm](http://www.infoworld.com/cgi-bin/displayArchive.pl?/99/25/c04-25.46.htm).  
 SPQuery User's Manual, Version 4.2, pp. 1-58.  
 WebConsole Core 4.0 for NetWare, archived May 11, 2000, pp. 1-3 [http://web.archive.org/web/20000511133746/www.patchlink.com/products/wcnw\\_product...](http://web.archive.org/web/20000511133746/www.patchlink.com/products/wcnw_product...)  
 PatchLink, Gravitix the Patch Finder, archived Aug. 15, 2000, <http://web.archive.org/web/20000815094824/www.patchlink.com/products/gravitix.asp>.  
 Steve Manzuik, "Ultimate Security Toolkit", InstantDoc #9202, May 16, 2000, pp. 1-3 <http://web.archive.org/web/2001160751/www.ntsecurity.net/Articles/Index.cfm?ArticleId=9202&SearchString=cyber>.  
 "Network Vulnerability Assessment Report," Apr. 19, 2000, pp. 1-4, [http://web.archive.org/web/20000917072109/documents.iss.net/literature/InternetScanner/reports/Line\\_Mgmt\\_Vulnerability\\_Assessment\\_Report.pdf](http://web.archive.org/web/20000917072109/documents.iss.net/literature/InternetScanner/reports/Line_Mgmt_Vulnerability_Assessment_Report.pdf).  
 "Host Vulnerability Summary Report," Apr. 19, 2000, pp. 1-8, [http://web.archive.org/web/20000917072216/documents.iss.net/literature/InternetScanner/reports/Technician\\_Host\\_Vulnerability\\_Summary\\_Report.pdf](http://web.archive.org/web/20000917072216/documents.iss.net/literature/InternetScanner/reports/Technician_Host_Vulnerability_Summary_Report.pdf).  
 Internet Security Systems, Inc.: Securing E-Business, ISS SAFEsuite products, Security Assessment: Internet Scanner, archived Aug. 20, 2000 [http://web.archive.org/web/2000082003428/www.iss.net/securing\\_e-business/security\\_pr...](http://web.archive.org/web/2000082003428/www.iss.net/securing_e-business/security_pr...)  
 "Internet Security Systems; Internet Scanner," archived Jun. 6, 2001, pp. 1-2 [http://web.archive.org/web/2001060422406/documents.iss.net/literature/InternetScanner/is\\_ps.pdf](http://web.archive.org/web/2001060422406/documents.iss.net/literature/InternetScanner/is_ps.pdf).  
 "To Setup Secure PC Network:", archived Aug. 16, 2000, pp. 1-15 <http://web.archive.org/web/20000816192628/www.citadel.com/downloads/SPC+readme.txt>.  
 "Microsoft Security Glossary", published Oct. 29, 2002, updated Dec. 19, 2005, pp. 1-18, [www.microsoft.com/security/glossary.mspx](http://www.microsoft.com/security/glossary.mspx).  
 U.S. Appl. No. 60/234,680, filed Sep. 22, 2000.  
 Gravitix Screenshot, Jul. 24, 2000.  
 Notice of Allowance in U.S. Appl. No. 14/138,014 dated Jul. 10, 2015.  
 Office Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 14/816,931 dated Dec. 28, 2015.  
 Notice of Allowance in U.S. Appl. No. 14/816,931 dated Aug. 10, 2016.

## US 10,154,055 B2

Page 10

(56)

## References Cited

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Office Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 14/816,960 dated Dec. 30, 2015.

Notice of Allowance in U.S. Appl. No. 14/816,960 dated Sep. 13, 2016.

Notice of Allowance in U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,234 dated Jan. 19, 2016.

Notice of Allowance in U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,234 dated Jan. 29, 2016.

Press Release "New SecurityProfiling Anti-Vulnerability Technology Thwarts Hackers" SecurityProfiling (released Apr. 3, 2002, internet archive copy dated Apr. 29, 2002), available at <https://web.archive.org/web/20020429014824/http://securityprofiling.com/press4-03-02.htm>.

Webpage "Patching across the Enterprise" Information Security Magazine (Feb. 2002, internet archive copy dated Jun. 11, 2002), available at [https://web.archive.org/web/20020611094355/http://www.infosecmag.com/2002/feb/features\\_sidebar1.shtml](https://web.archive.org/web/20020611094355/http://www.infosecmag.com/2002/feb/features_sidebar1.shtml).

Webpage "News" tab archived copy of [www.securityprofiling.com/news.htm](http://www.securityprofiling.com/news.htm) (Aug. 2, 2002, internet archive copy dated Aug. 2, 2002), available at <https://web.archive.org/web/20020802143928/http://www.securityprofiling.com/news.htm>.

Webpage "What is Anti-VulnerabilityTM Technology?" SecurityProfiling (Apr. 5, 2002, internet archive copy dated Apr. 5, 2002), available at <https://web.archive.org/web/20020405174405/http://securityprofiling.com/antivulnerability.htm>.

Webpage "SysUpdate" SecurityProfiling (Apr. 5, 2002, internet archive copy dated Apr. 5, 2002), available at <https://web.archive.org/web/20020405201602/http://securityprofiling.com/sysupdate.htm>.

Webpage "SecurityProfiling's Anti-Vulnerability Technology" at Indiana Information Technology Exposition and Conference, SecurityProfiling (Jun. 5, 2002), available at <https://web.archive.org/web/20020802143928/http://www.securityprofiling.com/news.htm>.

Press Release "Hackers Thwarted with SecurityProfiling's Anti-Vulnerability Technology" SecurityProfiling (Jul. 1, 2002, internet archive copy dated Nov. 22, 2002), available at <https://web.archive.org/web/20021122000803/http://www.securityprofiling.com/press7-01-02.htm>.

Webpage "LogBoss" SecurityProfiling (Jun. 8, 2002, internet archive copy dated Jun. 8, 2002), available at <https://web.archive.org/web/20020608001141/http://www.securityprofiling.com/logboss.htm>.

Press Release "SecurityProfiling Introduces New Network Software" SecurityProfiling (Feb. 28, 2002, internet archive copy dated Jun. 8, 2002), available at <https://web.archive.org/web/20020608162907/http://www.securityprofiling.com/press2-28-02.htm>.

Webpage "News" SecurityProfiling (internet archive copy dated Dec. 9, 2002), available at <https://web.archive.org/web/20021209114707/http://securityprofiling.com/news.htm>.

Office Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,226 dated May 19, 2015.

Office Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,236 dated May 6, 2015.

Office Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,239 dated Jun. 18, 2015.

Office Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,246 dated Mar. 30, 2015.

Office Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,246 dated Apr. 28, 2015.

Office Action Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 14/499,250 dated May 13, 2015.

Office Action Summary from U.S. Appl. No. 14/834,170 dated Mar. 24, 2017.

Office Action Summary from U.S. Appl. No. 14/816,931 dated Jan. 13, 2017.

Office Action Summary from U.S. Appl. No. 14/816,931 dated Jun. 8, 2017.

Office Action Summary from U.S. Appl. No. 14/816,960 dated Jun. 2, 2017.

Hull, Scott, "GIAC Security Essentials Certification Practical Assignment," Version 1.4b, Option 2, May 31, 2003, found in GIAC Certifications, Global Information Assurance Certification Paper, SANS Institute (2003).

Martin, Robert, "The Vulnerabilities of Developing on the Net", CrossTalk Magazine, Apr. 2001.

Miles Tracy, Wayne Jansen, and Mark McLamon, "Guidelines on Securing Public Web Servers," NIST Special Publication 800-44 (Sep. 2002), Recommendations of the National Institute of Standards and Technology.

Miles Tracy, Wayne Jansen, and Scott Bisker, "Guidelines on Electronic Mail Security," NIST Special Publication 800-45 (Sep. 2002), Recommendations of the National Institute of Standards and Technology.

New Briefs, Journal of Research of the National Institute of Standards and Technology, vol. 108, No. 1, Jan.-Feb. 2003, pp. 87-102.

Notice of Allowance from U.S. Appl. No. 14/816,960 dated Oct. 4, 2017.

Notice of Allowance from U.S. Appl. No. 14/834,102 dated Apr. 14, 2017.

Notice of Allowance from U.S. Appl. No. 14/834,102 dated Oct. 11, 2017.

Peter Mell and Miles C. Tracy, "Procedures for Handling Security Patches," NIST Special Publication 800-40 (Aug. 2002), Recommendations of the National Institute of Standards and Technology.

NetIQ Corporation, "Comprehensive Security Analysis Report, [http://www.netiq.com/products/sa/reports/full/full\\_01\\_b.HTM](http://www.netiq.com/products/sa/reports/full/full_01_b.HTM)," Apr. 16, 2003.

NetIQ Corporation, "Comprehensive Security Analysis Report, [http://www.netiq.com/products/sa/reports/full/full\\_01\\_b.HTM](http://www.netiq.com/products/sa/reports/full/full_01_b.HTM)," Apr. 16, 2003.

NetIQ Corporation, "<http://netiq.com/products/sm/default.asp>," Jun. 7, 2002.

NetIQ Corporation, "[http://www.netiq.com/products/sa/default.asp?menu=solutions\\_security\\_vulnerability\\_c\\_menu.xml](http://www.netiq.com/products/sa/default.asp?menu=solutions_security_vulnerability_c_menu.xml)," Jun. 25, 2003.

NetIQ Corporation, "<http://www.netiq.com/products/sm/firewall.asp>," Feb. 9, 2002.

NetIQ Corporation, "NetIQ Corporation, Security Manager White Paper ("SMWP"), 2002.

NetIQ Corporation, "NetIQ Security Manager ("NSM"), 2004.

NetIQ Corporation, "NetIQ, Enterprise Security: Moving from Chaos to Control with Integrated Security Management from NetIQ ("ES"), 2002.

NetIQ Corporation, "NetIQ, Reporting and Incident Management for Firewalls ("Firewalls"), 2001.

NetIQ Corporation, "NetIQ, User Guide Vulnerability Manager ("UGVM"), 2004.

NetIQ Corporation, "NetIQ, User Guide, VigilEnt Security Manager ("UGVSM"), 2003.

NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "About Firewall Rulebases," Dec. 9, 2004.

NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "About Rulebases," Dec. 9, 2004.

NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "About the Report Manager," Dec. 9, 2004.

NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "Adding DI Profiles," Dec. 9, 2004.

NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "Adding Rules to A Firewall Rulebase," Dec. 9, 2004.

NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "Application Note: Hardening Netscreen Firewalls," Team CYMRU, Jul. 18, 2002.

NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "Configuring Antivirus Scanner Settings," Dec. 9, 2004.

NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "Configuring Events Reporting," Dec. 9, 2004.

NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "Configuring Signature Attack Objects," Dec. 9, 2004.

NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "Configuring Snmp Reporting," Dec. 9, 2004.

NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "Editing Rules to a Firewall Rulebase," Dec. 9, 2004.

NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "Concepts & Example Guide—NetScreen-IDP Fundamentals Version 2.1," 2003.

## US 10,154,055 B2

Page 11

(56)

## References Cited

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "IDP Concepts Guide, Version 2.0," 2002.
- NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "IDP Concepts Guide, Version 2.0," 2002.
- NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "Implementation Guide, Version 2.0," 2002.
- IntruVert Networks, Inc., "IntruShield IDS System Manager Installation Guide version 1.1 ("MIG v1.1")," Jul. 2002.
- IntruVert Networks, Inc., "IntruShield IDS System Sensor Installation and Configuration Guide version 1.1 ("SIG v1.1")," Jul. 2002.
- IntruVert Networks, Inc., "IntruShield Product Family: The IntruShield system is a next-generation network IDS that offers real-time network intrusion detection and prevention against known, unknown, and Denial of Service attacks for enterprise networks," Jun. 5, 2002.
- IntruVert Networks, Inc., "IntruShield Product Family: The IntruShield system is a next-generation network IDS that offers real-time network intrusion detection and prevention against known, unknown, and Denial of Service attacks for enterprise networks," Jun. 5, 2002.
- IntruVert Networks, Inc., "Press Releases: IntruVert Networks Announces Availability of its IntruShield Product Line," Sep. 3, 2002.
- IntruVert Networks, Inc., "Technology: IntruVert is introducing the industry's first real-time network intrusion prevention system that takes Intrusion Detection Systems (IDS) to a new level," Apr. 4, 2002.
- ISS Certified User Training, "RealSecure" Version 3.0, 1999.
- Kaero, Merike' "Designing Network Security—A Practical Guide to Creating a Secure Network Infrastructure, Cisco Systems," 2003, Cisco Press.
- Kaero, Menke, "Designing Network Security—A Practical Guide to Creating a Secure Network Infrastructure, Cisco Systems," 2003, Cisco Press.
- Kohlenberg et al., "Snort IDS and IPS Toolkit—Publishing Inc. Featuring Jay Beale's Open Source Security Series," 2007, Syngress.
- Kohlenberg et al., "Snort IDS and IPS Toolkit—Featuring Jay Beale's Open Source Security Series," 2007, Syngress Publishing Inc.
- Komar et al., "Firewall for Dummies, Second Edition," 2003, Wiley Publishing Inc.
- Komar, B., Beekelaar, R., Wettern, J., Firewall for Dummies, Wiley Publishing, Inc. (2003).
- Kothari, P., Intrusion Detection Interoperability and Standardization, SANS (2002).
- LeClaire, Jennifer, "Symantec Buys BindView in \$209 Million Cash Deal," Oct. 4, 2005, E-Commerce Times.
- Liu, C. and Richardson, D., Automated Security Checking and Patching Using TestTalk, IEEE (2000).
- Lukatsky, Alex, "Protect Your Information with Intrusion Detection-IT Master," 2003, A-List, LLC.
- Maiwald, Eric, "Fundamentals of Network Security, Information Series," 2004, McGraw Hill Technology Education.
- Maiwald, Eric, "Network Security - A Beginner's Guide," 2001, McGraw-Hill.
- Malik, Saadat, "Network Security Principles and Practices,—Expert Solutions for Security Network Infrastructures and VPNs, CCIE Professional Development," 2002, Cisco Systems, Cisco Press.
- Mardini, M., Case Study in Firewall and Intrusion Detection Integration, SANS (2002).
- Martin, Robert A "The Vulnerabilities of Developing on the NET," Crosstalk, The Journal of Defense Software Engineering, Apr. 2001, pp. 5-10.
- Mason et al., "Cisco Secure Internet Security Solutions, Cisco Systems," 2001, Cisco Press.
- Matsuomoto, K., Hashimoto, K., and Obana, S. Design and Implementation of Real-Time Expert System for Troubleshooting in International Telephone Networks, Gordon and Breach Publishers (1995).
- McAfee Security/Network Associates Technology, Inc., "Alert Manager™, version 4.7.1, Product Guide Revision 1.0," 2004.
- McAfee Security/Network Associates Technology, Inc., "VirusScan Enterprise, version 7.0, Product Guide," 2003.
- McAfee Security/Network Associates Technology, Inc., "VirusScan Enterprise, version 7.1.0, Product Guide Revision 1.0," 2003.
- McAfee Security/Network Associates Technology, Inc., "VirusScan Enterprise, version 7.1.0, Product Guide Revision 1.0," 2003.
- McAfee, Inc., "Updating with VirusScan Enterprise, version 7.0, Implementation Guide document revision 1.0," 2003.
- McHugh, J., Intrusion and Intrusion Detection, CERT Coordination Center (2001).
- McHugh, John "Intrusion and intrusion detection," IIJS 1:14-35, Jul. 2001.
- Interface Analysis Associates, "Web Review: Enterprise-level Intrusion Detection (and Prevention) Systems," Sep. 13, 2004.
- Internet Security System's website, www.iss.net, "Enterprise Protection Components" ("EPP Components"), Jun. 2, 2003.
- Internet Security System's website, www.iss.net, "Internet Security Systems Introduces ISS Proventia A-Series Protection Appliances ("Proventia A Series Press Release 1")," Apr. 14, 2003.
- Internet Security System's website, www.iss.net, "Internet Security Systems Introduces ISS Proventia A-Series Protection Appliances ("Proventia A Series Press Release 1")," Apr. 14, 2003.
- Internet Security System's website, www.iss.net, "Internet Security Systems Introduces Proventia Family of Protection Appliances to Identify and Prevent All Forms of Networks Attack ("Proventia A Series Press Release 2")," Apr. 14, 2003.
- Internet Security System's website, www.iss.net, "Internet Security Systems Introduces Proventia Family of Protection Appliances to Identify and Prevent All Forms of Networks Attack ("Proventia A Series Press Release 2")," Apr. 14, 2003.
- Internet Security Systems "RealSecure 6.0", Frequently Asked Questions, Jun. 2001, 21 pages.
- Internet Security Systems Certified User Training, "Internet Scanner for Windows NT," Version 5.4, 1998.
- Internet Security Systems Technical White Paper, "BlackICE Sentry to RealSecure Network Sensor 7.0 Migration Guide" 2002.
- Internet Security Systems, "Internet Security Systems Reports Third Quarter Results, Achieves Operating Profitability and Earnings Expectations," ("Earning Announcement"), Oct. 17, 2001.
- Internet Security Systems, "Internet Security Systems Internet Scanner User Guide, Version 6.2A ("ISUG")," Nov. 2001.
- Internet Security Systems, "Network Sensor Policy Guide," Version 6.0, 2001, 54 pages.
- Internet Security Systems, "RealSecure 5.x to 6.0 Upgrade Considerations," Revision 1.2, May 17, 2001, 5 pages.
- Internet Security Systems, "RealSecure 6.0" Revision 1a, Jun. 8, 2001, 3 pages.
- Internet Security Systems, "RealSecure Getting Started," 1998, 46 pages.
- Internet Security Systems, "RealSecure Getting Started," 1999, 68 pages.
- Internet Security Systems, "RealSecure Installation Guide," Version 6.0, 2001, 218 pages.
- Internet Security Systems, "RealSecure Network Engine User Guide," Version 3.1, 1999, 28 pages.
- Internet Security Systems, "RealSecure Network Sensor and Gigabit Network Sensor Installation Guide" Version 7.0, 2002, 150 pages.
- Internet Security Systems, "RealSecure Network Sensor Policy Guide," Version 7.0, 2002, 74 pages.
- Internet Security Systems, "RealSecure OS Sensor Policy Guide," Version 5.0, 2001, 50 pages.
- Internet Security Systems, "RealSecure Protection System FAQ," May 21, 2002, 13 pages.
- Internet Security Systems, "RealSecure Server Sensor 6.0.1 Frequently Asked Questions," Aug. 2001, 22 pages.
- Internet Security Systems, "RealSecure Server Sensor Policy Guide," Version 6.0, 2001, 100 pages.
- Internet Security Systems, "RealSecure Signatures Reference Guide, Version 6.5 ("SRG"), Dec. 2001.
- Internet Security Systems, "RealSecure Signatures Reference Guide," Version 6.0, 2001, 778 pages.



## US 10,154,055 B2

Page 12

## (56) References Cited

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Internet Security Systems, "RealSecure Signatures Reference Guide," Version 6.5, 2001, 830 pages.
- Internet Security Systems, "RealSecure System Agent User Guide," Version 3.1, 1999, 30 pages.
- Internet Security Systems, "RealSecure Version 6.0—README" Jun. 2001.
- Internet Security Systems, "RealSecure Version 6.0—README" Jun. 21, 2001.
- Internet Security Systems, "RealSecure Workgroup Manager User Guide, Version 6.5 ("RSUG"), Dec. 2001.
- Internet Security Systems, "RealSecure Workgroup Manager User Guide," Version 6.0, 2001, 268 pages.
- Internet Security Systems, "Release Notes," Aug. 1, 2002, 14 pages.
- Internet Security Systems, "System Requirements RealSecure IDS Application," May 2001, 10 pages.
- Internet Security Systems, "System Scanner Getting Started," System Scanner for Unix 1.7, 1997, 86 pages.
- Internet Security Systems, "System Scanner Getting Started," System Scanner for Windows Version 1.1, 1997, 50 pages.
- Internet Security Systems, Inc., "Frequently Asked Questions," RealSecure 6.0," 2001.
- Internet Security System, Inc., "RealSecure SiteProtector Strategy Guide," version 1.2, ("Strategy Guide Version 1.2"), Sep. 2002.
- Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure SiteProtector Strategy Guide," version 1.2, ("Strategy Guide Version 1.2"), Sep. 2002.
- Internet Security Systems, Inc., "System Requirements," RealSecure IDS Application," May 29, 2001.
- Internet Security Systems, Inc., "Internet Scanner for Windows NT v.5.4 Student Guide, ISS Certified User Training," 1999.
- Internet Security Systems, Inc., "Internet Scanner Getting Started Guide version 6.1 ("Internet Scanner GSG"), Jul. 2000.
- Blake et al., "Making Security Policies Effective," 2002,BindView Development Corp.
- Bosworth et al., "Computer Security Handbook, 4th Ed.," 2002, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- et al., "Computer Security Handbook, 4th Ed.," 2002, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Brackin C., Vulnerability Management: Tools, Challenges, and Best Practices, SANS (2003).
- Bragg et al., "Network Security—The Complete Reference," 2004, McGraw-Hill/Osborne.
- Burns J. et al., Automatic Management of Network Security Policy, Telcordia Technologies (2001).
- Burns, J. et al., Automatic Management of Network Security Policy, Telcordia Technologies (2001).
- CA, Inc., "eTrust Audit Getting Started 1.5," 2003.
- CA, Inc., "eTrust Intrusion Detection Administrator Guide 2.0," 2001.
- CA, Inc., "eTrust Intrusion Detection Getting Started 2.0," 2002.
- CA, Inc., "eTrust Managing eBusiness Security," 2002.
- CA, Inc., "eTrust Managing eBusiness Security," 2003.
- CA, Inc., "eTrust Policy Compliance Administrator Guide 7.3," 2001.
- CA, Inc., "eTrust Policy Compliance Getting Started," 2001.
- CA, Inc., "eTrust Vulnerability Manager User Guide Version 1.0," 2003.
- Carver, C., Hill, J., and Pooch, U, Limiting Uncertainty in Intrusion Response, IEEE (2001).
- CERT Coordination Center, "Carnegie Mellon Software Engineering Institute, Cert Advisory CA-99-05 Vulnerability. ("CERT Advisory CA-99-05"), Nov. 9, 1999.
- CERT Coordination Center, "Carnegie Mellon Software Engineering Institute, The CERT Coordination Center FAQ webpage ("CERT FAQ webpage"), Nov. 23, 2001.
- CERT Coordination Center, "Carnegie Mellon Software Engineering Institute, Vulnerability Note VU#18287 ("VU#18287"), Nov. 23, 2001.
- CERT Coordination Center, "Carnegie Mellon Software Engineering Institute, Vulnerability Note VU#368819 ("VU#368819"), Mar. 16, 2002.
- CERT Coordination Center, "Carnegie Mellon Software Engineering Institute, Vulnerability Note VU#654643 (VU#654643)," Apr. 24, 2002.
- CERT Coordination Center, "Carnegie Mellon Software Engineering Institute, Vulnerability Note VU#908611 ("VU#908611"), Nov. 20, 2001.
- CERT Coordination Center, "CERT Vulnerability Notes Database ("CERT" or "CERT Vulnerability Search document"), Nov. 19, 2001.
- Chau, Jonathan, "bv-Control for Internet Security 3.0," Aug. 13, 2001, Windows IT Pro.
- Check Point Software Technologies Ltd, "Check Point RealSecure Administration" Version 2.5, Sep. 1998, 90 pages.
- Check Point Software Technologies Ltd, "Check Point RealSecure Administration" Version 3.0, Apr. 1999, 128 pages.
- Check Point Software Technologies Ltd, "Check Point RealSecure Attack Signatures Glossary" Version 3.2, Sep. 1999, 177 pages.
- Check Point Software Technologies Ltd, "Check Point RealSecure Attack Signatures Glossary" Version 4.1, Jan. 2000, 162 pages.
- Check Point Software Technologies Ltd, "Check Point RealSecure Console Guide" Version 3.2, Sep. 1999, 96 pages.
- Check Point Software Technologies Ltd, "Check Point RealSecure Console Guide" Version 4.1, Jan. 2000, 99 pages.
- Network Associates Technology, Inc., "McAfee Desktop Firewall Version 8.0 Product Guide Revision 1.0 ("Desktop Firewall Product Guide"), Apr. 2003.
- Network Associates Technology, Inc., "McAfee ePolicy Orchestrator 3.0 Centralized Control and Visibility of Malicious Code Protection ("ePO 3.0 Data Sheer"), 2003.
- Network Associates Technology, Inc., "McAfee ThreatScan Version 2.5 Product Guide," version 1.0 ("Product Guide Version 2.5"), 2003.
- Network Associates Technology, Inc., "The Enterprise Stricks Back: Defending Against Blended Threats—Proactive Strategies to Protect Networks from Today's Most Virulent Security Threat ("Defending Against Blended Threats"), Nov. 2002.
- Network Associates Technology, Inc., "ThreatScan Product Release—Engine Version 2.0 ("ThreatScan Release Note"), Mar. 28, 2002.
- Network Associates Technology, Inc., "ThreatScan Version 2.0 Installation Guide ("Installation Guide Version 2.0"), 2002.
- Network Associates Technology, Inc., "ThreatScan Version 2.0 Product Guide ("Product Guide Version 2.0"), 2002.
- Network Associates Technology, Inc., "ThreatScan Viral Vulnerability Assessment ("Viral Vulnerability Assessment"), Jun. 1, 2002.
- Network Associates, "CyberCop Event Orchestrator Getting Started Guide, Version 1.02 ("CCE GSG"), Jan. 1999.
- Network Associates, "CyberCop Monitor Getting Started Guide, Version 2.0 for Windows NT 4.0 ("CCMGSG"), 1999.
- Network Associates, "CyberCop Scanner Getting Started Guide, Version 5.5 ("CCSGSG"), 2000.
- Network Associates, "Vulnerability Guide for CyberCop Scanner Version 2.5 for Windows NT 4.0 ("CCVG"), 1999.
- Network Associates, Inc., "VirusScan Enterprise Edition: The World's #1 Virus Security Program," <http://web.archive.org/web/19990429062544/http://www.nai.com/products/antivirus/virusscan/default.asp>, 1999.
- Network Associates, Inc., "Gauntlet Administrator's Guide version 55," 1999.
- Network Computing, "Dragon Claws its Way to the Top, Network Computing," Aug. 20, 2001.
- Network Computing, "Michael J. DeMaria, A Rookie's Guide to Defensive Blocks, Network Computing (2002) ("DeMaria"), 2002.
- Network World, "Scanning for Weak Links in Server Security," Aug. 30, 1999.
- Networks Associates Technology, Inc., "ePolicy Orchestrator Administrator's Guide version 1.0 ("ePO 1.0 Guide"), Mar. 2000.
- Networks Associates Technology, Inc., "ePolicy Orchestrator version 3.0 Product Guide, Revision 1.0 ("ePO 1.0 Guide"), Apr. 2003.

## US 10,154,055 B2

Page 13

(56)

## References Cited

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Newman, Robert, "Enterprise Security," 2002, Prentice Hall.
- NFR Security, Inc., "NFR Back-Office Friendly," <https://web.archive.org/web/20020611083143/http://www.nfr.com/products/bof/index.html>, Jun. 11, 2002.
- NFR Security, Inc., "NFR Features and Benefits," <https://web.archive.org/web/20021206001404/http://www.nfr.com/popups/nidfeatures.html>, Dec. 6, 2002.
- NFR Security, Inc., "NFR Host Intrusion Detection," <https://web.archive.org/web/20020602230641/http://www.nfr.com/products/HID/index.html>, Jun. 2, 2002.
- NFR Security, Inc., "NFR Intrusion Management System," <https://web.archive.org/web/20020602144053/http://www.nfr.com/products/>, Jun. 2, 2002.
- NFR Security, Inc., "NFR Network Intrusion Detection," <https://web.archive.org/web/20021204192703/http://www.nfr.com/products/NID/>, Dec. 4, 2002.
- NFR Security, Inc., "NFR Rapid Response Team," <https://web.archive.org/web/20020202174656/http://www.nfr.com/rrt>, Feb. 2, 2002.
- NFR Security, Inc., "Network Intrusion Detection v3 (NID) Data Sheet," 2003.
- NFR Security, Inc., "Network Intrusion Detection v3 (NID) Product Overview," 2003.
- NFR Security, Inc., "NFR Network Intrusion Detection Data Sheet," Dec. 2002.
- NFR Security, Inc., "NFR Network Intrusion Detection Product Overview," Dec. 2002.
- Symantec Corporation, "Symantec™ Client Firewall, Read this first," Jul. 2002.
- Symantec Corporation, "Symantec™ Client Security Administrator's Guide," 2004.
- Symantec Corporation, "Symantec™ Client Security Brochure," 2002.
- Symantec Corporation, "Symantec™ Client Security Fact Sheet," 2003.
- Symantec Corporation, "Symantec™ Enterprise Product Catalog," 2003.
- Symantec Corporation, "Symantec™ Gateway Security 5400 Series Administrator's Guide," Jul. 22, 2004.
- Symantec Corporation, "Symantec™ Gateway Security 5400 Series Administrator's Guide," Aug. 27, 2003.
- Symantec Corporation, "Symantec™ Gateway Security 5400 Series Fact Sheet," 2003.
- Symantec Corporation, "Symantec™ Gateway Security 5400 Series Installation Guide," Jul. 23, 2004.
- Symantec Corporation, "Symantec™ Gateway Security 5400 Series Installation Guide," Aug. 20, 2003.
- Symantec Corporation, "Symantec™ Gateway Security 5400 Series Reference Guide," Aug. 27, 2003.
- Symantec Corporation, "Symantec™ Gateway Security 5400 Series version 2.0 Maintenance Update," Oct. 12, 2004.
- Symantec Corporation, "Symantec™ Gateway Security 5400 Series, Third-party attributions," 2004.
- Symantec Corporation, "Symantec™ Gateway Security Booklet," 2002.
- Symantec Corporation, "Symantec™ Gateway Security Fact Sheet," 2002.
- Symantec Corporation, "Symantec™ Gateway Security v2.0.1, License Organizer," Date Unknown.
- Symantec Corporation, "Symantec™ Packager Implementation Guide," 2002.
- Symantec Corporation, "Symantec™ Security Gateways Reference Guide," 2004.
- Tan, S., NIDS—Should You Do Without It?, SANS (2003).
- Tarman, T., Witzke, E., Bauer, K., Kellogg, B. and Young, W., Asynchronous Transfer Mode (ATM) Intrusion Detection, IEEE (2001).
- TechWeb, "Proactive Virus Protection—McAfee Integrates Policy Management and Reporting Into New Suite ("TechWeb Release Note")," Feb. 7, 2000.
- Teo, L., Zheng, Y., and Ahn, G., Intrusion Detection Force: An Infrastructure for Internet-Scale Intrusion Detection, IEEE (2003).
- Tere Pamell, Network Computing, "BindView Readies New Version of Compliance Center," Aug. 16, 2005.
- The NSS Group, "Intrusion Detection Systems Group Test (Edition 2)," 2001.
- Tidswell, Jason, "McAfee's Proactive Threat Protection Strategy ("Proactive Threat Protection Strategy")," Apr. 19, 2003, SANS Institute.
- Tim Grieser, et al., "Tim Grieser, et al., Enhancing IT Productivity and Improving ROI with NetIQ Management Software ("Grieser")," 2003.
- TippingPoint Technologies, Inc., "Improving Corporate Profitability through Advanced Security," Jan. 2003.
- Tipping Point Technologies, Inc., "Product Requirements Document: Adaptive Firewall," Mar. 2002.
- Tipping Point Technologies, Inc., "Product Requirements Document: Continuous Vulnerability Assessor (CVA)," Mar. 2002.
- TippingPoint Technologies, Inc., "Product Requirements Document: Security Management System (SMS) and Local Security Manager (LSM)," Feb. 2002.
- TippingPoint Technologies, Inc., "Product Requirements Document: Threat Management Center (TMC)," Mar. 2002.
- Tipping Point Technologies, Inc., "Product Requirements Document: Virtual Private Network," Feb. 2002.
- Tipping Point Technologies, Inc., "The TPT-5000™ Optical Switch Processor Platform Datasheet," Aug. 2001.
- Tipping Point Technologies, Inc., "The View from RSA—Consolidation, integration, automation, and vulnerability assessment solutions lead the way, InfoWorld article," Mar. 11, 2002.
- Tipping Point Technologies, Inc., "TippingPoint Intrusion Prevention Systems Datasheet," 2005.
- Tipping Point Technologies, Inc., "TippingPoint Technologies Announces Availability of UnityOne(TM) Active Network-Defense System, PRNewswire," Sep. 30, 2002.
- Tipping Point Technologies, Inc., "TippingPoint Technologies Frequently Asked Questions Sheet," 2003.
- TippingPoint Technologies, Inc., "TippingPoint Technologies Introduces UnityOne (TM): Security Industry's First Unified Network-Defenses Appliances, PRNewswire," Feb. 19, 2002.
- Tipping Point Technologies, Inc., "TippingPoint Technologies System Requirements Document: Unified Network Defense System (UNDS)," Feb. 6, 2002.
- Tipping Point Technologies, Inc., "TippingPoint Technologies to Provide First Programable Network Platform, Press Release," Sep. 2001.
- Tipping Point Technologies, Inc., "TippingPoint Technologies, Inc. UnityOne™ Version 1.2 Security Target," Aug. 14, 2003.
- Tipping Point Technologies, Inc., "TippingPoint UnityOne-1200 V1.4 Technical Evaluation," Jan. 2004.
- Tipping Point Technologies, Inc., "Unified Network-Defense Appliances . . . A Solution Primer Brochure," 2002.
- Tipping Point Technologies, Inc., "UnityOne Datasheet U1001 <http://web.archive.org/web/2004072051233/http://www.tippingpoint.com/pdf/resources/datasheets/U1001.pdf> ("UDS"), Apr. 23, 2004.
- Crothers, Tim, "Implementing Intrusion Detection Systems—A Hands-On Guide for Securing the Network," 2002, Wiley Publishing Inc.
- Cuppens, F., Gombault, S., and Sans, T., Selecting Appropriate Counter-Measures in an Intrusion Detection Framework, IEEE (2004).
- Dargan, P.A. "The Ideal Collaborative Environment", CrossTalk, The Journal of Defense Software Engineering, Apr. 2001, pp. 11-31.
- Dasgupta, D. and Brian, H., Mobile Security Agents for Network Traffic Analysis, IEEE (2001).
- Delores Etter, "Memorandum for all government software projects," Office of the Director of Defense Research and Engineering, Jan 30, 2001, as published in Crosstalk, The Journal of Defense Software Engineering, Apr. 2001, p. 4.



## US 10,154,055 B2

Page 14

(56)

## References Cited

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Demaine, R., An Overview of Computer Network Security Products & Devices, SANS (2002).

Deraison, Renaud, "Nessus Network Auditing, Jay Beale's Open Source Security Series ("Nessus Book")," 2004, Syngress Publishing Inc.

Diop, M. and Gombault, S. Real Time Intrusion Detection, RTNAT (2002).

eEye Digital on, "Welcome to Security—Why a Vulnerability Assessment with eEye" ("Retina Webpage Security 1"), Feb. 2, 2002.

eEye Digital Security, "REM Operations Guide—The Security Operator Reference Guide" ("REM Operations Guide"), Nov. 2004.

eEye Digital Security, "Retina Network Security Scanner—Superior Vulnerability Detection & Remediation" ("Retina Webpage 6"), Jun. 11, 2002.

eEye Digital Security, "Retina Network Security Scanner Users Manual" ("Users Manual"), 2002.

eEye Digital Security, "Retina Network Security Scanner" ("Retina Webpage 2"), Feb. 2, 2003.

eEye Digital Security, "Welcome to Security—CH's Testimony from Congressional Subcommittee Hearing" ("Retina Webpage 5"), Jun. 15, 2002.

eEye Digital Security, "Welcome to Security—eEye Digital Security Releases World's First Security Software that Thinks Like a Hacker" ("Retina Product Release"), May, 25, 2000.

eEye Digital Security, "Welcome to Security—Retina Network Scanner" ("Retina Webpage 3"), Jun. 11, 2002.

eEye Digital Security, "Welcome to Security—The Process" Retina Webpage 4", Feb. 2, 2002.

eEye Digital Security, "eEye Digital Security Whitepaper—Retina Network Security Scanner Understanding CHAM Common Hacking Attack Methods ("CHAM Whitepaper"), 2002.

eEye Digital Security, "Retina the Network Security Scanner" ("Retina Whitepaper"), Jun. 11, 2002.

En Garde Systems, Inc., "T-Sight Overview Webpage ("T-Sight Overview Webpage"), Apr. 15, 2000.

En Garde Systems, Inc., "T-Sight Realtime—Main Window Webpage ("T-Sight"), Aug. 16, 2000.

Endorf et al., "Intrusion Detection and Prevention," 2003, McGraw-Hill.

Enterasys Networks, "Dragon 6.0 Intrusion Detection System Data Sheet (2002)," 2002.

Enterasys Networks, "Dragon 7.0 Network Sensor (2004)," 2004.

Enterasys Networks, "Dragon Enterprise Management Server (2004)," 2004.

Enterasys Networks, "Enterasys Dragon-EAL Intrusion Defense System Security Target," 2004.

Enterasys Networks, "Enterasys Dragon™ Intrusion Defense: Security and Intrusion Defense for Enterprise Networks (2004)," 2004.

Enterasys Networks, "Intrusion Detection Methodologies Demystified (2003) ("Demystified"), 2003.

Entercept Security Technologies, "Entercept User Guide ("Entercept User Guide"), May 2, 2003.

Enterprise Networking Planet, "In Brief: McAfee ThreatScan Targets Network 'Soft Spots' ("April Release Note"), Apr. 1, 2002.

eWeek, "CA Maps Traffic to Processes," Jul 21, 2003.

Felling, Jeff, "Vulnerability Scanners," Sep. 27, 2004, Windows IT Pro.

Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate 3000—Real-time Protection for Large Enterprise and Service Providers," 2004.

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure Signatures Reference Guide Version 6.5," Dec. 2001.

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure SiteProtector Console User Reference Guide, version 2.0, ("Console User Guide"), Jan. 2003.

Internet Security Systems, Inc. "RealSecure SiteProtector Installation and Configuration Guide, version 2.0, ("SiteProtector Installation Guide" or "ICG"), Jan. 2003.

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure SiteProtector Strategy Guide, version 2.0 ("Strategy Guide Version 2.0"), Jan. 2003.

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure v3.0 Student Guide, ISS Certified User Training," 1999.

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure version 3.0 Attack Signatures Glossary," 1999.

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure version 3.0 Getting Started," 1999.

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure version 3.0 User's Guide," 1999.

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure version 3.1 Attack Signatures Glossary," 1999.

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure version 3.1 Console User Guide," 1999.

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure version 3.1 Getting Started," 1999.

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure version 3.1 Network Engine User Guide," 1999.

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure version 3.1 System Agent Guide," 1999.

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure Workgroup Manager User Guide Version 6.0," Jul. 2001.

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure™ Network Sensor 7.0, Gigabit Network Sensor 7.0, Workgroup Manager 6.6 Internal Technical FAQ," May 23, 2002.

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure™ Protection System FAQ," May 21, 2002.

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure™ 5.x to 6.0 Upgrade Considerations," May 17, 2001.

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure™ Server Sensor 6.0.1 Frequently Asked Questions," Aug. 2001.

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure™ Server Sensor 6.0.1 Internal FAQ," Aug. 2001.

Intrusion Detection Systems: Definition, Need, and Challenges, SANS (2001).

Intrusion.com, Inc., "Building an Enterprise Security Infrastructure: Introduction to Kane Secure Enterprise ("Kane")" Mar. 2000.

IntruVert Networks, Inc., "IntruShield IDS System Getting Started Guide Version 1.1 ("GSG v1.1"), Jul. 2002.

IntruVert Networks, Inc., "IntruShield IDS System Getting Started Guide Version 1.2 ("GSG v1.2"), Oct. 2002.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd., "Getting Started with Check Point™ RealSecure™, Version 3.2," Sep. 1999.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd., "Getting Started with Check Point™ RealSecure™, Version 4.1," Jan. 2000.

Chip Andrews, "Block, Then Tackle," May. 1, 2000, Redmondmag.com.

Chirillo, John, "Hack Attacks Testing: How to Conduct Your Security Audit," 2003, Wiley Publishing Inc.

Cisco Systems, Inc., "Cisco IDS Sensor Software Version 4.0 Product Requirements Document ("IDS-PRD"), (Cisco\_IntelMcAfeeSub00000011) Designated Outside Counsel Eyes Only," 2002.

Cisco Systems, Inc., "Exodus EMODE Intrusion Detection Configuration Guide ("Exodus"), (Cisco\_IntelMcAfeeSub00000004) Designated Outside Counsel Eyes Only," 2001.

Cisco Systems, Inc., "NetRanger User's Guide Version 2.1.1 ("NetRanger UG"), 1998.

Citadel Security Software Inc., "Citadel Announces Release of Hercules™ at RSA 2002™," Feb. 19, 2002.

Citadel Security Software Inc., "Citadel Hercules Automated Vulnerability Remediation: Installation Guide Hercules v2.2.0 ("2.2 Installation Guide"), 2003-2004.

Citadel Security Software Inc., "Citadel Hercules Automated Vulnerability Remediation: Users Guide ("2.0 Users Guide"), 2003.

Citadel Security Software Inc., "Citadel Hercules Automated Vulnerability Remediation: Users Guide Hercules v2.2.0 ("2.2 Users Guide"), 2004.

Citadel Security Software Inc., "Citadel Hercules Automated Vulnerability Remediation Guide Hercules v2.2.0 ("22 Vulnerability Assessment and Remediation Remediation: Vulnerability Assessment and Guide"), 2004.

## US 10,154,055 B2

Page 15

(56)

## References Cited

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Citadel Security Software Inc., "Citadel Hercules Network Vulnerability Remediation: Vulnerability and Remediation Guide ("2.0 Vulnerability and Remediation Guide")," 2003.
- Citadel Security Software Inc., "Citadel Security Software Chosen by the Texas Hospital Association as the Recommended Security Solution for Member Hospitals," May 30, 2002.
- Clark, David Leon, "Enterprise Security: The Manager's Defense Guide," 2003, Addison-Wesley.
- Clercq, Jan De, "Windows Server 2003 Security Infrastructures, Elsevier Digital Press, HP Publishing Partner," 2004, HP Publishing Partners.
- CNN.com, "McAfee Ships ThreatScan Software ("CNN Product Release Note")," Apr. 3, 2002.
- Cobb, C., Network Security for Dummies, Wiley Publishing, Inc. (2002).
- Cobb, Chey, "Network Security for Dummies," 2002, Wiley Publishing Inc.
- Colville et. Al, "Patch Management: Identifying the Vendor Landscape," Mar. 18, 2003, Gartner Research.
- Colville, R., Wagner R., Nicolett, M., Patch Management Benefits, Challenges, and Prerequisites, Gartner (2002).
- Computer World, "CA Users Get an Earful on Sonars Promise," Jul. 21, 2003.
- Convery, Sean, "Network Security Architectures—Expert Guidance on Designing Secure Networks," 2004, Cisco Systems, Cisco Press.
- CrossTalk Magazine, "Martin, Robert A., The Vulnerabilities of Developing on the Net," Apr. 2001.
- CrossTalk, "The Promise of Web-based Applications", vol. 14 No. 4, Apr. 2001.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate 400/500—Real-time Network Protection for Enterprises," 2004.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-300 V2.16" User Manual," 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "Fortinet Remote VPN Client V1.4" User Manual," Dec. 2, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "The FortiGate™—2000 Network Protection Gateway—The Next Generation Architecture for Gigabit Content Processing" White Paper," Aug. 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "Break the Barrier to Complete Network Protection, Fortinet Brochure," 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "Content Protection Guide Version 2.50 MR2," Aug. 8, 2003.
- Fortinet, Inc., "Content Protection Guide Version 2.50," Jun. 23, 2003.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FIPS 140-2 Security Policy—FortiGate-3000/3600," Jul. 14, 2004.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate 2000 Datasheet," 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate 300 Datasheet," 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate 3600 Datasheet," 2003.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate 50A Installation and Configuration Guide Version 2.50," Feb. 29, 2004.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate Antivirus Firewall IPS User Guide Version 3," Apr. 11, 2005.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate CLI Reference Guide Version 2.80 MR10," Jun. 17, 2005.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate NIDS Guide Version 2.50 MR2," Aug. 8, 2003.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate SNMP Technical Bulletin," Nov. 21, 2003.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate V2.27 CLI Reference Guide," Jul. 25, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-100 Datasheet," Mar. 11, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-100 V2.16 User Manual," Feb. 15, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-100 V2.2 User Manual," Apr. 18, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-100 V2.27 User Manual," Jul. 31, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-100 V2.30 User Manual," Oct. 27, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-1000A Administration Guide Version 2.80 MR11," Nov. 15, 2005.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-1000A/FA2 Administration Guide Version 2.80 MR11," Nov. 15, 2005.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-200 Datasheet," Mar. 11, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-200 V2.2 User Manual," Apr. 19, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-200 V2.27 User Manual," Jul. 31, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-200 V2.30 User Manual," Oct. 27, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-2000 — for Telco and Service Providers Datasheet," Mar. 11, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-2000 V2.2 User Manual," Apr. 24, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-2000 V2.27 User Manual," Jul. 31, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-2000 V2.30 User Manual," Oct. 30, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-2000C V2.30 User Manual," Oct. 30, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-300 Datasheet," Mar. 11, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-300 V2.16 User Manual," Feb. 11, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-300 V2.2 User Manual," Apr. 12, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-300 V2.27 User Manual," Jul. 31, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-300 V2.30 User Manual," Oct. 27, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-400 Datasheet," Mar. 11, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-400 V2.27 User Manual," Jul. 31, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-400 V2.30 User Manual," Oct. 27, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-50 Datasheet," Mar. 11, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-50 V2.2 User Manual," Apr. 22, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-50 V2.27 User Manual," Jul. 31, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-50 V2.30 User Manual," Oct. 27, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-500 Datasheet," Mar. 11, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-500 V2.27 User Manual," Jul. 31, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-500 V2.30 User Manual," Oct. 27, 2002.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate-5000 Series Administration Guide Version 2.80 MR11," Oct. 26, 2005.
- Fortinet, Inc., "FortiGate™ Antivirus Firewall Administration Guide Version 3.0," Jul. 11, 2005.
- Mell, P. and Tracy, M., Procedures for Handling Security Patches, NIST Special Publication 800-40 (2002).
- Menga et al., "Secure Intrusion Detection and SAFE Implementation Study Guide (642-531 and 642-541), CCSP," 2004, Sybex.
- Microsoft Corporation with Matthew Strebe, "MCSE/MCSA Self-Paced Training Kit—Implementing and Administering Security in a Windows 2000 Network: Exam 70-214," 2003, Microsoft Press.
- Microsoft, "Microsoft Hotfix & Security Bulletin Service, Hotfix & Security Bulletin Service Webpage ("Microsoft Security Bulletin Search Webpage")," Feb. 3, 2004.
- Microsoft, "Microsoft Security Bulletin MS03-043, Buffer Overflow in Messenger Service Could Allow Code Execution (828035) ("Microsoft Bulletin MS03-043")," Dec. 2, 2003.
- Miller, Lawrence C., "Next Generation Firewalls for Dummies," 2011, Wiley Publishing Inc.
- Millman, Rene, "bv-Control for Windows and Active Directory," 2004,BindView Development Corp.
- MITRE Corporation, "CAN-2002-0059 Vulnerabilitywebpage ("CAN-2002-0059")," Jun. 12, 2002.
- MITRE Corporation, "CAN-2003-0717 Vulnerabilitywebpage ("CAN-2003-0717")," Dec. 22, 2016.
- MITRE Corporation, "Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures—About CVE webpage ("About CVE webpage")," Jun. 2002.
- MITRE Corporation, "CVE Vulnerability Search webpage ("CVE" or "CVE Vulnerability Search document")," Jun. 5, 2002.
- Monroe, Kris, "Auditing with BindView bv-Control for Windows and enum, GSNA Practical Version 4.0 — Option 1," Mar. 13, 2005, SANS Institute.
- Motorola Inc., "Motorola Intrusion Vision—Display Screens Webpage ("Intrusion Vision Display")," Sep. 7, 2001.
- Motorola Inc., "Motorola Intrusion Vision—Main Webpage ("Intrusion Vision Main")," Aug. 11, 2001.
- Motorola Inc., "Motorola, Motorola Intrusion Vision Datasheet Webpage ("Intrusion Vision Display Datasheet")," Aug. 20, 2001.
- National Institute of Standards and Technology, "ICAT Metabase Webpage ("ICAT")," Jun. 5, 2002.
- National Institute of Standards and Technology, "Melt, Peter, et al., NIST Special Publication 800-40," Aug. 2002.
- National Institute of Standards and Technology, "NIST ITL Bulletin ("NIST Bulletin")," Jul. 2000.
- Nessus, "Features" ("Nessus Features")," Apr. 11, 2003.
- Nessus, "Nessus F.A.Q." ("Nessus FAQ")," Apr. 2, 2003.
- Nessus, "Nessus Machine report 10.163.156" ("Nessus Machine Report")," Apr. 15, 2003.

## US 10,154,055 B2

Page 16

## (56) References Cited

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Nessus, "Download the Stable Version of the Nessus Security Scanner for Unix-compatible Systems ("Nessus Unix Download")," Jun. 29, 2003.
- Nessus, "Last Step: The Report Interpretation ("Nessus Demonstration—Last Step")," Apr. 1, 2003.
- Nessus, "Second Step: The Client Configuration ("Nessus Demonstration—Second Step")," Feb. 1, 2003.
- "Attack Signatures Glossary," 1998.
- "ITL Bulletin," US Department of Commerce, Technology Administration, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Nov. 1999.
- "Network Sensor Service Release 1.1 Release Notes," Jan. 2001.
- Acquiring and Deploying Intrusion Detection Systems, NIST (1999).
- Albanese, Jason, "Network Security Illustrated," 2003, McGraw-Hill.
- Alexander, Phillip, "bv-Control for Active Directory," 2001,BindView Development Corp.
- Allan, A. Intrusion Detection Systems (IDSs): Perspective, Gartner (2002).
- Allen, Julia, "The CERT Guide to System and Network Security Practices," 2001, Addison-Wesley.
- Amir Emamjomeh, "GIAC Assessment Tools ("Two Security Essentials Certification (GSEC) Version 1.4b Option2 Security Vulnerability Security Assessment Tools")," Jun. 10, 2004.
- Andress, Mandy, "Citadel focuses on vulnerability remediation ("Network World")," Nov. 8, 2004, Network World.
- Ant Allan, "Internet Security Systems Enterprise Protection Platform Intrusion Detection System—Product Report ("Gartner Report")," Aug. 27, 2003, Gartner, Inc.
- Axent Technologies, Inc., "NetProwler 3.0 User Manual," 1999.
- Baker et al., "Snort 2.1 Intrusion Detection, Second Edition, Jay Beale's Open Source Security Series," 2004, Syngress Publishing Inc.
- Baudrion, M., Fighting System Intrusions: From Detection to Prevention, SANS (2002).
- Beale et al., "Snort 2.0 Intrusion Detection," 2003, Syngress Publishing Inc.
- Bigdoli, H., Handbook of Information Security, vol. 3 (2006).
- BigFix, Inc., "Big Fix, Inc. Launches BigFix Enterprise Suite Proactive Technology That Eliminates Security Vulnerabilities Across the Enterprise Network," Feb. 11, 2002.
- BigFix, Inc., "BigFix Client Compliance API version 1.4," Oct. 28, 2004.
- BigFix, Inc., "BigFix Development Environment™ (BDE) A Guide to Creating Fixlet Sites and Messages," Mar. 7, 2003.
- BigFix, Inc., "BigFix Enterprise Suite (BES)™ Console Operator's Guide," Feb. 17, 2003.
- BindView Development Corporation, "BindView Products: bv-Control, The Security Solution to Manage Within and Between Organizations," 2003.
- BindView Development Corporation, "BindView RMS Web Console v 8.00 User Guide," Jul. 2004.
- BindView Development Corporation, "HackerShield," 2000.
- BindView Development Corporation, "Managing Group Policy Objects in Active Directory," 2000.
- Blacksheepnetworks.com, "BindView EMS/NetAdmin for NetWare," 2002.
- NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "Juniper Networks Juniper SPG Platforms BBL," Aug. 10, 2004.
- NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "Juniper Networks NetScreen ScreenOS Migration Guide," 2004.
- NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "NetScreen Antivirus Performance in NetScreen—5GT/GateLock 5000," Mar. 2004.
- NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "NetScreen Configuring IDP for Standalone High Availability," Dec. 16, 2002.
- NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "NetScreen Creating Custom Attack Objects—Juniper Networks NetScreen-IDP," 2004.
- NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "NetScreen General Understanding of the 'Debug Flow Basic' Command in Screen OS 5.0," Sep. 29, 2003.
- NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "NetScreen Performance of the Deep Inspection Firewall," Nov. 2003.
- NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "NetScreen Test Cases for IDP Sensor Routing," Jul. 1, 2003.
- NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "NetScreen Test Cases for IDP 3.0—Log Investigator Test," Aug. 6, 2003.
- NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "NetScreen Test Cases for IDP 3.0—Log Viewer," Aug. 6, 2003.
- NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "NetScreen Test Cases for IDP 3.0—Reports," Apr. 11, 2003.
- NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "NetScreen Concepts & Examples—ScreenOS Reference Guide," 2004.
- NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "NetScreen High Availability QuickStart Guide IDP 100," 2002.
- NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "NetScreen Product Description Document 'Project Coconut: Phase II Antivirus Requirements for EDISON,'" Jan. 19, 2004.
- NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "NetScreen QuickStart Guide IDP 10, 100, 500 & Bypass Unit," 2003.
- NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "NetScreen-500 Installer's Guide," 2001.
- NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "NetScreen-5000 Installer's Guide," 2002.
- NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "NetScreen-IDP 500 V3.0 Technical Evaluation," Mar. 2004.
- NetScreen Technologies, Inc./Juniper Networks, Inc., "Concepts & Example Guide—NetScreen-IDP Fundamentals Version 3.0," 2004.
- NetScreen Technologies, Inc./Juniper Networks, Inc., "Juniper Networks NetScreen CLI Reference Guide (2004)," 2004.
- NetScreen Technologies, Inc./Juniper Networks, Inc., "Juniper Networks Integration of: Firewall, Virtual Private Networking, Intrusion Detection & Prevention," Jul. 7, 2004.
- Network Associates Technology, Inc., "About McAfee Active Virus Defense—Press Room ("McAfee Press Room")," Oct. 26, 2000.
- Network Associates Technology, Inc., "McAfee Desktop Firewall Version 8.0 Installation Guide Revision 1.0 ("Desktop Firewall Installation Guide")," Jun. 2003.
- Internet Security Systems, Inc., "Internet Security Systems—Internet Scanner ("Internet Scanner")," 2000.
- Internet Security Systems, Inc., "Internet Security Systems—Internet Scanner Technical Overview ("Internet Scanner Technical Overview")," Dec. 2000.
- Internet Security Systems, Inc., "Internet Security Systems, Inc.'s Form 10-Q ("Q1 10-Q Form")," May 14, 2003, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.
- Internet Security Systems, Inc., "Internet Security Systems' website at [http://www.iss.net/customer\\_care/resource\\_center/online\\_doc/](http://www.iss.net/customer_care/resource_center/online_doc/)," Jan. 24, 2002.
- Internet Security Systems, Inc., "Internet Security Systems' website at [http://www.iss.net/customer\\_care/whats\\_new/index.php](http://www.iss.net/customer_care/whats_new/index.php)," Jan. 23, 2002.
- Internet Security Systems, Inc., "Network Vulnerability Assessment Report ("Vulnerability Assessment Report")," Apr. 20, 2003.
- Security Systems, Inc., "Proventia A Series ("Proventia A Series Datasheet")," 2003.
- Internet Security Systems, Inc., "Proventia A604, A1204, and A1204F Appliance User Guide ("Proventia User Guide")," Jun. 18, 2003.
- Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure Agent Advanced Administration Guide, version 7.0, ("Agent Administration Guide")," Aug. 19, 2003.
- Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure BlackICE™ Sentry to RealSecure Network Sensor 7.0 Migration Guide," 2002.
- Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure Installation Guide Version 6.0," May 2001.
- Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure Network Sensor and Gigabit Network Sensor Installation Guide Version 7.0," May 2002.
- Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure Network Sensor and Gigabit Network Sensor Policy Guide," version 7.0 ("Network Sensor Policy Guide"), Aug. 2003.

## US 10,154,055 B2

Page 17

(56)

## References Cited

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure Network Sensor Installation Guide," version 6.5 ("Network Sensor Installation Guide"), Jan. 2002.

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure Network Sensor Policy Guide Version 6.0," Aug. 2001.

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure Network Sensor Policy Guide Version 7.0," May 2002.

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure Network Sensor Service Release Notes," Jan. 4, 2001.

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure Network Sensor Version 6.0—Readme," Jun. 13, 2004.

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure OS Sensor Policy Guide Version 5.0," May 2001.

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure Release Notes," Aug. 1, 2001.

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure Server Sensor Policy Guide Version 6.0," May 2001.

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure Server Sensor Policy Guide," version 6.5 ("Server Sensor Policy Guide"), Dec. 2001.

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure Server Sensor Version 6.0—Readme," Jun. 21, 2004.

Internet Security Systems, Inc., "RealSecure Signatures Reference Guide Version 6.0," May 2001.

Sequeira, D., Intrusion Prevention Systems—Security's Silver Bullet?, SANS (2002).

Shim et al., "The International Handbook of Computer Security," 2000, Fitzroy Dearborn Publishers; Glenlake Publishing Company Ltd.

Shimonski et al., "Best Damn Firewall Book Period—Everything You Need to Know About Configuring Firewalls and Implementing State-of-the-Art Intrusion Detection Systems," 2003, Syngress Publishing Inc.

Simmonis et al., "Check Point NG, Next Generation Security Administration," 2002, Syngress Publishing Inc.

SonicWALL, Inc., "Access Security: SonicWALL Internet Security Applications," <https://web.archive.org/web/20020602120509/http://www.sonicwall.com/products/access.asp>, Jun. 2, 2002.

SonicWALL, Inc., "Product Matrix," [https://web.archive.org/web/200206055856/www.sonicwall.com/products/FAQ/new/faq\\_matrix.html](https://web.archive.org/web/200206055856/www.sonicwall.com/products/FAQ/new/faq_matrix.html), Jun. 6, 2002.

SonicWALL, Inc., "SonicWALL GMS Standard Edition," <https://web.archive.org/web/20021006074913/http://www.sonicwall.com/products/sgms/index.html>, Oct. 6, 2002.

SonicWALL, Inc., "SonicWALL Internet Security Solutions," <https://web.archive.org/web/2002060718404/http://www.sonicwall.com/products/index.asp>, Jun. 7, 2003.

SonicWALL, Inc., "SonicWALL Security Applications," <https://web.archive.org/web/20020607183609/http://www.sonicwall.com/products/securityApps.asp>, Jun. 7, 2002.

SonicWALL, Inc., "SonicWALL Global Management System Configuration Guide, Entry Edition, Version 2.1," 2001.

SonicWALL, Inc., "SonicWALL Global Management System Installation Guide, Entry Edition, Version 2.1," 2001.

SonicWALL, Inc., "SonicWALL Global Management System Introduction Guide, Entry Edition, Version 2.1," 2001.

SonicWALL, Inc., "SonicWALL Global Management System ViewPoint Guide, Version 2.1," 2001.

SonicWALL, Inc., "SonicWALL ViewPoint User's Guide," 2001.

Sourcefire, Inc., "Snort User's Manual—Snort Release: 2.0.0 ("Snort Users Manual"), 2003.

Stauder, Tracy, "The Two Sides of the Web We Weave," Crosstalk, Apr. 2001.

Stiennon, R. and Easley, M., Intrusion Prevention Will Replace Intrusion Detection, Gartner (2002).

Stiennon, R., CIO Update: The Gartner Firewall Magic Quadrant for 2H02, Gartner (2002).

Sud et al., "Secur Exam Cram 2—Exam 642-501—The Smartest Way to Get Certified, Cisco Certified Security Professional," 2003, Que.

Swiler, L. & Phillips, C., A Graph-Based System for Network—Vulnerability Analysis, 1998 NSPW 9/98 (1998).

Swiler, L. and Phillips, C., A Graph-Based System for Network—Vulnerability Analysis, 1998 NSPW 9/98 (1998).

Symantec Corporation, "LiveUpdate Administrator's Guide," 2003.

Symantec Corporation, "LiveUpdate™ Administrator's Guide," 2002.

Symantec Corporation, "Modifications for Windows Security Center in Windows XP2," 2004.

Symantec Corporation, "Norton AntiVirus™ Corporate Edition Implementation Guide," 2001.

Symantec Corporation, "Norton AntiVirus™ in Corporate Edition User's Guide," 2001.

Symantec Corporation, "Symantec AntiVirus™ Corporate Edition Administrator's Guide," 2002.

Symantec Corporation, "Symantec AntiVirus™ Corporate Edition Administrator's Guide," 2003.

Symantec Corporation, "Symantec AntiVirus™ Corporate Edition Client Guide," 2002.

Symantec Corporation, "Symantec AntiVirus™ Corporate Edition Installation Guide," 2002.

Symantec Corporation, "Symantec AntiVirus™ Corporate Edition Reference Guide," 2002.

Symantec Corporation, "Symantec AntiVirus™ Corporate Edition, Read this first," Jul. 2002.

Symantec Corporation, "Symantec AntiVirus™ Small Business Edition, Read this first," Jul. 2002.

Symantec Corporation, "Symantec Client Security Business Pack Administrator's Guide," 2004.

Symantec Corporation, "Symantec Client Security Business Pack Getting Started," 2004.

Symantec Corporation, "Symantec Client Security Business Packet Client Guide," 2004.

Symantec Corporation, "Symantec Client Security Getting Started," 2004.

Symantec Corporation, "Symantec Enterprise Firewall and Symantec Enterprise VPN Configuration Guide," 2001.

Symantec Corporation, "Symantec Enterprise Firewall, Symantec Enterprise VPN, and VelociRaptor Firewall Appliance Reference Guide," 2001.

Symantec Corporation, "Symantec Gateway Security Appliance Installation and Configuration Guide," Feb. 19, 2002.

Symantec Corporation, "Symantec Security Appliances Matrix," Jan. 2004.

Symantec Corporation, "Symantec™ Advanced Manager and Symantec™ Event Manager for Security Gateways. (Group 1) v2.0.1, Release notes," Oct. 12, 2005.

Symantec Corporation, "Symantec™ Advanced Manager for Security Gateways, Symantec™ Event Manager for Security Gateways Administrators Guide, Supported version 2.0.1," Oct. 12, 2004.

Symantec Corporation, "Symantec™ Advanced Manager for Security Gateways, Symantec™ Event Manager for Security Gateways Integration Guide, Supported version 2.0.1," Jul. 16, 2004.

Symantec Corporation, "Symantec™ Central Quarantine Administrator's Guide," 2002.

Symantec Corporation, "Symantec™ Client Firewall Client Guide," 2002.

Symantec Corporation, "Symantec™ Client Firewall Client Guide," 2003.

Symantec Corporation, "Symantec™ Client Firewall Implementation Guide," 2002.

Nicastro et al., "Curing the Patch Management Headache," 2005, Auerbach Publications.

Nicolett, M. and Colville, R., Robust Patch Management Requires Specific Capabilities, Gartner (2003).

Nicolett, M., Vendors in the Gartner IT Security Management Magic Quadrant for 1H03 Are Driven by the Need for Real-Time Security Data Analysis and Faster Reactions to Security Incidents, Gartner (2003).

NIST Special Publication 800-40 (2002).

Novak, Kevin, "VA Scanners, Pinpoint Your Weak Spots," Jun. 26, 2003, Network Computing.

OneSecure/NetScreen Technologies, Inc., "OneSecure Product Webpages," 2002.



## US 10,154,055 B2

Page 18

(56)

## References Cited

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

PC Magazine, "PC Retina Security Scanner" ("PC Magazine"), Dec. 30, 2003.

PC Magazine, "Finding and Fixing Network Vulnerabilities Review & Rating," ("PC Mag"), Jun. 30, 2002.

Peltier et al., "Managing a Network Vulnerability Assessment," 2003, Auerbach Publications.

Pescatore, J., Easley, M. and Stiennon, R., Network Security Platforms Will Transform Security Markets, Gartner (2002).

PR Newswire, "Internet Security Systems Ships RealSecure for Windows 2000," Jan. 16, 2001.

PR Newswire, "IntruVet Networks Introduces IntruShield (TM)—Next-Generation Intrusion Detection and Prevention System," May 6, 2002.

Pub. No. 800-51, Use of the Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures (CVE) Vulnerability Naming Scheme, NIST (2002).

Pub. No. 800-30, Risk Management Guide for Information Technology Systems, NIST (2002).

Pub. No. 800-31, Intrusion Detection Systems, NIST (2001).

Pub. No. 800-41, Guidelines on Firewalls and Firewall Policy, NIST (2002).

Pub. No. 800-42, Guideline on Network Security Testing, NIST (2003).

Pub. No. 800-61, Computer Security Incident Handling Guide, NIST (2004).

Pub. No. 800-64, Security Considerations in the Information System Development Life Cycle, NIST (2003).

RealSecure "Console User Guide," Version 11, 1999, 88 pages.

RealSecure, "Attack Signatures Glossary," Date Unknown.

RealSecure, "User's Guide," Version 3.0, 1997, 188 pages.

Rehman, Rafeeq, "Intrusion Detection with SNORT: Advanced IDS Techniques Using SNORT, Apache, MySQL, PHP, and ACID ("IDS with Snort"), 2003, Prentice Hall PTR.

Robbins, R., Distributed Intrusion Detection Systems: An Introduction and Review, SANS (2002).

Rohse, M., Vulnerability Naming Schemes and Description Languages: CVE, Bugtraq, AVDL, and VuInXML, SANS (2003).

Russell, Ryan, "Hack Proofing Your Network Internet Tradecraft," 2000, Syngress Media.

SANS Institute, "SANS Institute, Global Information Assurance Certification Paper," 2002.

SC Media, "BindView Adds Patching to its Products," Jan. 6, 2004.

Schnackenberg, D., Djahandri, K., and Steme, D., Infrastructure for Intrusion Detection and Response, IEEE (2000).

Schnackenberg, D., Holliday, H., Smith, R., Cooperative Intrusion Traceback and Response Architecture (CITRA), IEEE (2001).

Scott et al., "Snort for Dummies," 2004, Wiley Publishing Inc.

Security Focus, "http://www.securityfocus.com/bid/3919/info ("bugtraq\_3919\_info"), Jan. 21, 2002.

Security Focus, "http://www.securityfocus.com/bid/3919/solution ("bugtraq\_3919\_solution"), Jan. 21, 2002.

Security Focus, "http://www.securityfocus.com/vdb/top.html?type=cve, captured by Archive.org on Apr. 17, 2001, available at [http://web.archive.org/web/20010417063351fw\\_/http://www.securityfocus.com/vdb/top.html?type=cve](http://web.archive.org/web/20010417063351fw_/http://www.securityfocus.com/vdb/top.html?type=cve) ("cve query"), Apr. 17, 2001.

Security Focus, "http://www.securityfocus.com/vdb/top.html?type=id, captured by Archive.org on Apr. 18, 2001, available at [http://web.archive.org/web/20010418081800fw\\_/http://www.securityfocus.com/vdb/top.html?type=id](http://web.archive.org/web/20010418081800fw_/http://www.securityfocus.com/vdb/top.html?type=id) ("bugtraq query"), Apr. 18, 2001.

Security Focus, "Nessus, Part 3: Analysing Reports ("Nessus Analysing Report"), Feb. 3, 2004.

Security Focus, "Vulnerability Database Datasheet," 2001.

SecurityProfiling, Inc., "New SecurityProfiling Anti-Vulnerability Technology Thwarts Hackers," Business Wire [SCP0069820-69821], Apr. 3, 2002.

SecurityProfiling, Inc., "Products," <https://web.archive.org/web/20020608001441/http://www.securityprofiling.com/logboss.htm> [Oct. 18, 2016 5:38:35 PM], Jun. 8, 2002.

SecurityProfiling, Inc., "SecurityProfiling Introduces New Network Software," <https://web.archive.org/web/20020608162907/http://www.securityprofiling.com/press2-28-02.htm> [Oct. 19, 2016 3:40:24 AM], Jun. 8, 2002.

SecurityProfiling, Inc., "Services," <https://web.archive.org/web/20020322000503/http://www.securityprofiling.com/services.htm> [Oct. 18, 2016 11:07:31 PM], Mar. 22, 2002.

SecurityProfiling, Inc., "Web Educational Piece—Anti-Vulnerability™ Technology" [SCP0069611-69613], 2002.

SecurityProfiling, Inc., "What is Anti-Vulnerability™ Technology?" [SCP0069689-69691], 2002.

SecurityProfiling, Inc., "LogBoss Brochure [SCP0069880-69881], 2002.

SecurityProfiling, Inc., "LogBoss White Paper, Version 1.2 [SCP0017841-17861], 2002.

SecurityProfiling, Inc., "SecurityProfiling, Inc. SysUpdate Core Database Schema [SCP0052913-52915], May 15, 2002.

SecurityProfiling, Inc., "SysUpdate Brochure [SCP0069878-69879], 2002.

SecurityProfiling, Inc., "SysUpdate® Enterprise Edition White Paper, Version 25," 2002.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd, "Check Point RealSecure Manager User Guide" Version 4.1, Sep. 1999, 24 pages.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd, "Check Point RealSecure Network Engine User Guide" Version 3.1, Jul. 1999, 30 pages.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd, "Check Point RealSecure Network Engine User Guide" Version 3.2, Sep. 1999, 30 pages.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd, "Check Point RealSecure Network Engine User Guide" Version 4.1, Jan. 2000, 30 pages.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd, "Check Point RealSecure Release Notes" Version 4.1, Mar. 2000, 15 pages.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd, "Check Point RealSecure System Agent User Guide" Version 3.1, Jul. 1999, 34 pages.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd, "Check Point RealSecure Version 2.5 Release Notes", Nov. 1998, 4 pages.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd, "Getting Started with Check Point RealSecure" Version 2.5, Apr. 1998, 50 pages.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd, "Getting Started with Check Point RealSecure" Version 3.0, Feb. 1999, 54 pages.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd, "Getting Started with Check Point RealSecure" Version 3.1, Jul. 1999, 54 pages.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd, "Getting Started with Check Point RealSecure" Version 3.2, Sep. 1999, 62 pages.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd, "Getting Started with Check Point RealSecure" Version 4.1, Jan. 2000, 50 pages.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd., "Check Point RealSecure Version 25 Release Notes, P/N 7740000100," Nov. 1998.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd., "Check Point RealSecure Version 2.5 Release Notes," Nov. 1998.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd., "Check Point RealSecure™ Administration, Version 2.5," Sep. 1998.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd., "Check Point RealSecure™ Administration, Version 10," Apr. 1999.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd., "Check Point™ RealSecure™ Attack Signatures Glossary, Version 3.1," Sep. 1999.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd., "Check Point™ RealSecure™ Attack Signatures Glossary, Version 3.2," Sep. 1999.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd., "Check Point™ RealSecure™ Attack Signatures Glossary, Version 4.1," Jan. 2000.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd., "Check Point™ RealSecure™ Console Guide, Version 3.1," Sep. 1999.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd., "Check Point™ RealSecure™ Console Guide, Version 3.2," Sep. 1999.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd., "Check Point™ RealSecure™ Console Guide, Version 4.1," Jan. 2000.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd., "Check Point™ RealSecure™ Manager User Guide, Version 4.1," Sep. 1999.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd., "Check Point™ RealSecure™ Network Engine User Guide, Version 3.1," Jul. 1999.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd., "Check Point™ RealSecure™ Network Engine User Guide, Version 3.2," Sep. 1999.



## US 10,154,055 B2

Page 19

(56)

## References Cited

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd., "Check Point™ RealSecure™ Network Engine User Guide, Version 4.1," Jan. 2000.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd., "Check Point™ RealSecure™ Release Notes, Version 4.1," Mar. 2000.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd., "Check Point™ RealSecure™ System Agent User Guide, Version 3.1," Jul. 1999.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd., "Getting Started with Check Point RealSecure™, Version 2.5," Aug. 1998.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd., "Getting Started with Check Point RealSecure™, Version 3.0," Feb. 1999.

Check Point Software Technologies Ltd., "Getting Started with Check Point™ RealSecure™, Version 3.1," Jul. 1999.

TippingPoint Technologies, Inc., "UnityOne Network-Defense System Data Sheet," 2002.

Tipping Point Technologies, Inc., "UnityOne™ Solutions, <http://web.archive.org/web/20020808144816/http://www.tippingpoint.com/solu.htm> ("solu.htm"), Aug. 8, 2002.

Tipping Point Technologies, Inc., "UnityOne™ Version 1.2 Security Target ("UOST"), Aug. 14, 2003.

Tipping Point Technologies, Inc., "UnityOne™ Intrusion Prevention Appliances Data Sheet," 2003.

Tipton et al., "Information Security Management Handbook, 4th Ed.," 1999, Auerbach Publications.

Toth, T. and Kruegel, C. Evaluating the Impact of Automated Intrusion Response Mechanisms, IEEE (2002).

Travis Jones SecurityProfiling, Inc., "Patch Management Competitive Analysis (SCP0018512)," Jun. 16, 2003.

Trend Micro Incorporated, "Control Manager Agent Development Guide," Jan. 21, 2002.

Trend Micro Incorporated, "Getting Started Guide for Trend Micro Control Manager," Dec. 2002.

Trend Micro Incorporated, "InterScan VirusWall User's Guide," Jul. 1996.

Trend Micro Incorporated, "Trend Micro Client/Server Suite Getting Started Guide," Oct. 2002.

Trend Micro Incorporated, "Trend Micro Control Manager 2.5 Best Practices Guide," Sep. 2003.

Trend Micro Incorporated, "Trend Micro Interscan® VirusWall® 3 Administrator's Guide ("VirusWall Admin Guide"), 1999.

Trend Micro Incorporated, "Trend Micro InterScan® VirusWall® 3 Quick Start Guide," Nov. 1, 1999.

Trend Micro Incorporated, "Trend Micro InterScan3 Administrator's Guide (Trend InterScan VirusWall Ver. 3 Administrators Guide)," Jul. 1998.

Trend Micro Incorporated, "Trend Micro OfficeScan Corporate Edition Getting Started Guide," Feb. 2003.

Trend Micro Incorporated, "Trend Micro OfficeScan3 Administrator's Guide," Dec. 1999.

Trend Micro Incorporated, "Trend Micro OfficeScan3 Client User's Guide," Dec. 1999.

Trend Micro Incorporated, "Trend Micro OfficeScan3 Getting Started Guide," Oct. 2000.

Trend Micro Incorporated, "Trend Micro(TM) Control Manager 2.5 Readme," Dec. 2002.

Trend Micro Incorporated, "Trend Micro™ in InterScan VirusWall™ 3 Getting Started Guide," Aug. 2003.

Trend Micro Incorporated, "Trend OfficeScan Corporate Edition Administrator's Guide," 1998.

Tuttle et al., "Centralized Risk Management Using Tivoli Risk Manager 4.2, International Technical Support Organization," 2003, International Business Machines Corporation.

Voldal, D., A Practical Methodology for Implementing a Patch Management Process, SANS (2003).

Vukelich, D., Levin, D., and Lowry, J., Architecture for Cyber Command and Control: Experiences and Future Directions, IEEE (2001).

Wassom, D., Intrusion Detection Systems: An Overview of RealSecure, SANS (2002).

Welch-Abernathy, Dameon D., "Essential Check Point Firewall—An Installation, Configuration, and Troubleshooting Guide," 2000, Pearson Education, Inc.

Wickham, T., Intrusion Detection is Dead. Long Live Intrusion Prevention!, SANS (2003).

Wickham, Timothy, "Intrusion Detection is Dead. Long Live Intrusion Prevention!, SANS Institute InfoSec Reading Room," Apr. 21, 2003, SANS Institute.

Windows It Pro, "Retina 3.0 ("Windows IT Pro Article"), Feb. 25, 2001.

Young, Casey, "Exploring IBM e-business Software, Second Edition," 2003, Maximum Press.

Fortinet, Inc., "Fortinet Real Time Network Protection Brochure," 2003.

Fortinet, Inc., "Fortinet FortiCenter Functional Specifications Version 1.0," Jul. 2002.

Fortinet, Inc., "FortiResponse™ Update Infrastructure Technical Note," Dec. 2002.

Fortinet, Inc., "Life of a Packet, White Paper," Oct. 9, 2003.

FR2819322 (Sadirac, 2012).

Fratto, Mike, "Policy Enforcers," May 29, 2003, Network Computing.

Frederic Cuppens, Sylvain Gombault, Thierry Sans, "Cuppens, Selecting Appropriate Countermeasures in an Intrusion Detection Framework," 2004.

Gartner, "Gartner, Enterasys Networks Dragon Intrusion Detection System (2003)," 2003.

GFI Software Ltd., "GFI LANguard Network Security Scanner 3.3 Manual," Oct. 22, 2003.

Gillmor, Steve, "BindView Simplifies Security," Aug. 16, 2002, InfoWorld.

Government Computer News GCN Tech Edition, "Patch Management Software Cuts the Manual Labor ("GCN Chart"), Jun. 14, 2004.

Greene, Tim, "Streamlining Intrusion Detection, NetworkWorld article," Feb. 25, 2002, NetworkWorld.

Gucer et al., "Early Experiences with Tivoli Enterprise Console 3.7," 2000, International Business Machines Corporation.

Guirguis, Ragi, "Network and Host-Based Vulnerability Assessments: An Introduction to a Cost Effective and Easy to Use Strategy ("Guirguis Paper"), Jun. 14, 2003, SANS Institute.

Guirguis, Ragi, "Network and Host-Based Vulnerability Assessments: An Introduction to a Cost Effective and Easy to Use Strategy ("Guirguis Paper"), Jun. 14, 03, SANS Institute.

Gula, R., Network Security Technology Update for CIOs, Tenable Network Security (2002).

Hagopian, S., Network-Based Intrusion Prevention System Technology Revolution or Evolution?, SANS (2004).

Holden, Greg, "Guide to Firewalls and Network Security: Intrusion Detection and VPNs," 2003, Thomson.

Holden, Greg, "Guide to Network Defense & Countermeasure," 2003, Thomson Course Technology.

Houlihan et al., "Nokia Network Security: Solutions Handbook—The Complete Guide to Nokia Firewalls and VPNs," 2002, Syngress Publishing Inc.

Hulme, G., Gartner: Intrusion Detection on the Way Out, Information Week (2003).

IEEE, "Cooperative Intrusion Tracebook and Response Architecture (CITRA)," IEEE 2001 ("CITRA"), 2001.

InfoWorld, "Eyes on the network—eEye EVA brings manageability to strong vulnerability assessment" ("InfoWorld Article"), Feb. 24, 2003.

InfoWorld, "CA Unifies eTrust Tools," Sep. 19, 2002.

Action Summary for U.S. Appl. No. 15/608,983 dated Sep. 11, 2018.

Action Summary for U.S. Appl. No. 15/608,983 dated May 4, 2018.

\* cited by examiner




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5A






FIG. 5B





FIG. 6






FIG. 8




FIG. 9






FIG. 10




FIG. 11




FIG. 12

US 10,154,055 B2

1

**REAL-TIME VULNERABILITY  
MONITORING****CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED  
APPLICATIONS**

This application is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/138,014 filed Dec. 21, 2013 which, in turn, is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/882,852, filed Jul. 1, 2004 which, in turn, claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/484,085, titled SECURITY MANAGEMENT PROXY SYSTEM AND METHODS and filed Jul. 1, 2003. This application is also related to issued U.S. Pat. No. 8,266,699, titled MULTIPLE-PATH REMEDIATION, as well as applications titled POLICY-PROTECTION PROXY (U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/882,853), VULNERABILITY AND REMEDIATION DATABASE (U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/882,788), AUTOMATED STAGED PATCH AND POLICY MANAGEMENT (U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/884,329), and CLIENT CAPTURE OF VULNERABILITY DATA (U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/883,376), all filed on Jul. 1, 2004. All of these applications are hereby incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth.

**FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention relates to computer systems, and more particularly to management of security of computing and network devices that are connected to other such devices.

**BACKGROUND**

With the growing popularity of the Internet and the increasing reliance by individuals and businesses on networked computers, network security management has become a critical function for many people. Furthermore, with computing systems themselves becoming more complex, security vulnerabilities in a product are often discovered long after the product is released into general distribution. Improved methods are needed, therefore, for managing updates and patches to software systems, and for managing configurations of those systems.

The security management problem is still more complex, though. Often techniques intended to remediate vulnerabilities (such as configuration changes, changes to policy settings, or application of patches) add additional problems. Sometimes patches to an operating system or application interfere with operation of other applications, and can inadvertently disable mission-critical services and applications of an enterprise. At other times, remediation steps open other vulnerabilities in software. There is, therefore, a need for improved security management techniques.

**SUMMARY**

An apparatus is provided including at least one platform; an intrusion prevention system configured to communicative couple with the at least one platform; a firewall configured to communicative couple with the at least one platform; at least one first data storage configured to communicative couple with the at least one platform; and at least one second data storage configured to communicative couple with the at least one platform. The at least one platform is configured to receive a result of at least one operation in connection with at least one of a plurality of networked devices, the at least

2

one operation based on first information from the at least one first data storage identifying a plurality of potential vulnerabilities including at least one first potential vulnerability and at least one second potential vulnerability. The at least one operation is configured for: identifying at least one configuration associated with the at least one networked device, and determining that the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable to at least one actual vulnerability, based on the identified at least one configuration and the first information from the at least one first data storage identifying the plurality of potential vulnerabilities, such that second information associated with the result is stored in the at least one second data storage separate from the at least one first data storage, the second information relating to the at least one actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable. The at least one platform is further configured to cause display, via at least one user interface, a plurality of techniques including a first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system for occurrence mitigation, a second technique for utilizing the firewall for occurrence mitigation. Further, the at least one platform is further configured to allow receipt of: user input causing selection of the first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system for occurrence mitigation, and user input causing selection of the second technique for utilizing the firewall for occurrence mitigation. Still yet, the at least one platform is further configured to, based on the user input causing selection of the first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system for occurrence mitigation, automatically apply the first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system for occurrence mitigation; and based on the user input causing selection of the second technique for utilizing the firewall for occurrence mitigation, automatically apply the second technique for utilizing the firewall for occurrence mitigation. Even still, the at least one platform is further configured to cause identification of: in connection with the at least one networked device, a first occurrence including at least one first occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device, and in connection with the at least one networked device, a second occurrence including at least one second occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device. Even still yet, the at least one platform is further configured to determine: that the first occurrence including the at least one first occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device is capable of taking advantage of the at least one of the actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable; and that the second occurrence including the at least one second occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device is not capable of taking advantage of the at least one of the actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable. Further, the at least one platform is configured to cause a reporting of at least the first occurrence based on the determination that the first occurrence including the at least one first occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device is capable of taking advantage of the at least one of the actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable.

**BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

FIG. 1 is a block diagram of a networked system of computers in one embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 2 is a block diagram showing components of several computing devices in the system of FIG. 1.



US 10,154,055 B2

3

FIGS. 3 and 4 trace signals that travel through the system of FIGS. 1 and 2 and the present invention is applied to them.

FIG. 5A is a flow chart of a filtering proxy method according to one embodiment of the present invention.

FIGS. 5B and 6 illustrate a platform, in accordance with possible embodiments.

FIG. 7 illustrates an intelligent IDS, in accordance with one embodiment.

FIG. 8 illustrates an update system, in accordance with one embodiment.

FIG. 9 shows a configured network, in accordance with one embodiment.

FIG. 10 shows policy compliance and enforcement, in accordance with one embodiment.

FIG. 11 illustrates an intelligent IPS, in accordance with one embodiment.

FIG. 12 illustrates an SDK function call flow, in accordance with one embodiment.

### DESCRIPTION

For the purpose of promoting an understanding of the principles of the present invention, reference will now be made to the embodiment illustrated in the drawings and specific language will be used to describe the same. It will, nevertheless, be understood that no limitation of the scope of the invention is thereby intended; any alterations and further modifications of the described or illustrated embodiments, and any further applications of the principles of the invention as illustrated therein are contemplated as would normally occur to one skilled in the art to which the invention relates.

Generally, the present invention in one embodiment operates in the context of a network as shown in FIG. 1. System 100 includes a vulnerability and remediation database 110 connected by Internet 120 to subnet 130. In this exemplary embodiment, firewall 131 serves as the gateway between Internet 120 and the rest of subnet 130. Router 133 directs connections between computers 137 and each other and other devices on Internet 120. Server 135 collects certain information and provides certain data services that will be discussed in further detail herein.

In particular, security server 135 includes processor 142, and memory 144 encoded with programming instructions executable by processor 142 to perform several important security-related functions. For example, security server 135 collects data from devices 131, 133, 137, and 139, including the software installed on those devices, their configuration and policy settings, and patches that have been installed. Security server 135 also obtains from vulnerability and remediation database 110 a regularly updated list of security vulnerabilities in software for a wide variety of operating systems, and even in the operating systems themselves. Security server 135 also downloads a regularly updated list of remediation techniques that can be applied to protect a device from damage due to those vulnerabilities. In one embodiment, each vulnerability in remediation database 110 is identified by a vulnerability identifier, and the vulnerability identifier can be used to retrieve remediation information from database 110 (and from database 146, discussed below in relation to FIG. 2).

In one embodiment, computers 137 and 139 each comprise a processor 152, 162, memory 154, 164, and storage 156, 166. Computer 137 executes a client-side program (stored in storage 156, loaded into memory 154, and executed by processor 152) that maintains an up-to-date

4

collection of information regarding the operating system, service pack (if applicable), software, and patches installed on computer 137, and the policies and configuration data (including configuration files, and elements that may be contained in files, such as \*.ini and \*.conf files and registry information, for example), and communicates that information on a substantially real-time basis to security server 135. In an alternative embodiment, the collection of information is not retained on computer 137, but is only communicated once to security server 135, then is updated in real time as changes to that collection occur.

Computer 139 stores, loads, and executes a similar software program that communicates configuration information pertaining to computer 139 to security server 135, also substantially in real time. Changes to the configuration registry in computer 139 are monitored, and selected changes are communicated to security server 135 so that relevant information is always available. Security server 135 may connect directly to and request software installation status and configuration information from firewall 131 and router 133, for embodiments wherein firewall 131 and router 133 do not have a software program executing on them to communicate this information directly.

This collection of information is made available at security server 135, and combined with the vulnerability and remediation data from source 110. The advanced functionality of system 100 is thereby enabled as discussed further herein.

Turning to FIG. 2, one sees additional details and components of the devices in subnet 130. Computers 137 and 139 are traditional client or server machines, each having a processor 152, 162, memory 154, 164, and storage 156, 166. Firewall 131 and router 133 also have processors 172, 182 and storage 174, 184, respectively, as is known in the art. In this embodiment, devices 137 and 139 each execute a client-side program that continuously monitors the software installation and configuration status for that device. Changes to that status are communicated in substantially real time to security server 135, which continuously maintains the information in database 146. Security server 135 connects directly to firewall 131 and router 133 to obtain software installation and configuration status for those devices in the absence of a client-side program running thereon.

Processors 142, 152, 162 may each be comprised of one or more components configured as a single unit. Alternatively, when of a multi-component form, processor 142, 152, 162 may each have one or more components located remotely relative to the others. One or more components of processor 142, 152, 162 may be of the electronic variety defining digital circuitry, analog circuitry, or both. In one embodiment, processor 142, 152, 162 are of a conventional, integrated circuit microprocessor arrangement, such as one or more PENTIUM 4 or XEON processors from INTEL Corporation of 2200 Mission College Boulevard, Santa Clara, Calif., 95052, USA, or ATHLON XP processors from Advanced Micro Devices, One AMD Place, Sunnyvale, Calif., 94088, USA.

Memories 144, 154, 164 may include one or more types of solid-state electronic memory, magnetic memory, or optical memory, just to name a few. By way of non-limiting example, memory 40b may include solid-state electronic Random Access Memory (RAM), Sequentially Accessible Memory (SAM) (such as the First-In, First-Out (FIFO) variety or the Last-In First-Out (LIFO) variety), Programmable Read Only Memory (PROM), Electrically Programmable Read Only Memory (EPROM), or Electrically Erasable Programmable Read Only Memory (EEPROM); an

US 10,154,055 B2

5

optical disc memory (such as a DVD or CD ROM); a magnetically encoded hard drive, floppy disk, tape, or cartridge media; or a combination of any of these memory types. Also, memories 144, 154, 164 may be volatile, nonvolatile, or a hybrid combination of volatile and non-volatile varieties.

In this exemplary embodiment, storage 146, 156, 166 comprises one or more of the memory types just given for memories 144, 154, 164, preferably selected from the non-volatile types.

This collection of information is used by system 100 in a wide variety of ways. With reference to FIG. 3, assume for example that a connection request 211 arrives at firewall 131 requesting that data be transferred to computer 137. The payload of request 211 is, in this example, a probe request for a worm that takes advantage of a particular security vulnerability in a certain computer operating system. Based on characteristics of the connection request 211, firewall 131 sends a query 213 to security server 135. Query 213 includes information that security server 135 uses to determine (1) the intended destination of connection request 211, and (2) some characterization of the payload of connection request 211, such as a vulnerability identifier. Security server 135 uses this information to determine whether connection request 211 is attempting to take advantage of a particular known vulnerability of destination machine 137, and uses information from database 146 (see FIG. 2) to determine whether the destination computer 137 has the vulnerable software installed, and whether the vulnerability has been patched on computer 137, or whether computer 137 has been configured so as to be invulnerable to a particular attack.

Security server 135 sends result signal 217 back to firewall 131 with an indication of whether the connection request should be granted or rejected. If it is to be granted, firewall 131 passes the request to router 133 as request 219, and router 133 relays the request as request 221 to computer 137, as is understood in the art. If, on the other hand, signal 217 indicates that connection request 211 is to be rejected, firewall 131 drops or rejects the connection request 211 as is understood in the art.

Analogous operation can protect computers within subnet 130 from compromised devices within subnet 130 as well. For example, FIG. 4 illustrates subnet 130 with computer 137 compromised. Under the control of a virus or worm, for example, computer 137 sends connection attempt 231 to router 133 in an attempt to probe or take advantage of a potential vulnerability in computer 139. On receiving connection request 231, router 133 sends relevant information about request 231 in a query 233 to security server 135. Similarly to the operation discussed above in relation to FIG. 3, security server 135 determines whether connection request 231 poses any threat, and in particular any threat to software on computer 139. If so, security server 135 determines whether the vulnerability has been patched, and if not, it determines whether computer 139 has been otherwise configured to avoid damage due to that vulnerability. Security server 135 replies with signal 235 to query 233 with that answer. Router 133 uses response 235 to determine whether to allow the connection attempt.

In some embodiments, upon a determination by security server 135 that a connection attempt or other attack has occurred against a computer that is vulnerable (based on its current software, patch, policy, and configuration status), security server 135 selects one or more remediation techniques from database 146 that remediate the particular vulnerability. Based on a prioritization previously selected by an administrator or the system designer, the remediation

6

technique(s) are applied (1) to the machine that was attacked, (2) to all devices subject to the same vulnerability (based on their real-time software, patch, policy, and configuration status), or (3) to all devices to which the selected remediation can be applied.

In various embodiments, remediation techniques include the closing of open ports on the device; installation of a patch that is known to correct the vulnerability; changing the device's configuration; stopping, disabling, or removing services; setting or modifying policies; and the like. Furthermore, in various embodiments, events and actions are logged (preferably in a non-volatile medium) for later analysis and review by system administrators. In these embodiments, the log also stores information describing whether the target device was vulnerable to the attack.

A real-time status database according to the present invention has many other applications as well. In some embodiments, the database 146 is made available to an administrative console running on security server 135 or other administrative terminal. When a vulnerability is newly discovered in software that exists in subnet 130, administrators can immediately see whether any devices in subnet 130 are vulnerable to it, and if so, which ones. If a means of remediation of the vulnerability is known, the remediation can be selectively applied to only those devices subject to the vulnerability.

In some embodiments, the database 146 is integrated into another device, such as firewall 131 or router 133, or an individual device on the network. While some of these embodiments might avoid some failures due to network instability, they substantially increase the complexity of the device itself. For this reason, as well as the complexity of maintaining security database functions when integrated with other functions, the network-attached device embodiment described above in relation to FIGS. 1-4 is one possible embodiment.

In one embodiment, a software development kit (SDK) allows programmers to develop security applications that access the data collected in database 146. The applications developed with the SDK access information using a defined application programming interface (API) to retrieve vulnerability, remediation, and device status information available to the system. The applications then make security-related determinations and are enabled to take certain actions based on the available data.

In these exemplary systems, "configuration information" for each device may take the form of initialization files (often named \*.ini or \*.conf), configuration registry (such as, the Windows Registry on Microsoft WINDOWS operating systems), or configuration data held in volatile or non-volatile memory. Such configuration information often determines what and how data is accepted from other devices, sent to other devices, processed, stored, or otherwise handled, and in many cases determines what routines and sub-routines are executed in a particular application or operating system.

In one embodiment, security information management system is provided, wherein a database of potential vulnerabilities is maintained, along with data describing remediation techniques (patches, policy settings, and configuration options) available to protect against them. At least one vulnerability is associated in the database with multiple available remediation techniques. In one embodiment, the system presents a user with the list of remediation techniques available to protect against a known vulnerability, accepts the user's selection from the list, and executes the selected technique. In other embodiments, the system uses a

US 10,154,055 B2

7

predetermined prioritization schedule to automatically select among the available remediation techniques, then automatically executes the selected technique.

One embodiment of the present invention is a database of information about a plurality of devices, updated in real-time and used by an application to make a security-related decision. The database stores data indicating the installed operating system(s), installed software, patches that have been applied, system policies that are in place, and configuration information for each device. The database answers queries by one or more devices or applications attached by a network to facilitate security-related decision making. In one form of this embodiment, a firewall or router handles a connection request or maintenance of a connection based on the configuration information stored in the database that relates to one or both of the devices involved in the transmission.

In one embodiment, database 146 includes vulnerability and remediation information such that, for at least one vulnerability, multiple methods of remediating the vulnerability are specified. When the system has occasion to implement or offer remediation of a vulnerability, all known alternatives are presented that are relevant to the device or machine's particular configuration or setup. For example, when a vulnerability of a device is presented to an administrator, the administrator is given a choice among the plurality of remediation options to remediate the vulnerability. In some embodiments, the administrator can select a preferred type of remediation that will be applied if available and a fallback type. For example, an administrator may select application of a policy setting over installation of a software patch, so that the risk of disruption of critical business systems is minimized.

In other embodiments, an administrator or other user is presented with a set of user interface elements that identify multiple options for remediating and identifying the vulnerability. The administrator or user selects the method to be used, and that remediation is applied to the vulnerable device(s).

FIG. 5A is a flow chart of a filtering proxy method according to one embodiment of the present invention.

In use, a database maintains security status information on each device in a network, based on whether the device's operating system, software, and patches are installed and configured to meet a baseline level of security. A network gateway proxy blocks connection attempts from devices for which the database indicates a substandard security status, but allows connections from other devices to pass normally. The database is preferably updated on a substantially real-time basis by client-side software run by each device in the network.

Another form of the present embodiment includes a connection proxy that filters connections originating within the network. In particular, one embodiment employs a proxy that denies connection attempts originating with devices in the network when the originating device has a status, reflected in the database, that fails to meet predetermined security characteristics in terms of installed operating system and software, patch levels, and system policy and configuration registry information.

In this embodiment, router 133 serves as a connection proxy for devices and subnet 130, as will be understood by those skilled in the art. In addition to basic proxy functionality, however, router 133 accesses database 146 on security server 135 via the SDK at each connection attempt. If, for example, device 137 attempts to connect to any device where the connection must pass through the proxy server

8

(router 133 in this example), such as a device on Internet 120, router 133 checks the security status of device 137 in database 146, using the real-time status therein to determine whether device 137 complies with one or more predetermined security policies. If it does, router 133 allows the connection to be made. If it does not, router 133 prevents the connection, preferably redirecting the connection to a diagnostic page that explains why the connection is not being made.

This system is illustrated by method 200 in FIG. 5A. Method 200 begins with start point 201. The proxy (router 133 in the above example) receives a connection request at block 203, then retrieves the security status of the source device at block 205. This preferably uses the real-time updated status information from database 146 (see FIG. 2) at decision block 207. If the security status indicates that the source device complies with the predetermined security policy, the proxy allows the connection at block 209. If not, the proxy refuses the connection at block 211 and redirects the connection to an explanation message (such as a locally generated web page or other message source) at block 213. In either case, method 200 ends at end point 219.

In possible embodiments, the determination and decision at block 207 apply a comprehensive minimum policy set that protects other devices in subnet 130 (see FIG. 1) from viruses, trojans, worms, and other malware that might be inadvertently and/or carelessly acquired due to the requested connection.

In another embodiment, a security information management system is provided, wherein client-side devices preferably collect and monitor information describing the operating system, software, and patches installed on the device (s), as well as configuration thereof. A database of this information is maintained, along with data describing vulnerabilities of available software and associated remediation techniques available for it. The system exposes an API to support security-related decisions by other applications. For example, an intrusion detection system (IDS) accesses the database to determine whether an actual threat exists and should be (or has been) blocked.

In another form of this embodiment, client software runs on each monitored device and reports configuration information to the database, so that the database has substantially real-time-current information.

The present embodiment of the present invention advantageously acquires the real-time status information from each client using client-side software. In this manner, a resource burden of monitoring is spread among the devices being monitored, and uses far fewer network resources in the process.

In these exemplary systems, "configuration information" for each device may take the form of initialization files (often named \*.ini or \*.conf), configuration registry (such as the Windows Registry on Microsoft Windows operating systems), or configuration data held in volatile or non-volatile memory. Such configuration information often determines what and how data is accepted from other devices, sent to other devices, processed, stored, or otherwise handled, and in many cases determines what routines and sub-routines are executed in a particular application or operating system.

In one embodiment, a system maintains a database of vulnerabilities and associated remediation techniques. The remediation techniques include software patches, policy settings or changes, and registry settings or changes. This multi-faceted provides novel flexibility in management of security issues, and convenience for security administrators

US 10,154,055 B2

9

in both determining available options and selecting remediation steps for vulnerable systems.

In another embodiment of the present invention, patches, policy changes, software updates, and configuration changes are rolled out to devices on a network using a staged roll-out technique. In this technique, the change is applied to a selected device or set of devices identified by an administrator. After a predetermined amount of time passes, unless the administrator has canceled the roll-out, the change is applied to an additional group of devices on the network. Third- and fourth-tier groups may also be identified by the administrator, where implementation of the remediation or upgrade is automatically effected in subsequent stages a predetermined amount of time after the preceding stage roll-out. Thus, if no failures are noted following a more limited roll-out (on a first set of devices), then the remediation or upgrade is automatically applied to other devices. If, on the other hand, an error or failure is observed, the subsequent roll-out can be canceled so that further failures and problems are avoided.

FIGS. 5B and 6 illustrate a platform, in accordance with possible embodiments.

In one possible embodiment, provided is a platform and underlying back end that includes a logic engine and vulnerability data warehouse. It may easily integrate with and enable network security products such as IDS, scanners, or firewalls to intelligently reference and share the same vulnerability data set, and independently provide complete vulnerability remediation (patching) functionalities such as that provided by the patch management and vulnerability remediation application. Thereby, the technology may improve system accuracy and efficiencies, minimize false positives and false negatives, and provide policy compliance and enforcement capabilities.

The latest network compromises are combining qualities of viruses, worms, and denial of service attacks into new blended attacks. Additionally, blended attacks may now utilize metamorphic or polymorphic abilities to change their signatures to avoid detection. To mitigate these new risks, organizations are now deploying a multi-tiered network defense strategy comprised of a variety of network security components layered at the perimeter and to internal network machines and devices. The security components are managed separately, and possibly their data is ported into a Security Information Management System (SIMS) correlation engine.

However, network security components and correlation engines rely on signatures or anomalies, producing an ever-increasing quantity of data, including false positive, benign, and erroneous events. They also lack the intelligence to identify, reference, or remediate the vulnerabilities targeted by the attack. Furthermore, the time and cost to acquire, manage, and maintain these numerous network security components is overwhelming the end user. Therefore, more sophisticated technology is provided to resolve these performance and management issues.

In one embodiment, technology may be provided that addresses these requirements by making each security component smarter and provides managers with remote remediation options. Thereby, improving system performance and streamlining management processes.

In one embodiment, an intelligent integration platform and SDK are provided to meet the above requirements.

In one embodiment, security products are enhanced and system intelligence may be provided. The same vulnerabil-

10

ity data warehouse information is shared with the products so that more intelligent actions may then be taken to mitigate complex threats.

One embodiment cross-references the threat's identifier with the target's configuration. The threat's CVE ID, or other identifier, and the destination IP address are fed into the logic engine where it cross-references the threat with the machine's existing OS, application, and patch configuration profile. An answer is returned accurately indicating if the machine is vulnerable.

In one embodiment, network vulnerabilities are identified. The platform may independently identify which network machines and devices have vulnerabilities by querying a client agent, or a device's OS or firmware directly.

One embodiment proactively remediates the vulnerability. Once a vulnerability is identified, a remediation function may be provided that may remotely and automatically deploy the appropriate update to each machine or device, install it, and document it with change tracking and audit trail reports.

Examples of Anti-Vulnerability applications that may be integrated into network security products for enterprise and small office home office (SOHO) networks when integrated with one embodiment, via the SDK, will be described.

In one embodiment, update or patch deployment are provided, which remotely remediate network vulnerabilities and provide policy compliance and enforcement capabilities. And, for the consumer market, it may additionally support non-security related updates such as application version, performance, and bug-fix upgrades.

In one embodiment, an IDS may be provided that accurately determines if the targeted machine is actually vulnerable to an attack; virtually eliminates false positives and false negatives; and adds vulnerability remediation and policy compliance and enforcement functionalities.

In one embodiment, a scanner may be provided that virtually eliminates false positive and false negatives, and adds vulnerability remediation and policy compliance and enforcement functionalities.

In one embodiment, a firewall may be provided that virtually eliminates false positive and false negatives, preventing the security system from denying valid traffic to the organization—self-inflicted denial of service attacks—and adds vulnerability remediation, and policy compliance and enforcement functionalities.

In one embodiment, vulnerability management may be provided, as set forth above.

In one embodiment, multiple products are provided including an IDS, scanner, and firewall. Each may communicate with the same back-end data warehouse, sharing the same vulnerability information, attaining intelligence and more accurate information about the target and its vulnerabilities, thereby more effectively mitigating complex threats.

In one embodiment, change management may be provided, which may automatically create a change request in the system, specifying what update/patch is applicable to what system or groups of systems by vulnerability. After approval of the request, they may automatically deploy and install the update/patch. Finally, they may also verify correct operation after installation and automatically provide all necessary documentation and logging required.

Lastly, the platform may also provide product upgrades and signature updates to each of these various security products.

The platform is comprised of the following software components: SDK, client agent, logic engine, and data



US 10,154,055 B2

11

warehouse stored on a dedicated on-site server. Network operations center (NOC) Servers periodically synchronize the customers' servers with the latest vulnerability and update data. See FIG. 5B.

The same client agent and on-site server support all security applications that are integrated onto the platform, thereby providing the added anti-vulnerability functionalities presented in the previous section.

There are two system requirements: TCP/IP connectivity, and supported network machines and devices. In one embodiment, platforms supported are set forth below in Table 1.

TABLE 1

All Windows Operating Systems and their applications
All UNIX variants
Cisco routers and firewalls
Toshiba network devices
Netgear network devices
Linksys network devices, including wireless access points

Platform support is scalable to any network size or architecture; consumer, small office home office (SOHO), enterprise, and distributed networks.

In one embodiment, technology may be provided that includes a backend platform that includes a logic engine and vulnerability data warehouse. It may easily integrate with and enable network security products such as IDS, scanners, or firewalls to intelligently reference and share the same vulnerability data set, and independently provide complete vulnerability remediation (patching) functionalities such as that provided by the patch management and vulnerability remediation application, update application and the intelligent IDS. Thereby, the technology may improve system accuracy and efficiencies, minimize false positives and false negatives, and provide policy compliance and enforcement capabilities.

As shown in FIG. 5B, the technology may meet market requirements, presented in the next section.

For example, networks are probed at an ever-increasing frequency for vulnerabilities, which may be exploited with compromise attacks. To protect the network, administrators have traditionally set up perimeter defense strategies.

These strategies generally call for network managers to lock down core servers, and monitor/scan/filter all incoming and outgoing traffic at the network perimeter with several network security products such as antivirus and firewalls to identify and attempt to neutralize hackers and malicious code. In the past, these strategies worked well, however new threats are becoming more complex.

The latest malicious code may combine qualities of viruses, worms, and direct compromise attacks into new blended attacks. Virus payloads are becoming more complex and by using metamorphic or polymorphic abilities, viruses are able to change their signatures to avoid the fingerprint-type filtering that most applications employ.

To mitigate these new risks, organizations deploy a multi-tiered network defense strategy comprised of a variety of additional network security products layered at the perimeter and to internal network machines and devices. Such network security products include antivirus, firewall, scanners, and network and host based intrusion detection systems.

Each of these systems is based on specific signatures, rules, or anomalies of each attack and their variants, and do not identify and remediate the specific network vulnerabilities the attack is targeting. So each attack, and its variants, must be identified and analyzed, a signature prepared, then

12

finally deployed to each point product on each customer's network. This process is uncoordinated among multiple disparate systems, and creates an ever-increasing number of signatures producing more and more attack alerts requiring immediate attention—many of which are erroneous. The security components are managed separately, and possibly their data is ported into a security information management system (SIMS) correlation engine.

Additionally, to proactively remediate the vulnerabilities the malicious code is targeting, administrators quickly and diligently update or "patch" each network machine and device, which is a time-consuming and costly process. Further compounding the burden on administrators, best practice and government compliance directives may require higher standards of network security and integrity to protect consumer privacy, and they are documented with change tracking and audit trail reports.

Therefore, it is increasingly difficult and costly to effectively mitigate new threats and manage numerous systems—particularly in an environment of rising security standards and policy compliance requirements.

Thus, the multi-tiered network defense strategy is falling short primarily for four reasons. First, there is an inability of multi-tiered network security products to communicate and share information necessary to mitigate blended threats, and minimize false positives and false negatives. The vulnerabilities targeted by malicious code are not accurately identified nor proactively remediated. The associated excessive aggregate cost of ownership of multiple systems. The excessive administrative burden and cost of managing and maintaining multiple systems.

Hence, there is a need to integrate systems, share information intelligently to better defend against blended threats, reduce management and cost requirements, and automate vulnerability identification and remediation functionalities, as presented in the high-level requirements.

In one embodiment, technology is provided to meet the following market requirements: integrate network security products to share information; provide system intelligence; and remediate network vulnerabilities.

In one embodiment, technology may integrate with and enable network security products to intelligently reference and share information from the same vulnerability data set, provide vulnerability identification and remediation functionalities, and efficiently meet policy compliance and enforcement requirements.

In one embodiment, the platform is a complimentary network security technology. When integrated into the defense strategy, it adds intelligence that more accurately and efficiently mitigates blended threats and offloads the time-consuming functions that burden network administrators.

In one embodiment, the platform enables network security products to share information via its proprietary logic engine to automatically cross-reference the threat identifier with the targeted machine's configuration to determine if it is actually vulnerable to that threat. Previously separate and uncoordinated processes are now more intelligent and automated, resulting in improved system accuracy and efficiency. Therefore the need to layer more and more point products and add a SIMS is reduced, in-turn decreasing the amount of data—particularly erroneous data—to manage. Subsequently, the costs to acquire, operate, and manage the additional multiple point products, and the need to implement a SIMS, are also reduced.

In one embodiment, the platform may also remotely and proactively remediate vulnerabilities by first determining

US 10,154,055 B2

13

which updates are needed and compatible with each machine or device, taking into account the OS, applications, or firmware installed. Then, the updates may be deployed, installed, and validated. Thereby, policy compliance is effectively and efficiently enforced, and documented.

In one embodiment, the present technology fulfills market requirements noted in the previous section. For example, it may integrate network security products and provides system intelligence. The same vulnerability data warehouse information is shared with all products so that more intelligent actions may then be taken to mitigate complex threats.

Still yet, it may cross-reference the threat's identifier with the target's configuration. The threat's CVE ID, or other identifier, and the destination IP address are fed into the logic engine where it cross-references the threat with the machine's existing OS, application, and patch configuration profile. An answer is returned accurately indicating if the machine is vulnerable. Thereby, minimizes erroneous, benign, and false positive data produced by each security product.

One embodiment identifies network vulnerabilities. The platform may independently identify which network machines and devices have vulnerabilities by querying a client agent, or a device's OS or firmware directly.

One embodiment proactively remediates the vulnerability. Once a vulnerability is identified, a remediation function may be provided that may remotely and automatically deploy the appropriate update to each machine or device, install it, and document it with change tracking and audit trail reports.

Various possible benefits include blended attacks being more effectively mitigated and the overwhelming management and maintenance burden on administrators to purchase, operate, and maintain multiple network security products being reduced, while increasing productivity, reducing costs, and more effectively and efficiently meeting policy compliance and enforcement requirements.

Table 2 illustrates a plurality of end user details.

TABLE 2

Supplements existing technology to better defend against blended attacks
Intelligently accesses the vulnerability data warehouse, and remediates vulnerabilities.
Offers policy compliance and enforcement functionality
Vulnerabilities may be automatically remediated, verified and documented, therefore enforcing compliance.
No additional software or hardware implementation costs
Anti-Vulnerability functionalities may be integrated into existing product platforms.
Reduces cost of ownership of multi network security products
Shared vulnerability data sets and added vulnerability remediation functionalities may reduce the number of network security products needed to adequately attain defense strategy requirements.
Reduces management and maintenance costs
Increased accuracy of vulnerability identification, remediation and policy enforcement, and reduction of false positives, false negatives and denial of service (DoS), significantly reduces management time and costs.
Manage more machines and devices on the network through one portal
Vulnerability remediation and policy compliance and enforcement may be integrated with existing security network security products, and their respective interfaces.
Minimize end user education/ absorption costs
Anti-Vulnerability applications are transparently integrated, retaining pre-existing architecture, processes, and interfaces. Therefore, the end user experience remains the same, or improved with simplified or automated processes.
User experience remains the same—additional time or costs to understand and execute new technologies are minimized
Anti-Vulnerability applications may be integrated transparently and seamlessly, and the pre-existing operational processes and user interfaces are virtually unchanged.

14

TABLE 2-continued

Supports both the enterprise and SOHO networks
Data warehouse contains vulnerabilities and updates for many machines and devices that operate on both enterprise and SOHO networks.
Applications presented hereinafter

Examples of applications that may be made available for enterprise and small office home office (SOHO) networks when integrated with Anti-Vulnerability technology via the SDK will now be set forth.

In one embodiment, update or patch deployment is provided. In one embodiment, a patch management and vulnerability remediation solution is provided. The technology enables products to add accurate vulnerability identification, remediation, verification, and policy compliance functions. With such technology, products may gain intelligence, accuracy and efficiency, eliminate false positives and false negatives, and ensure policy compliance, thereby saving the organization time and money. Such functionalities and benefits are available for both the enterprise and SOHO networks. And, for the consumer market, it may additionally support non-security related updates such as application version, performance, and bug-fix updates for widely distributed programs, which may optimize system performance and enhance the consumer experience.

In one embodiment, an IDS is provided. IDS products attempt to identify malicious code by signatures at both the network and host client level. While they may be able to identify malicious code by CVE ID or other identifier, and targeted machines by IP address, but they generally do not have the intelligence to determine if the any of the machines on the network are susceptible to that attack, or with finer granularity, if any machine has a specific vulnerability to that specific attack, or if the targeted vulnerability has already been patched. For example, if the malicious code has been written as a Windows based attack targeting a Windows vulnerability, is the Destination IP actually running Windows, or a UNIX variant? And, if Windows, is it vulnerable to the attack, or has it already been patched? IDS do not have the intelligence to answer these questions, and incident alerts are generated indiscriminately. Lastly, even if the targeted machine is vulnerable—it remains unremediated—an IDS does not have the capability to remediate it.

With the present embodiment, a product architect can integrate functionalities listed in the previous section to enable the IDS to access the Anti-Vulnerability logic engine and platform. It may then have the intelligence to determine if any machine on the network is susceptible to the attack, remediate the vulnerability, mitigate the attack, and verify policy compliance. Now, if no machines were susceptible to the attack, it is identified as an event but not an incident, no further data or alert is generated, and a management response is not required. Integrated products may gain intelligence, accuracy, and efficiency, eliminate false positives and false negatives, and ensure policy compliance, thereby saving the organization time and money. Such functionalities and benefits are available for both the enterprise and SOHO networks.

In one embodiment, scanners may be provided. Vulnerability scanners assess each machine on the network for vulnerabilities, and create lists of potential vulnerabilities to the system managers. The lists commonly contain many false positives and false negatives, burdening the system and managers with inaccuracies.

With the present embodiment, a product architect can integrate functionalities listed in the previous section to

US 10,154,055 B2

15

enable the scanner to access the logic engine and platform, and then have the intelligence to determine if the machine has actual vulnerabilities, remediate them, and verify policy compliance. Integrated products may gain intelligence, accuracy, and efficiency, eliminated false positives and false negatives, and ensured policy compliance, thereby saving the organization time and money.

In one embodiment, a firewall may be provided. “Smart” firewalls are based in part on signatures and other similar functionalities as the IDS products described above.

With the present embodiment, the firewall can determine whether an attack is valid or a false positive, thereby preventing the security system from denying valid traffic to the organization—self-inflicted DoS attacks. Such functionalities and benefits may be available for both the enterprise and SOHO networks.

In one embodiment, vulnerability management may be provided. Vulnerability management products enable managers to set policy and identify potential network vulnerabilities. They typically do not accurately identify each vulnerability on each network machine and device, nor remediate each vulnerability, meeting policy compliance enforcement requirements.

The present embodiment offers similar functionalities and benefits as the patch deployment products described above. In short, it enables products to add accurate vulnerability identification, remediation, verification, and policy compliance and enforcement. Such functionalities and benefits are available for both the enterprise and SOHO networks.

To this end, multiple products may be provided: IDS, scanner, firewall, and vulnerability management. Each product functions as the individual products noted above. Each may be deployed and operated on the network in a multi-tiered network defense strategy. They may be disparate system, and their signatures and update deployment schedules may vary. Therefore, the probability of mitigating a new and complex threat decreases, while management requirements and cost increases.

In one embodiment, they each may communicate with the same backend data warehouse, sharing the same vulnerability information, attaining intelligence and more accurate information about the target and its vulnerabilities, thereby more effectively mitigating complex threats. It may also enable the products to add accurate vulnerability identification, remediation, verification, and policy compliance and enforcement functionalities.

In one embodiment, change management (CM) may be provided. Existing CM applications control the documentation and logging of change throughout the enterprise. These applications ensure that an organization maintains consistent records of what happened and when. Currently administrators must independently recognize that a security patch/update must be deployed to a computer or group of computers.

The user enters the request in the system, through the pipelined process of change management the request would be approved, the patch/update would be manually installed by a user, then documented in the change management software that the process has been completed. While CM software assists in documentation, very little if any assistance may be provided to identify the patches/updates needed, nor verifying correct function after the update/patch is installed.

With the current possible embodiment, change management integration may greatly streamline this process further reducing total cost of ownership, ease of use, and a higher standard of documentation. The products may then auto-

16

matically create a change request in the system, specifying what update/patch is applicable to what system or groups of systems by vulnerability. After approval of the request, they may automatically deploy and install the update/patch. Finally, they may also verify correct operation after installation and automatically provide all necessary documentation and logging required.

Lastly, one possible embodiment may also provide product upgrades and signature updates to each of these various security products—including all of the technology benefits such as ensuring compliance of signature versions, logging, reporting, and verification of installation.

Thus, one possible embodiment includes an intelligent platform that may supplement any existing defense strategy. Once integrated with the technology, security products may share the same vulnerability data set to improve automation and accuracy—increasing efficiencies and minimizing false positives and false negatives. It also enables remote identification, management, and remediation of network vulnerabilities, and provides update deployment, validation, and reporting capabilities. Thereby, the technology improves network security and integrity, mitigation of blended threats, while increasing productivity, reducing total cost ownership, and more effectively and efficiently attaining policy compliance and enforcement requirements.

One embodiment provides IDS intelligence, accuracy and remote patching functions—IDS data output integrated with the aforementioned platform via the SDK. The platform’s underlying backend including a logic engine and vulnerability data warehouse provides the added functions and performance.

Conventional IDS produce an ever-increasing quantity of alert data, including erroneous and false positive data. They also lack the intelligence to identify or remediate the vulnerabilities targeted by the attack. Furthermore, the cost of the acquiring, managing, and maintaining conventional IDS is overwhelming the end user.

Therefore, a more sophisticated, intelligent technology is provided to resolve these issues. In one embodiment, an intelligent IDS is provided to meet market requirements. The alert data output from a distribution of Snort is integrated with the platform via the SDK, which may add the following functions.

In one embodiment, it cross-references the threat’s identifier with the target’s configuration. The CVE ID, or other identifier, and the Destination IP address are fed into the logic engine where it cross-references the threat with the machine’s configuration profile.

In one embodiment, it virtually eliminates false positives and false negatives. The backend accurately determines in real time if the targeted machine is susceptible to the attack. And, if the machine is not susceptible, it is filtered and reported back as an event and not an incident. No further data or alert is generated, and a management response is not required.

In one embodiment, it remotely remediates the vulnerability. When a machine is identified as vulnerable to an attack, an incident, a remediation function may be provided to the administrator to remotely deploy the appropriate update to the machine or device, install it, verifies the files and hashes, and document it with change tracking and audit trail reports.

US 10,154,055 B2

17

Table 3 sets forth a plurality of features.

TABLE 3

Supports Windows and UNIX variants
Determines if targeted machine is vulnerable to an attack in real time
Filters out erroneous, benign and false positive alerts
Remotely patches targeted vulnerabilities in one click
Installs in minutes

To this end, erroneous, benign, and false positive data is filtered out, and incidents may be remotely remediated. Therefore, complex threats are more effectively and efficiently mitigated. Correspondingly, the management and maintenance burden on administrators is reduced, saving time and effort.

FIG. 7 illustrates an intelligent IDS, in accordance with one embodiment. As shown in 701, the on-site server periodically initiates communication with the NOC servers. In 702, updates are pulled from the NOC servers to the on-site server. In 703a, a sensor monitors and processes network traffic, and sends real time alert data to the on-site server where it cross-references the data with the backend. In 703b, centralized IDS console filters alerts; separating events from incidents. It also offers administrators remote patching function. In 704, agents are deployed to each client, accurately identifying which OS, apps, and updates are installed. In 705, the logic engine automatically determines which updates are needed to remediate the targeted machine. As shown in 706, appropriate updates are remotely deployed, installed, and validated on each client.

In one embodiment, the intelligent patch management and vulnerability remediation application automatically updates computer OS and application vulnerabilities before they can be exploited by hackers and viruses.

Networks are probed at an ever-increasing frequency for vulnerabilities, which may be exploited with directed compromise attacks. To protect the network, administrators must diligently update or “patch” server and workstation vulnerabilities, which is a time-consuming and costly process. Further compounding the burden on administrators, best practice and government compliance directives now require higher standards of network security to protect consumer privacy and proprietary data, which must be documented with change tracking and audit trail reports. Therefore, fully automated technology is provided to resolve these issues.

In one embodiment, a intelligent application called Sys-Update—the first technology to fully automate the updating and reporting processes, as described below.

In terms of function, one possible embodiment automatically researches updates. In collaboration with software development companies, it receives updates and their respective compatibility and installation guidelines, which are thoroughly reviewed and tested in a lab for system compatibility and stability. One embodiment automatically and securely downloads, and archives, all updates. Once the updates and guidelines are thoroughly reviewed and tested, they are automatically downloaded to each customer’s on-site server, verified with MD5 hashes, and archived in a self-populating patch repository database.

One possible embodiment automatically determines the update and dependency requirements for all computers on a network, based on their individual operating systems, applications, and previously installed updates. The logic engine automatically matches the tested updates and their guidelines across each computer’s configuration profile.

18

One possible embodiment remotely, securely, and automatically deploys updates to each computer and device. Updates may be tested on a test group, and then queued for release to each computer on the network, consistently in accordance with the policy determined by the network administrator.

One possible embodiment automatically verifies the updates are installed and running correctly. Each computer’s client agent installs the updates, and verifies the files and hashes.

One possible embodiment automatically generates change tracking and audit trail reports. Change tracking and audit trail reports may be selected and printed from the management console reporting modules. Additionally, application license audit reports may be generated, which lists software installed on each machine, by license key.

Table 4 illustrates possible features in one embodiment.

TABLE 4

Supports Windows, UNIX variants, and network devices
Supports non-Microsoft applications
Logic engine provides system intelligence
Files verified with SHA1 or MD5 hashes
MMC snap-in console with Crystal Reports
AES encryption

FIG. 8 illustrates an update system, in accordance with one embodiment. As shown in 801, the on-site server periodically initiates communication with the off-site NOC servers. In 802, updates are pulled from the NOC servers to the on-site server. In 803, the centralized MMC snap-in console offers flexible security policy options and comprehensive reporting capabilities. In 804, agents accurately identifies OS, apps, and updates installed on each client by file versions and hashes. In 805, logic engine automatically determines which updates are needed on each client, taking into account OS, app, and update dependencies. In 806, appropriate updates are automatically deployed, installed, and validated on each client.

In one embodiment, a secure computer log management application is provided with fully automated archiving, querying, and reporting options.

Best practice directives and government compliancy regulations now require administrators to archive log events over an extended period of time, and extensively document log and audit trail reports. With existing tools, these are time-consuming processes. Furthermore, existing tools transfer logs in clear text and are subject to malicious manipulation, and logs may be lost since few utilize guaranteed delivery protocols. Therefore, the integrity and security of the log data is not assured. In the event of an internal or external compromise, logs may be deleted, manipulated, or the network flooded with decoy log data.

The present possible embodiment automatically and continuously transfers logs from each computer into a self-configuring, self-populating, maintenance-free database where they may be archived for years on end. The transfer process utilizes AES encryption for authentication between the client and server, and a guarantee delivery protocol—ensuring no data is compromised or lost. Flexible cross-correlation queries may be run from a single, remote viewer console, and the data is then automatically formatted into reports.

In one embodiment, cross-correlation query type descriptions are provided. Such embodiment may provide the following three flexible cross-correlation queries in Table 5,



US 10,154,055 B2

19

which provide increasing detail and breadth of filtering/sorting capabilities, respectively.

TABLE 5

General Queries—This is the default query. It filters or sorts the logs of any one computer, by any one of the three log types.  
Specific Queries —Detailed queries across all machines, and all log types.  
Advanced Queries — Query across any combination of machines, by any combination of sources, events, and log types, over any period of time.

In one embodiment, features shown in Table 6 may be provided.

TABLE 6

Continuously pulls all logs into a central database, which may be archived for years on end  
AES encryption and a guarantee delivery protocol ensure logs are not compromised or lost  
Queries may be across any computer, for any log type, over any time frame  
Automatically generates event log reports—ideal for documenting audit and compliance requirements  
Easy download installation

In one embodiment, automated reports may be provided. Query data is automatically formatted into professional reports. Also, a separate reporting interface is available to generate general, machine, and user statistics. The present embodiment quickly and easily fulfills best practice and government compliance requirements for log event archiving and reporting.

FIG. 9 shows a configured network, in accordance with one embodiment.

As shown in 901, the server component automatically configures the application, and the database and communicates with the client agents. In 902, client agents are deployed, which then identifies, manages, and continuously transfers all logs to the server. In 903, all client log data may be automatically archived the self-populating database, for years on end. In 904, from the centralized viewer, general, specific, or advanced cross-correlation queries may be run. See Table 7. Query and statistics data is automatically formatted into professional reports to easily document audit and compliance requirements.

TABLE 7

Filter/Sort By:	General	Specific	Advanced
# of Computers	Any One	Any One	Any
# of Log Types	Any One	All	Any
Period of Time	Last or All	Last or All	Any
# of Event Detail	By ID Only	Any One	Any
Fields *			

\* Defined as Time/Date Written, UserID, ComputerID, EventID, Source, Type, and Category

One possible embodiment provides best practice security policy templates to proactively and remotely manage and enforce enterprise security compliance policies.

Best practice and government compliance directives require higher standards of network security to protect consumer privacy and proprietary data, which must be consistently enforced, and documented with change tracking and audit trail reports for compliance verification. Therefore, a fully automated technology is provided to resolve these issues.

One embodiment offers an intelligent application to manage and enforce security policies—the first technology to

20

fully automate the policy configuration, enforcement, and reporting processes, as described below.

In one embodiment, centralized, remote management is provided. The policy compliance and enforcement module is managed via the centralized management console—in MMC format, and automates the deployment, assessment, and enforcement of the policy chosen.

One embodiment provides standardized policies selected from many standardized policy templates recommended by NSA, SANS, US Navy, and ISO 17799, or custom policy options to formulate policies that meet the individual needs of any organization.

One possible embodiment automatically determines out-of-compliance parameters for all computers and devices on a network. Based on each client's individual policy parameter settings and configuration profile, the system's logic engine automatically reports back each client's out-of-compliance parameters.

In one embodiment, it remotely, securely, and automatically enforces policy to each computer or device. Policy changes may be automatically enforced to each computer or device individually, or by group, consistently in accordance with the policy selected by the network administrator. Policy configuration profiles are transmitted between client agent and server in an AES encrypted format for security and privacy. Policy options may be rolled back with one-click.

Automatically generates change tracking and audit trail reports. Change tracking and audit trail reports may be selected and printed from the Management Console's Reporting Center. Table 8 sets forth some possible features.

TABLE 8

Supports Windows, UNIX variants, and network devices  
Supports NSA, SANS, Navy, ISO 17799 and other standardized policies  
Logic engine provides system intelligence  
One-click policy rollback  
Automatically generates change tracking and audit trail reports

FIG. 10 shows policy compliance and enforcement, in accordance with one embodiment.

As shown in 1001, the on-site server periodically initiates communication with the off-site NOC servers. In 1002, templates, rules, and updates are pulled from the NOC servers to the on-site server. In 1003, a centralized management console offers flexible security policy templates, options, and comprehensive reporting capabilities. In 1004, agents are automatically deployed to each client, accurately identifying which policy parameters, OS, apps, and updates are applied or installed. In 1005, a logic engine automatically determines which clients are out of compliance. In 1006, appropriate policy changes are automatically enforced on each client.

In one embodiment an intelligent IPS may be provided that provides intelligence, accuracy, real-time prevention, and remote patching functions. In one embodiment, it accurately identifies and prevents malicious code from reaching their destination at the in-line IPS Sensor. Thereafter, a security officer may remotely patch the targeted vulnerability.

Conventional IDS/IPS produce an ever-increasing quantity of alert data, including erroneous and false positive data. They also lack the intelligence to identify or remediate the vulnerabilities targeted by the attack. Furthermore, the cost of the acquiring, managing, and maintaining conventional IPS is overwhelming the end user.



## US 10,154,055 B2

21

Therefore, a more sophisticated, intelligent technology is provided to resolve these issues, by offering an intelligent IPS to meet market requirements. The alert data output from a standard distribution of Snort is integrated with the platform via the SDK which accurately identifies attacks, and the attack is terminated at the in-line sensor—as described in the following functions.

One embodiment cross-references the threat's identifier with the target's configuration. The in-line Sensor monitors and processes traffic and sends alert data to the on-site server where its logic engine queries the backend in real-time to determine if the destination IP is vulnerable to the attack.

One possible embodiment provides enhanced flex response. If the destination IP is vulnerable to the attack, the in-line Sensor is commanded to immediately drop the exploit packets—preventing the attack. Further, it remotely remediates the vulnerability. When a machine is identified as vulnerable to an attack, an incident, a remediation function is also provided to the administrator to remotely deploy the appropriate update to the machine or device, install it, verifies the files and hashes, and documents it with change tracking and audit trail reports.

In one embodiment, attacks are accurately identified and mitigated before they reach their targets, and targeted vulnerabilities may be remotely remediated. Therefore, complex threats are more effectively and efficiently mitigated. Correspondingly, the management and maintenance burden on administrators is reduced, saving time and effort.

Table 9 illustrates some possible features.

TABLE 9

---

Supports Windows and UNIX variants  
 Determines in real-time if targeted machine is vulnerable to an attack, virtually eliminating false positives  
 in-line Sensor flex response terminates the attack  
 Remotely patches targeted vulnerabilities in one click  
 Installs in minutes

---

FIG. 11 illustrates an intelligent IPS, in accordance with one embodiment.

As shown in 1101, the on-site server periodically initiates communication with the NOC servers. In 1102, updates are pulled from the NOC servers to the on-site server.

In 1103a, an IPS in-line sensor monitors and processes network traffic, and sends real time alert data to the on-site server where it cross-references the data with the backend. In 1103b, if the destination IP is vulnerable to the attack, the in-line Sensor is commanded in real-time to drop the malicious packets. As shown in 1104, agents are deployed to each client, accurately identifying which OS, apps, and updates are installed. In 1105, the logic engine automatically

22

determines which updates are needed to remediate the targeted machine, and may be remotely deployed from the IPS console. In 1106, appropriate updates are remotely deployed, installed, and validated on each client.

In one embodiment, an anti-vulnerability SDK is provided. The SDK was designed to be a simple integration pathway to the Anti-Vulnerability platform backend. The SDK is documented and deliverable. The SDK is compatible with many different programming languages such as C, C++, VB, Java, and others.

The technology is sophisticated, yet all of the details of managing the communications are implemented in the library, requiring the developer to only learn a simple function calls as detailed hereinafter. A product architect chooses the functionality desired, inserts the provided functionality SDK code into the product code. The SDK code may then query—via the logic engine—the data warehouse, and in turn may return an answer to the point product. The architect can then show the results in any format desired in the product's interface. Full vulnerability remediation and policy compliance and enforcement functionalities may be integrated as well.

FIG. 12 illustrates an SDK function call flow, in accordance with one embodiment.

Hence, the SDK serves as the architect's tool belt or building blocks needed to quickly and transparently access the Anti-Vulnerability backend and seamlessly integrate the desired functionality into the product without changing or complicating the end user experience.

Furthermore, the SDK enables system architects to use the Anti-Vulnerability platform to integrate multiple security products and functionalities. Products may then share the same vulnerability data set, improve system automation and accuracy—increasing efficiencies and minimizing false positives and false negatives, and coordinate policy compliance and enforcement functionalities.

Anti-Vulnerability functions that are accessible via the SDK. The functions are presented in groups, as follows in Table 10.

TABLE 10

---

Session Management  
 Group Management Functions  
 Client Management Functions  
 Patch Management Functions  
 Polling Functions  
 User Management Functions  
 Miscellaneous Functions  
 Policy Compliance Functions  
 Session Management Functions

---

Table 11 sets forth Session Management Functions

TABLE 11

---

OpenSession-Parameters: HOST name or IP address, LOGIN and PASSWORD for host  
 Returns: new SESSION  
 Description: This function opens a new session to the OnSite Server  
 CloseSession-Parameters: SESSION to close  
 Returns: None  
 Description: Closes a session that is no longer needed  
 IsSessionGood-Parameters: SESSION to test  
 Returns: TRUE or FALSE  
 Description: returns TRUE if the session is still valid FALSE if it is not  
 SUGetLastError-Parameters: None  
 Returns: Error code of last error in the thread  
 Description: Returns the error code of the last SDK error to occur in this thread  
 SUSetLastError-Parameters: ERROR code to set

---

US 10,154,055 B2

23

24

TABLE 11-continued

---

Returns: None  
 Description: Sets the error code for the thread to be returned by `SUGetLastError`  
 GetSDKVersion-Parameters: None  
 Returns: a `WORD` containing the version of the SDK  
 Description: the MSB of the returned value is the major version number, the LSB is the minor version number  
 Ping-Parameters: `SESSION` to use, `VALUE` to ping with  
 Returns: `VALUE` on success `0` on failure  
 Description: attempts to pass `VALUE` to the OnSite Server, which should pass it back.

---

Table 12 sets forth Group Management Functions.

TABLE 12

---

CreateGroup-Parameters: Group `NAME` and `Description`  
 Returns: `GROUP` that was just created or `0` on failure  
 Description: This function creates a new group with the provided name and `Description`  
 GetGroups-Parameters: None  
 Returns: A `LIST` of `GROUPS`.  
 Description: This function Returns a list of all configured groups.  
 GetGroupsEx-Parameters: None  
 Returns: A `LIST` of `GROUPINFO` structures  
 Description: This function Returns a list of all configured groups' information  
 DeleteGroup-Parameters: `GROUP` to be deleted.  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function permanently removes a group.  
 EditGroup-Parameters: `GROUP` to be edited, `GROUPINFO` to be applied  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function changes a GROUP's information  
 GetGroupPolicyOSCategories-Parameters: `GROUP` to get information about  
 Returns: A `LIST` of `OPERATINGSSYSTEMCATEGORYs` that have group policies configured  
 Description: This function retrieves a list of operating system categories that have group policies configured  
 GetGroupPolicyOSSubCategories-Parameters: `GROUP` to get information about, `OPERATINGSSYSTEMCATEGORY` to get sub category information about  
 Returns: A `LIST` of `OPERATINGSYSTEMSUBCATEGORYs`  
 Description: This function retrieves a list of operating system sub-categories of `OPERATINGSSYSTEMCATEGORY` that have policies for the `GROUP`  
 GetGroupPolicyInfo-Parameters: `GROUPPOLICY` to get information about, `GROUPPOLICYINFO` pointer to receive the information  
 Returns: Success or Failure  
 Description: This function fills the passed `GROUPPOLICYINFO` structure with the information for the `GROUPPOLICY`  
 GetGroupPolicyInfoByOSCat-Parameters: `GROUP` to get information about, `OPERATINGSSYSTEMCATEGORY` to get the policy for, `GROUPPOLICYINFO` pointer to receive the information  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function retrieves the information for a policy for the `OPERATINGSSYSTEMCATEGORY` in the `GROUP`  
 GetGroupPolicyInfoByOSSubCat-Parameters: `GROUP` to get information about, `OPERATINGSYSTEMSUBCATEGORY` to get the policy for, `GROUPPOLICYINFO` pointer to receive the information  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function retrieves the information for a policy for the `OPERATINGSYSTEMSUBCATEGORY` in the `GROUP`  
 DeleteGroupPolicy-Parameters: `GROUPPOLICY` to delete  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function permanently deletes the `GROUPPOLICY`  
 EditGroupPolicy-Parameters: `GROUPPOLICYINFO` with new information  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function updates the group policy (contained in `GROUPPOLICYINFO`) with the information in `GROUPPOLICYINFO`  
 AddGroupPolicy-Parameters: `GROUP` to add the policy to, `GROUPPOLICYINFO` containing the policy information  
 Returns: Success or Failure  
 Description: This function creates a new group policy and applies it to the `GROUP`  
 GetClientsInGroup-Parameters: `GROUP` to retrieve the clients from  
 Returns: `LIST` of `CLIENTs` belonging to the group  
 Description: This function retrieves a list of clients that are members of a specific group  
 GetClientsInGroupEx-Parameters: `GROUP` to retrieve the clients from  
 Returns: `LIST` of `CLIENTINFOs` for clients belonging to the group  
 Description: This function Returns a list of `CLIENTINFO` structures containing information for the clients that belong to this group  
 AddClientToGroup-Parameters: `CLIENT` to add to a group, `GROUP` to add the client to.  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function adds a client to a group

US 10,154,055 B2

25

26

TABLE 12-continued

---

RemoveClientFromGroup-Parameters: CLIENT to remove from **group**, GROUP to remove the client from  
Returns: Success or failure.  
Description: This function removes a client from a **group**.  
MoveClientToGroup-Parameters: CLIENT to move, GROUP to move client to  
Returns: Success or Failure  
Description: This function moves CLIENT to GROUP  
GetUn**g**roupedClients-Parameters: None  
Returns: LIST of un**g**rouped clients  
Description: This function Returns a LIST of the clients that do not belong to any **group**  
GetUn**g**roupedClientsEx-Parameters: None  
Returns: LIST of CLIENTINFO structures  
Description: This function Returns a LIST of CLIENTINFO structures for the clients that do not belong to any **group**  
GetGroupInfo-Parameters: GROUP to **g**et information about, GROUPINFO pointer to receive the information  
Returns: Success or failure  
Description: This function retrieves the information for the GROUP

---

Table 13 sets forth Client Management Functions.

TABLE 13

---

GetClientInstalledSoftware-Parameters: CLIENT to **g**et information about  
Returns: LIST of SOFTWARE installed on the client  
Description: This function retrieves a list of the software that has been detected on the client  
GetClientInstalledSoftwareEx-Parameters: CLIENT to **g**et information about  
Returns: LIST of SOFTWAREINFO for software installed on the client  
Description: This function returns a LIST of SOFTWAREINFO structures describing the software detected on the client  
GetClientInstalledPatches-Parameters: CLIENT to **g**et information from.  
Returns: LIST of PATCHes corresponding to the installed patches on the client  
Description: This function retrieves a list of patches that were verified as installed on the last polling  
GetClientInstalledPatchesEx-Parameters: CLIENT to **g**et information from  
Returns: LIST of PATCHINFO structures corresponding to the installed patches on the client  
Description: This function retrieves a LIST of PATCHINFO structures for patches that were verified as installed on the last polling  
GetClientPendingPatches-Parameters: CLIENT to **g**et information from.  
Returns: LIST of PATCHes corresponding to the pending patches for the client  
Description: This function returns a list of patches that the client needs (according to **group** policy) as of the last polling.  
GetClientPendingPatchesEx-Parameters: CLIENT to **g**et information from  
Returns: A LIST of PATCHINFO structures corresponding to the pending patches for the client  
Description: This function returns a LIST of PATCHINFO structures for patches that the client needs (according to **group** policy) as of the last polling.  
GetClientPendingServicePack-Parameters: CLIENT to **g**et information from  
Returns: SERVICEPACK if a service pack is pending or 0 if not  
Description: This function returns a SERVICEPACK if there is a service pack pending for the client (according to **group** policy) as of the last polling. If there is no service pack pending it returns 0  
GetClientPendingSoftware-Parameters: CLIENT to **g**et information from  
Returns: LIST of SOFTWARE that is pending  
Description: This function returns a list of software that is pending for the client (according to **group** policy) as of the last polling  
GetClientLogs-Parameters: CLIENT to **g**et information from  
Returns: LIST of LOGs for the client.  
Description: This function returns a list of logs for a particular client.  
GetClientLogsEx-Parameters: CLIENT to **g**et information from  
Returns: LIST of LOGININFO structures  
Description: This function returns a list of LOGININFO structures containing log entries for a particular client.  
DeleteClient-Parameters: CLIENT to delete.  
Returns: Success or failure.  
Description: This function removes all information pertaining to this client from the SysUpdate database.  
GetClientOS-Parameters: CLIENT to **g**et information from  
Returns: OPERATINGSYSTEM installed on client  
Description: This function returns the OPERATINGSYSTEM installed on the client  
GetClientServicePack-Parameters: CLIENT to **g**et information from  
Returns: SERVICEPACK installed on client or 0  
Description: This function returns the service pack installed on the client or 0 if the client does not have a service pack installed, or if service packs are not applicable to the client's platform

US 10,154,055 B2

27

28

TABLE 13-continued

---

GetClientInfo-Parameters: CLIENT to get information from CLIENTINFO pointer to receive the information  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function fills the passed CLIENTINFO structure with information pertaining to the passed CLIENT  
 GetClientFromIP-Parameters: IP address of client  
 Returns: CLIENT that corresponds to the passed IP address  
 Description: This function maps IP addresses to clients

---

Table 14 sets forth Patch Management Functions.

TABLE 14

---

GetPatchInfo-Parameters: PATCH to get information about, PATCHINFO pointer to receive information  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function fills the passed patch info structure with information about the passed patch  
 InstallPatchForClient-Parameters: CLIENT to install the patch on, PATCH to install  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function deploys the patch to the client. Installation proceeds asynchronously  
 InstallPatchForGroup-Parameters: GROUP to install the patch on, PATCH to install  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function deploys the patch to all clients in the group  
 InstallAllPendingForClient-Parameters: CLIENT to install patches on  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function deploys all pending patches for a client to the client  
 InstallAllPendingForGroup-Parameters: GROUP to install patches on  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function deploys all pending patches to all clients in a group  
 RejectPatchForClient-Parameters: CLIENT to reject patch for, PATCH to reject  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function rejects a patch for a client. This patch will not be queued down or installed  
 RejectPatchForGroup-Parameters: GROUP to reject patch for, PATCH to reject  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function rejects a patch for every client in a group. This patch will not be queued down or installed  
 RequeuePatchForClient-Parameters: CLIENT to requeue the patch for, PATCH to requeue  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function requeues a previously rejected patch for a client  
 RequeuePatchForGroup-Parameters: GROUP to requeue the patch for, PATCH to requeue  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function requeues a previously rejected patch for every client in a group  
 RemovePatchFromClient-Parameters: CLIENT to remove patch from, PATCH to remove  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function will uninstall a patch from a client  
 RemovePatchFromGroup-Parameters: GROUP to remove patch from, PATCH to remove  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function will uninstall a patch for every client in a group  
 InstallServicePackForClient-Parameters: CLIENT to install service pack on, SERVICEPACK to install on client  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function will install a service pack on a client  
 InstallServicePackForGroup-Parameters: GROUP to install service pack on, SERVICEPACK to install  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function will install a service pack on every client in a group  
 InstallSoftwareForClient-Parameters: CLIENT to install software update on, SOFTWARE to install  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function will install a software update on a client  
 InstallSoftwareForGroup-Parameters: GROUP to install software update on, SOFTWARE to install  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function will install a software update on every client in a group.  
 GetCveIDsFromPatch-Parameters: PATCH to get CveIDs from  
 Returns: A LIST of CVEIDs  
 Description: This function returns a list of CVEIDs the correspond to the PATCH

---

## US 10,154,055 B2

29

Table 15 sets forth Polling Functions.

TABLE 15

PollClient-Parameters: CLIENT to poll  
 Returns: Success or failure.  
 Description: This function causes a client to be polled by the OnSite Server immediately.  
 PollGroup-Parameters: GROUP to poll

30

TABLE 15-continued

Returns: Success or failure.  
 Description: This function causes all the clients in a particular group to be polled immediately.  
 PollAll-Parameters: NONE  
 Returns: Success or failure.  
 Description: This function causes all clients to be polled immediately.

Table 16 sets forth User Management Functions.

TABLE 16

GetUsers-Parameters: None  
 Returns: A LIST of USERS  
 Description: This function returns a list of all users for the system  
 GetUserinfo-Parameters: USER to get information about, USERINFO pointer to receive the information  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function populates the passed USERINFO structure with information about the passed USER  
 GetUserGroupPrivileges-Parameters: USER to get information about  
 Returns: A LIST of USERGROUPPRIVILEGES  
 Description: This function returns a LIST of USERGROUPPRIVILEGES representing the privileges that a particular user has  
 GetUserGroupPrivilegesEx-Parameters: USER to get information about  
 Returns: A LIST of USERGROUPPRIVILEGEINFO structures  
 Description: This function returns a LIST of USERGROUPPRIVILEGEINFO structures representing the privileges that a particular user has  
 GetUserGroupPrivilegeInfo-Parameters: USERGROUPPRIVILEGE to get information about, USERGROUPPRIVILEGEINFO pointer to receive information  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function populates the passed USERGROUPPRIVILEGEINFO structure with the details of the particular privilege  
 GetUserGroupPrivilegeInfoByGroup-Parameters: USER to get information about, GROUP to get information for, USERGROUPPRIVILEGEINFO pointer to populate  
 Returns: Success or Failure  
 Description: This function populates the passed USERGROUPPRIVILEGEINFO structure with the detailed information regarding the permissions that the passed user has on the passed group  
 AddUserGroupPrivilege-Parameters: USERGROUPPRIVILEGEINFO structure to add  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function adds a USERGROUPPRIVILEGE  
 EditUserGroupPrivilege-Parameters: USERGROUPPRIVILEGEINFO with new values  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function edits the USERGROUPPRIVILEGEINFO structure passed in, assign it the new values  
 DeleteUserGroupPrivilege-Parameters: USERGROUPPRIVILEGE to delete  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function deletes the passed USERGROUPPRIVILEGE from the system  
 AddUserParameters: USERNAME, PASSWORD, and USERTYPE  
 Returns: USER representing the user that was just created  
 Description: This function creates a new user with the provided username, password, and type, and returns a USER representing the new user or 0 in the case of an error  
 DeleteUser-Parameters: USER to delete  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function permanently deletes the USER from the system along with any privileges the user may have had  
 ChangeUserPassword-Parameters: USER to change password for, new PASSWORD  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function changes the password for a user  
 ChangeUserRole-Parameters: USER to change role, ROLE to change to  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This Function changes a user's role  
 GetUserFromName-Parameters: USERNAME  
 Returns: USER corresponding to the passed user name  
 Description: This function provides a mapping from user names to USERS

Table 17 sets forth Miscellaneous Functions.

TABLE 17

CreateList-Parameters: None  
 Returns: A new, empty, LIST  
 Description: This functions creates a new LIST that is initially empty  
 GetListItemEx-Parameters: LIST to retrieve item from, INDEX of item to retrieve, VOID pointer to receive item  
 Returns: Success or failure



US 10,154,055 B2

31

32

TABLE 17-continued

---

Description: This function populates the memory pointed to by VOID with the contents of the LIST item at INDEX  
 GetListSize-Parameters: LIST to get the size of  
 Returns: the size of the LIST  
 Description: This function returns the number of elements currently in the list  
 AddItemToList-pointer to LIST to add the item to, LONG item to add  
 Returns: None  
 Description: This function adds an "item" (such as a GROUP or a CLIENT) to a LIST  
 AddClientInfoExToList-See AddItemToList  
 AddGroupInfoToList-See AddItemToList  
 AddPatchInfoExToList-See AddItemToList  
 AddSoftwareInfoToList-See AddItemToList  
 AddSoftwareCategoryInfoToList-See AddItemToList  
 AddUserGroupPrivilegeInfoExToList-See AddItemToList  
 RemoveItemFromList-Parameters: pointer to LIST to remove the item from, INDEX of item to remove  
 Returns: None  
 Description: This function removes the item at INDEX from the LIST  
 FreeList-LIST to deallocate  
 Returns: None  
 Description: This function deallocates the resources used by LIST  
 GetSoftwareInfo-Parameters: SOFTWARE to get information for, SOFTWAREINFO pointer to hold the information  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function populates the SOFTWAREINFO structure pointed at with information regarding the SOFTWARE  
 GetLogInfo-Parameters: LOG to get information about, LOGININFO pointer to contain the information  
 Returns: Success or failure  
 Description: This function populates the LOGININFO structure pointed at with information regarding the LOG  
 GetSoftwareCategories-Parameters: None  
 Returns: A LIST of SOFTWARECATEGORYs  
 Description: This function returns a LIST of SOFTWARECATEGORYs  
 GetSoftwareCategoriesEx-Parameters: None  
 Returns: A LIST of SOFTWARECATEGORYINFO structures  
 Description: This function returns LIST of SOFTWARECATEGORYINFO structures for every software category known on the system  
 GetSoftwareCategoriesForOperatingSystemCategory-Parameters: an OPERATINGSYSTEMCATEGORY to get information for  
 Returns: A LIST of SOFTWARECATEGORYs  
 Description: This function returns a LIST of software categories for the passed operating system category.  
 GetSoftwareCategoriesForOperatingSystemCategoryEx-As above only, returning the appropriate "info" structures in the list  
 GetSoftwareCategoryInfo-Parameters: SOFTWARECATEGORY to get information for, SOFTWARECATEGORYINFO pointer to contain the information  
 Returns: Success or failure

---

Table 18 sets forth Policy Compliance Functions.

These functions are provided in a separate source tree (policy tree) for the Policy Compliance and Enforcement module. Function include: Password/system access policies, log configurations, audit settings, user/group privilege rights, general service settings, service permissions, registry values, registry permissions, file permissions. It can also perform deltas on and get information about: groups, users, services, and all the "Run" registry keys.

The function calls are being integrated into the live production tree and subject to change.

All publications, prior applications, and other documents cited herein are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety as if each had been individually incorporated by reference and fully set forth.

While the invention has been illustrated and described in detail in the drawings and foregoing description, the same is to be considered as illustrative and not restrictive in character, it being understood that only the preferred embodiments have been shown and described and that all changes and modifications that would occur to one skilled in the relevant art are desired to be protected.

TABLE 18

---

GetPolicyItemInfo-As GetOperatingSystemInfo for POLICYITEM and POLICYITEMINFO  
 InstallPolicyItemForClient-As InstallPatchForClient for POLICYITEM  
 InstallPolicyItemForGroup-As InstallPatchForGroup for POLICYITEM  
 InstallAllPendingPolicyItemsForClient-As InstallAllPendingPatchesForClient for POLICYITEM  
 InstallAllPendingPolicyItemsForGroup-As InstallAllPendingPatchesForGroup for POLICYITEM  
 RemovePolicyItemFromClient-As RemovePatchForClient for POLICYITEM  
 RemovePolicyItemFromGroup-As RemovePatchFromGroup for POLICYITEM

---

US 10,154,055 B2

33

What is claimed is:

1. An apparatus, comprising:

at least one platform;

an intrusion prevention system component that is communicatively coupled with the at least one platform;

a firewall that is communicatively coupled with the at least one platform;

at least one first data storage that is communicatively coupled with the at least one platform; and

at least one second data storage that is communicatively coupled with the at least one platform;

said at least one platform:

receives a result of at least one operation performed on at least one of a plurality of networked devices, the at least one operation based on first information from the at least one first data storage identifying a plurality of potential vulnerabilities including at least one first potential vulnerability and at least one second potential vulnerability, the at least one operation configured for: identifying at least one configuration associated with the at least one networked device, and determining that the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable to at least one actual vulnerability, based on the identified at least one configuration and the first information from the at least one first data storage identifying the plurality of potential vulnerabilities, such that second information associated with the result is stored in the at least one second data storage separate from the at least one first data storage, the second information relating to the at least one actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable;

causes to display, via at least one user interface, a plurality of techniques including a first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system component for occurrence mitigation, and a second technique for utilizing the firewall for occurrence mitigation;

allows receipt of:

user input causing selection of the first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system component for occurrence mitigation;

user input causing selection of the second technique for utilizing the firewall for occurrence mitigation;

applies, based on the user input causing selection of the first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system component for occurrence mitigation, the first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system component for occurrence mitigation;

applies, based on the user input causing selection of the second technique for utilizing the firewall for occurrence mitigation, the second technique for utilizing the firewall for occurrence mitigation;

identifies:

for the at least one networked device, a first occurrence including at least one first occurrence packet, and

for the at least one networked device, a second occurrence including at least one second occurrence packet;

determines:

that the first occurrence including the at least one first occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device is capable of taking advantage of the at least one of the actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable;

that the second occurrence including the at least one second occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device is not capable of taking advantage of

34

the at least one of the actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable, and

causes a reporting of at least the first occurrence based on the determination that the first occurrence including the at least one first occurrence packet is capable of taking advantage of the at least one of the actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable.

2. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the platform includes integrated intrusion prevention functionality for supporting the first technique and firewall functionality for supporting the second technique, such that the intrusion prevention functionality and the firewall functionality are both supported by a security component of the platform that in turn supports at least one aspect of the determination that the first occurrence including the at least one first occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device is capable of taking advantage of the at least one of the actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable, the platform further including logic that receives the second information and utilizes the second information to conditionally cause the display of, as a function of an existence of one or more actual vulnerabilities, one or more of the plurality of techniques to allow selective utilization of the intrusion prevention functionality and the firewall functionality, so that only relevant techniques are displayed for selection to reduce false positives in connection with both the intrusion prevention functionality and the firewall functionality.

3. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the platform includes integrated intrusion prevention functionality for supporting the first technique and firewall functionality for supporting the second technique, such that the intrusion prevention functionality and the firewall functionality are both supported by a security component of the platform that in turn supports at least one aspect of the determination that the first occurrence including the at least one first occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device is capable of taking advantage of the at least one of the actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable, the platform further including logic that receives actual vulnerability information and utilizes the actual vulnerability information to relevantly cause the display of, as a function of one or more actual vulnerabilities identified utilizing the actual vulnerability information, multiple of the plurality of techniques to allow selective utilization of the intrusion prevention functionality and the firewall functionality.

4. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the platform manages different user selections which are capable of being received for different devices, for allowing different techniques including the first technique and the second technique to be selectively applied to the different devices for different actual vulnerabilities, such that the different user selections are capable of resulting in:

only the at least one first mitigation technique being selectively applied to at least one first device, only the at least one second mitigation technique being selectively applied to at least one second device, and both the at least one first mitigation technique and the at least one second mitigation technique being selectively applied to at least one third device.

5. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the platform manages the first technique which is automatically applied utilizing a first communication, and the second technique is automatically applied utilizing a second communication;

US 10,154,055 B2

35

wherein the platform includes intrusion prevention functionality for supporting the first technique and firewall functionality for supporting the second technique, the platform further manages to receive the second information to conditionally cause display of, as a function of an existence of the at least one actual vulnerability, the plurality of techniques to allow selective utilization of the intrusion prevention functionality and the firewall functionality, so that only relevant techniques are caused to be displayed to reduce false positives in connection with both the intrusion prevention functionality and the firewall functionality;

wherein the apparatus further allows different user selections which are capable of being received for different devices, for allowing different techniques including the first technique and the second technique to be selectively applied to the different devices for different actual vulnerabilities, such that the different user selections are capable of resulting in: only the at least one first mitigation technique being selectively applied to at least one first device, only the at least one second mitigation technique being selectively applied to at least one second device, and both the at least one first mitigation technique and the at least one second mitigation technique being selectively applied to at least one third device.

6. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the apparatus is configured such that one or more of the plurality of mitigation techniques is capable of being identified based on an identification of an application.

7. An apparatus, comprising:

at least one platform;

an intrusion prevention system that is communicatively coupled with the at least one platform;

a firewall that is communicatively coupled with the at least one platform;

at least one first data storage that is communicatively coupled with the at least one platform; and

at least one second data storage that is communicatively coupled with the at least one platform;

said at least one platform;

receives a result of at least one operation in connection with at least one of a plurality of networked devices, the at least one operation based on first information from the at least one first data storage identifying a plurality of potential vulnerabilities including at least one first potential vulnerability and at least one second potential vulnerability, the at least one operation configured for: identifying at least one configuration associated with the at least one networked device, and determining that the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable to at least one actual vulnerability, based on the identified at least one configuration and the first information from the at least one first data storage identifying the plurality of potential vulnerabilities, such that second information associated with the result is stored in the at least one second data storage separate from the at least one first data storage, the second information relating to the at least one actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable;

causes to display, via at least one user interface, a plurality of techniques including a first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system for occurrence mitigation, a second technique for utilizing the firewall for occurrence mitigation;

36

allows receipt of:

user input causing selection of the first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system for occurrence mitigation, and

user input causing selection of the second technique for utilizing the firewall for occurrence mitigation;

based on the user input causing selection of the first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system for occurrence mitigation, automatically applies the first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system for occurrence mitigation;

based on the user input causing selection of the second technique for utilizing the firewall for occurrence mitigation, automatically applies the second technique for utilizing the firewall for occurrence mitigation;

causes identification of:

in connection with the at least one networked device, a first occurrence including at least one first occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device, and

in connection with the at least one networked device, a second occurrence including at least one second occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device;

determines:

that the first occurrence including the at least one first occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device is capable of taking advantage of the at least one of the actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable;

that the second occurrence including the at least one second occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device is not capable of taking advantage of the at least one of the actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable; and

causes a reporting of at least the first occurrence based on the determination that the first occurrence including the at least one first occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device is capable of taking advantage of the at least one of the actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable.

8. The apparatus of claim 7, wherein the intrusion prevention system includes integrated intrusion prevention functionality for supporting the first technique and firewall functionality for supporting the second technique, such that the intrusion prevention functionality and the firewall functionality are both supported by a security component of the intrusion prevention system that in turn supports at least one aspect of the determination that the first occurrence including the at least one first occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device is capable of taking advantage of the at least one of the actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable, the intrusion prevention system further including logic that receives the second information and utilizes the second information to conditionally cause the display of, as a function of an existence of one or more actual vulnerabilities, one or more of the plurality of techniques to allow selective utilization of the intrusion prevention functionality and the firewall functionality, so that only relevant techniques are displayed for selection to reduce false positives in connection with both the intrusion prevention functionality and the firewall functionality.

9. The apparatus of claim 7, wherein the intrusion prevention system includes integrated intrusion prevention functionality for supporting the first technique and firewall

US 10,154,055 B2

37

functionality for supporting the second technique, such that the intrusion prevention functionality and the firewall functionality are both supported by a security component of the intrusion prevention system that in turn supports at least one aspect of the determination that the first occurrence including the at least one first occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device is capable of taking advantage of the at least one of the actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable, the intrusion prevention system further including logic that receives actual vulnerability information and utilizes the actual vulnerability information to relevantly cause the display of, as a function of one or more actual vulnerabilities identified utilizing the actual vulnerability information, multiple of the plurality of techniques to allow selective utilization of the intrusion prevention functionality and the firewall functionality.

10. The apparatus of claim 7, wherein the intrusion prevention system manages different user selections which are capable of being received for different devices, for allowing different techniques including the first technique and the second technique to be selectively applied to the different devices for different actual vulnerabilities, such that the different user selections are capable of resulting in: only the at least one first mitigation technique being selectively applied to at least one first device, only the at least one second mitigation technique being selectively applied to at least one second device, and both the at least one first mitigation technique and the at least one second mitigation technique being selectively applied to at least one third device.

11. The apparatus of claim 7, wherein the intrusion prevention system manages the first technique which is automatically applied utilizing a first communication, and the second technique is automatically applied utilizing a second communication;

wherein the intrusion prevention system includes intrusion prevention functionality for supporting the first technique and firewall functionality for supporting the second technique, the intrusion prevention system further configured to receive the second information to conditionally cause display of, as a function of an existence of the at least one actual vulnerability, the plurality of techniques to allow selective utilization of the intrusion prevention functionality and the firewall functionality, so that only relevant techniques are caused to be displayed to reduce false positives in connection with both the intrusion prevention functionality and the firewall functionality;

wherein the apparatus allows the different user selections which are capable of being received for different devices, for allowing different techniques including the first technique and the second technique to be selectively applied to the different devices for different actual vulnerabilities, such that the different user selections are capable of resulting in:

only the at least one first mitigation technique being selectively applied to at least one first device, only the at least one second mitigation technique being selectively applied to at least one second device, and both the at least one first mitigation technique and the at least one second mitigation technique being selectively applied to at least one third device.

12. The apparatus of claim 7, wherein the intrusion prevention system determines which of the networked devices have weaknesses by directly querying a firmware or operating system thereof.

38

13. The apparatus of claim 7, wherein the intrusion prevention system implements at least one of:

said result includes the second information; said at least one first data storage includes at least one first database; said at least one first data storage is a component of a network operations center (NOC) server;

said at least one second data storage includes at least one second database;

said first information is from the at least one first data storage via at least one of:

receiving at least one update therefrom;

pulling at least one update therefrom, communicating therewith, or synchronizing therewith;

said at least one operation includes a vulnerability scan operation;

said at least one operation is automatic;

said identifying the at least one configuration is automatic;

said at least one actual vulnerability includes at least one of the potential vulnerabilities to which the at least one networked device is determined to be actually vulnerable based on identified at least one configuration;

said at least one configuration includes at least one of: service pack information, one or more elements contained in files including at least one of an \*.ini or \*.conf file, registry information, identification of an operating system, identification of a software version, or identification of software;

said determining that the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable to the at least one actual vulnerability includes at least one of: matching the identified at least one configuration with a guideline associated with at least one update, or cross-referencing an identifier with the configuration;

said second information identifies the at least one actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable;

said second information is stored in the at least one second storage when it is utilized;

said indication of the at least one actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable includes an identification of the at least one actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable;

said computer program product is embodied on a single non-transitory computer readable medium;

said first technique and second technique are of different types;

at least one of said first or second techniques include at least one of remediation techniques, mitigation techniques, attack mitigation techniques, or vulnerability mitigation techniques;

said occurrence mitigation includes at least one of removing the at least one actual vulnerability, occurrence prevention, or reducing an effect of a particular occurrence;

said first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system for occurrence mitigation and the second technique for utilizing the firewall for occurrence mitigation are displayed via the same user interface;

said first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system for occurrence mitigation and the second technique for utilizing the firewall for occurrence mitigation are displayed via different user interface elements;

said first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system for occurrence mitigation, and the second technique for utilizing the firewall for occurrence mitigation.

US 10,154,055 B2

39

tion, are displayed via the at least one user interface utilizing at least one of options or graphical user interface elements;

said first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system for occurrence mitigation results in the first technique being automatically applied at a later time;

at least one of said first or second techniques, after the user selection thereof, is automatically applied at a later time;

said user input causing selection of the first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system for occurrence mitigation results in the first technique being automatically applied at a later time;

said user input causing selection of the first technique and the user input causing selection of the second technique including separate user inputs;

said automatic application of the first technique puts a policy in place for being utilized at a later time;

said automatic application of the second technique puts a policy in place for being utilized at a later time;

at least one of said first or second techniques, after the automatic application thereof, is utilized at a later time for the occurrence mitigation, said user input selecting the first technique is received utilizing the at least one user interface;

said user input causing selection of the first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system for occurrence mitigation specifically identifies the first technique;

said user input causing selection of the second technique for utilizing the firewall for occurrence mitigation specifically identifies the second technique;

said user input causing selection of the first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system for occurrence mitigation is received in response to the display of the first technique;

said user input causing selection of the second technique for utilizing the firewall for occurrence mitigation is received in connection with the display of the second technique;

said first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system for occurrence mitigation involves an intrusion prevention system action that prevents an attack;

said second technique for utilizing the firewall for occurrence mitigation includes a firewall action that blocks an attack;

said first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system for occurrence mitigation utilizes the intrusion prevention system to deploy a patch utilizing an update component;

said second technique for utilizing the firewall for occurrence mitigation utilizes the firewall to deploy a patch utilizing an update component;

said automatic application of the first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system for occurrence mitigation includes the determination that the first occurrence including the at least one first occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device is capable of taking advantage of the at least one of the actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable, said automatic application of the first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system for occurrence mitigation results in the determination that the first occurrence including the at least one first occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device is capable of taking advantage of the at least one of the actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable;

40

tage of the at least one of the actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable;

said automatic application of the first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system for occurrence mitigation results from the determination that the first occurrence including the at least one first occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device is capable of taking advantage of the at least one of the actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable;

said intrusion prevention system and the firewall are separate;

said intrusion prevention system and the firewall are integrated on the same single platform;

said first occurrence includes an attack;

said first occurrence includes an incident and said second occurrence includes an event;

said determination that the first occurrence including the at least one first occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device is capable of taking advantage of the at least one of the actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable, is carried out utilizing at least one of vulnerability identifiers, profiles, threat information, or the second information;

said reporting includes reporting of the second occurrence;

said reporting is carried out utilizing a log; or

said at least one first occurrence packet of the first occurrence is prevented by terminating or dropping the same.

**14. An intrusion prevention system, comprising:**

means for receiving a result of at least one operation performed on at least one of a plurality of networked devices, the at least one operation based on first information from at least one first data storage identifying a plurality of potential vulnerabilities including at least one first potential vulnerability and at least one second potential vulnerability, the at least one operation configured for:

identifying at least one configuration associated with the at least one networked device, and

determining that the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable to at least one actual vulnerability, based on the identified at least one configuration and the first information from the at least one first data storage identifying the plurality of potential vulnerabilities, such that second information associated with the result is stored in at least one second data storage separate from the at least one first data storage, the second information relating to the at least one actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable;

means for causing display of, via at least one user interface, a plurality of techniques including a first technique for utilizing an intrusion prevention system for occurrence mitigation, and a second technique for utilizing a firewall for occurrence mitigation;

means for receiving user input causing selection of the first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system for occurrence mitigation and user input causing selection of the second technique for utilizing the firewall for occurrence mitigation;

means for: based on the user input causing selection of the first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system for occurrence mitigation, applying the first



US 10,154,055 B2

41

technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system for occurrence mitigation; and based on the user input causing selection of the second technique for utilizing the firewall for occurrence mitigation, applying the second technique for utilizing the firewall for occurrence mitigation;

means for identifying:

for the at least one networked device, a first occurrence including at least one first occurrence packet, and for the at least one networked device, a second occurrence including at least one second occurrence packet;

code for determining:

that the first occurrence including the at least one first occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device is capable of taking advantage of the at least one of the actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable, and

that the second occurrence including the at least one second occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device is not capable of taking advantage of the at least one of the actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable; and

means for causing a reporting of at least the first occurrence based on the determination that the first occurrence including the at least one first occurrence packet is capable of taking advantage of the at least one of the actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable.

15. The intrusion prevention system of claim 14, wherein the intrusion prevention system includes integrated intrusion prevention functionality for supporting the first technique and firewall functionality for supporting the second technique, such that the intrusion prevention functionality and the firewall functionality are both supported by a security component of the system that in turn supports at least one aspect of the determination that the first occurrence including the at least one first occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device is capable of taking advantage of the at least one of the actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable, the intrusion prevention system further including logic that receives the second information and utilizes the second information to conditionally display, as a function of an existence of one or more actual vulnerabilities, one or more of the plurality of techniques to allow selective utilization of the intrusion prevention functionality and the firewall functionality, so that only relevant techniques are displayed for selection to reduce false positives in connection with both the intrusion prevention functionality and the firewall functionality.

16. The intrusion prevention system of claim 14, wherein the intrusion prevention system includes integrated intrusion prevention functionality for supporting the first technique and firewall functionality for supporting the second technique, the intrusion prevention system further including means for receiving the second information and utilizing the second information to conditionally display, as a function of an existence of one or more actual vulnerabilities, one or more of the plurality of techniques to allow selective utilization of the intrusion prevention functionality and the firewall functionality, so that only relevant techniques are displayed for selection to reduce false positives in connection with both the intrusion prevention functionality and the firewall functionality.

42

17. The intrusion prevention system of claim 14, wherein the intrusion prevention system includes integrated intrusion prevention functionality for supporting the first technique and firewall functionality for supporting the second technique, such that the intrusion prevention functionality and the firewall functionality are both supported by a security component of the system that in turn supports at least one aspect of the determination that the first occurrence including the at least one first occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device is capable of taking advantage of the at least one of the actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable, the system further including logic that receives actual vulnerability information and utilizes the actual vulnerability information to relevantly display, as a function of one or more actual vulnerabilities identified utilizing the actual vulnerability information, multiple of the plurality of techniques to allow selective utilization of the intrusion prevention functionality and the firewall functionality.

18. The intrusion prevention system of claim 14, wherein the intrusion prevention system is configured such that different user selections are capable of being received for different devices, for allowing different techniques including the first technique and the second technique to be selectively applied to the different devices for different actual vulnerabilities, such that the different user selections are capable of resulting in: only the at least one first mitigation technique being selectively applied to at least one first device, only the at least one second mitigation technique being selectively applied to at least one second device, and both the at least one first mitigation technique and the at least one second mitigation technique being selectively applied to at least one third device.

19. The intrusion prevention system of claim 14, wherein the intrusion prevention system includes integrated intrusion prevention functionality for supporting the first technique and firewall functionality for supporting the second technique, the intrusion prevention system further including means for receiving the second information and utilizing the second information to conditionally display, as a function of an existence of one or more actual vulnerabilities, one or more of the plurality of techniques to allow selective utilization of the intrusion prevention functionality and the firewall functionality, so that only relevant techniques are displayed for selection to reduce false positives in connection with both the intrusion prevention functionality and the firewall functionality; wherein the intrusion prevention system is further configured such that different user selections are capable of being received for different devices, for allowing different techniques including the first technique and the second technique to be selectively applied to the different devices for different actual vulnerabilities, such that the different user selections are capable of resulting in: only the at least one first mitigation technique being selectively applied to at least one first device, only the at least one second mitigation technique being selectively applied to at least one second device, and both the at least one first mitigation technique and the at least one second mitigation technique being selectively applied to at least one third device.

20. An apparatus, comprising:

at least one platform for communicating with an intrusion prevention system, a firewall, at least one first data storage, and at least one second data storage;

said at least one platform:

receives a result of at least one operation in connection with at least one of a plurality of networked devices,

US 10,154,055 B2

43

the at least one operation based on first information from the at least one first data storage identifying a plurality of potential vulnerabilities including at least one first potential vulnerability and at least one second potential vulnerability, the at least one operation configured for:

identifying at least one configuration associated with the at least one networked device, and

determining that the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable to at least one actual vulnerability, based on the identified at least one configuration and the first information from the at least one first data storage identifying the plurality of potential vulnerabilities, such that second information associated with the result is stored in the at least one second data storage separate from the at least one first data storage, the second information relating to the at least one actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable;

causes to display, via at least one user interface, a plurality of techniques including a first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system for occurrence mitigation, a second technique for utilizing the firewall for occurrence mitigation;

allows receipt of:

user input causing selection of the first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system for occurrence mitigation, and

user input causing selection of the second technique for utilizing the firewall for occurrence mitigation;

based on the user input causing selection of the first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system for occurrence mitigation, automatically applies the first technique for utilizing the intrusion prevention system for occurrence mitigation;

44

based on the user input causing selection of the second technique for utilizing the firewall for occurrence mitigation, automatically applies the second technique for utilizing the firewall for occurrence mitigation;

causes identification of:

in connection with the at least one networked device, a first occurrence including at least one first occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device, and

in connection with the at least one networked device, a second occurrence including at least one second occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device;

determines:

that the first occurrence including the at least one first occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device is capable of taking advantage of the at least one of the actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable;

that the second occurrence including the at least one second occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device is not capable of taking advantage of the at least one of the actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable; and

causes a reporting of at least the first occurrence based on the determination that the first occurrence including the at least one first occurrence packet directed to the at least one networked device is capable of taking advantage of the at least one of the actual vulnerability to which the at least one networked device is actually vulnerable.

\* \* \* \* \*